


RETENTION OF JUNIOR OFFICERS IN THE
SURFACE NAVY

Thomas Joseph Lopez

LIBRARY
NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL
MONTEREY, CALIF. 93940

NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL

Monterey, California



THESIS

RETENTION OF JUNIOR OFFICERS
IN THE SURFACE NAVY

BY

Thomas Joseph Lopez

Thesis Advisor:

R. S. Elster

June 1973

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

Retention of Junior Officers
in the Surface Navy

by

Thomas Joseph Lopez
Lieutenant Commander, United States Navy
B.A., United States Naval Postgraduate School, 1972

Submitted in partial fulfillment of the
requirements for the degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE IN MANAGEMENT

from the
NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL
June 1973

ABSTRACT

The Surface Navy has had and is facing a serious problem in the area of retention of Surface Junior Officers. Through interviews and questionnaires, this study identified and examined pertinent aspects of that issue. Subjects were 162 Surface Junior Officers who were students at the Naval Postgraduate School. The results of the study revealed retention factors which appeared uppermost in the minds of the officers surveyed. Recommendations for change and attractive aspects of the Surface Navy were indicated by the respondents. Recommendations to possibly effect improvement in the Surface retention rate were included by the author.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION -----	6
II.	METHOD -----	11
	A. DEVELOPMENT OF THE QUESTIONNAIRE -----	11
	B. DATA PROCESSING -----	16
III.	DISCUSSION OF DATA -----	17
	A. PERSONAL CHARACTERISTICS OF RESPONDENTS ---	18
	B. RANKING CAREER OBJECTIVES -----	23
	C. QUESTIONS AND STATEMENTS: THEIR PAST, PRESENT, OR FUTURE EFFECT ON CAREER INTENT--	28
	1. Effect of Superiors -----	29
	2. Compensation -----	30
	3. Promotion -----	32
	4. Minority Affairs -----	35
	5. Transfer, Deployment, and Time Away from Home -----	36
	6. Fringe Benefits -----	37
	7. Education and Training -----	38
	8. Living Accommodations -----	39
	9. Proposed Legislation -----	39
	10. External Influences -----	41
	11. Navy Standards -----	42
	12. Ships and Equipment -----	43
	13. Command at Sea -----	44
	14. Image -----	45

D.	THE NEW RETIREMENT PROPOSALS -----	47
E.	CHANGES RECOMMENDED FOR THE SURFACE NAVY --	48
F.	MOST ATTRACTIVE ASPECTS OF THE SURFACE NAVY -----	49
G.	FACTOR ANALYSIS -----	50
IV.	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS -----	52
APPENDIX A.	Questionnaire and computer program with raw data -----	55
APPENDIX B.	Frequency Tables and Matrices for Variables 001 through 008 -----	74
APPENDIX C.	Histograms and Statistics for Variables 009 through 073 -----	86
APPENDIX D.	Comments on Question 53: The New Retirement Proposal's Effect on Retention -----	153
APPENDIX E.	Responses of Officers to the Ques- tion: "If there were <u>one change</u> that you could make in the <u>Surface</u> <u>Navy</u> that would cause you to <u>increase</u> <u>the length of time</u> that you intend to remain in the Navy, what would that change be?" -----	156
APPENDIX F.	Responses of Officers to the Question: "What is the <u>most attractive</u> <u>aspect</u> of the <u>Surface Navy</u> ?" -----	163
APPENDIX G.	Factor Analysis -----	167
BIBLIOGRAPHY	-----	177
INITIAL DISTRIBUTION LIST	-----	180
FORM DD 1473	-----	181

LIST OF TABLES

I.	Officer Retention Statistics -----	8
II.	Surface Warfare Retention Data for Commission Sources -----	20
III.	Original Percentage of Officers who Judged Each Career Objective to be Most or Least Important, Plus, New Ranks and Means from Present Survey -----	25

I. INTRODUCTION

Traditionally, when one thinks of the Navy, the image of the young officer, binoculars in hand, on the wing of the bridge, springs to mind. One perceives the young officer challenging the sea from a position of responsibility to his crew, his captain, his Navy, and his country.

A question arises. Why aren't these young officers being retained in sufficient quantities as Surface Line Officers in the United States Navy? The question demands consideration!

The purpose of this study is to identify some of those factors which have motivated, are motivating, or would motivate young capable officers to remain in or leave the Surface Navy of today. The medium ultimately used to identify those factors is a carefully designed questionnaire.

Admiral Bagley stated in February of 1972 that "...we continue to have problems in the retention of surface line and medical officers. These two communities will constitute our most serious officer-retention problems as we move into a zero draft environment."¹

¹U.S., Congress, Senate, Subcommittee on Appropriations, Hearings on H. R. 16593, Department of Defense Appropriations for Fiscal Year 1973, 92nd Cong., 2nd session, Washington, D. C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1972, Part 3, p. 327.

Bureau of Naval Personnel retention statistics as presented in Table I show vividly the problem facing the Navy in retention of the Surface Junior Officer. Although the Nuclear Submarine and Aviation Communities in recent years have shown progress toward their respective retention goals, the Surface retention rate has steadily declined from the 20 percent figure of 1967 to 14 percent in 1972, with 1973 projected as another 14 percent retention year. At best, 1973 promises to show a bottoming out effect, but no real expectation of an upward trend.

In today's increasingly complex Navy there is a constantly growing demand for technical competence and managerial expertise in the Surface Navy's Officer Corps. Therefore, the Navy's percentage goal of retention must be intermeshed with that of retaining those officers who best fit the needs of a technologically and socially complex service.

A most logical sample of officers, pre-selected not only for their academic prowess, but for their professional performance as well, would be the Junior Officer Surface Warfare Community at the United States Naval Postgraduate School in Monterey, California. Certainly this is a biased sample, but that is the intent. The officers of the Naval Postgraduate School have the qualities for which the Navy is searching. By the very fact that most of them are obligated for two or more years beyond their minimum service due to their assignment to the Naval

TABLE I

OFFICER RETENTION STATISTICS
AT MINIMUM SERVICE REQUIREMENT,
PLUS, TWO YEARS

WARFARE SPECIALTY	FY-67	FY-68	FY-69	FY-70	FY-71	FY-72	FY-73*	GOALS
Nuclear Submarine#	72%	58%	50%	33%	33%	41%	47%	50%
Pilots	57%	43%	31%	25%	27%	34%	42%	52%
Surface	20%	17%	18%	16%	17%	14%	14%	30%

REGULAR/RESERVE COMPARISON (AT MSR + 2 YEARS)

PILOTS	FY-70	FY-71	FY-72	FY-73*
Overall Retention	25%	27%	34%	42%
Regular Commissioned Officers	34%	39%	43%	56%
Reserve Commissioned Officers	21%	22%	29%	37%
<u>110X/111X OFFICERS (SURFACE)</u>				
Overall Retention	16%	17%	14%	14%
Regular Commissioned Officers	39%	38%	40%	45%
Reserve Commissioned Officers	10%	11%	8%	9%

NUCLEAR SUBMARINE

Unavailable: Few Reserve Officers in Program

*Projected

#Nuclear Submarine rates prior to 1970 are BuPers estimates.
Source: Bureau of Naval Personnel

Postgraduate School, they would be considered a plus toward the Navy's goal of a 30 percent retention rate in the Surface Navy.

Having identified and chosen a sample of the population that the Navy would like to retain for a full career, assuming continued high performance by the individual officers, a next step would be to attempt to find out exactly what factors motivate these officers to want to leave or remain in the Naval Service. Therein lies a purpose for the study.

There are, of course, obvious reasons for the Navy's retention goals beyond those that have been mentioned. Among the first that come to mind are the increased training costs of replacing officers who were not retained. For every officer that leaves, there can be some expected loss of efficiency in his ship or station until his replacement has learned the job. If there is an insufficient number of officers, then one could expect that the officer replaced would have had even greater responsibilities, and upon his departure, an even greater loss of efficiency for the ship, station, and the Navy. One can comprehend the magnitude of the problem on observing that the Navy is shrinking almost daily in size. Yet, we cannot retain a sufficient number of Surface Officers to adequately operate the Fleet.

In summary, it can be said that the Navy has a recognized problem of retaining young officers past the minimum

service, plus two year, mark. The purpose here will be to identify some career objectives, to rank them, and to identify and discuss satisfiers and dissatisfiers of Surface Navy life as perceived by the Surface ensigns, lieutenants junior grade, and lieutenants who are students at the Naval Postgraduate School.

II. METHOD

The procedure for study was to generate a questionnaire through interviews of Surface Junior Officers at the Naval Postgraduate School. The questionnaire was designed so the data could be processed at the computer facility located at the Naval Postgraduate School.

A. DEVELOPMENT OF THE QUESTIONNAIRE

Development of the questionnaire involved extensive research of previous studies, both in the military and civilian environments. Numerous questions concerning proper survey design arose since the Surface Warfare Community had not been surveyed as an entity in and of itself although it had been included in studies such as that done by the Secretary of the Navy's Retention Task Force in 1966.

The first decision was not to include lieutenant commanders in the survey even though they are now assigned by the Surface Junior Officer Assignment Section.² There were two primary reasons for this decision. First, the lieutenant commander, traditionally, has been set apart from the Junior Officer Community; secondly, he is beyond his minimum service requirement (MSR), plus two years,³

² Bureau of Naval Personnel (Officer Distribution Division), "Surface Lieutenant Commander/Surface Junior Officer Assignment Sections to Merge," The Officer Personnel Newsletter (NAVPERS 15892), February, 1973, p. 12.

³ MSR+2 years is the point in time used by the Bureau of Naval Personnel when studying retention of Naval Officers.

and most can be considered at least 20-year officers. Lieutenant commander selectees were included since they could come from year groups 1965, 1966, and 1967, and at most would have nine years of commissioned service. All designators within the Surface Warfare Community were to be included.

Surface Warfare Officers, lieutenant commander selectees and junior, who were enrolled as students at the United States Naval Postgraduate School in Monterey, California during March/April 1973, were chosen as the sample. It was felt that this would be a most representative sample of those Junior Officers that the Navy is most desirous of retaining for a full career. They must be officers of high professional performance and high academic achievement and, "all other factors being equal, professional performance determines individual competitiveness with contemporaries and impacts directly on the selection procedure."⁴

It was expected that the sample would reflect a much higher career intent than that of the average Surface Officer. It was assumed that the Navy must expect to retain almost all of those Surface Officers ordered to the Naval Postgraduate School to some point beyond MSR, plus two years, or most probably until retirement at twenty or

⁴ Bureau of Naval Personnel (Officer Distribution Division), "Not Selected for Postgraduate Education?" The Officer Personnel Newsletter (NAVPERS 15892), February, 1973, p.11.

more years. Two reasons for this are: (1) the obligation of one year for each six months of school that the officer incurs; and (2) the tremendous monetary investment incurred by the Navy to pay for, in most instances, one or more years of education that is essentially an investment in the future.

In order to select what questions would be most applicable to today's Navy, it was concluded that the best method would be not to subjectively choose questions that had been used in previous questionnaires, plus the author's own ideas for queries, but rather to develop questions through interviewing Surface ensigns, lieutenants junior grade, and lieutenants. Seventeen officers were interviewed by the author for periods ranging from approximately 30 minutes to one hour and 30 minutes. The time each officer spent voluntarily with the interviewer is in itself an indication of the tremendous interest in the subject of Surface Junior Officer retention by the officers involved.

Two ensigns, one lieutenant junior grade, and 14 lieutenants were interviewed. Three of the officers, one ensign and two lieutenants, were known by the author, although only one lieutenant could be considered a close friend. The rest were random selectees. Each officer was asked two basic questions: (1) What aspects of the Surface Navy or the Navy in general make it attractive as a career? (2) What aspects make it unattractive? No officer was asked his career intent so that the answers might be more

spontaneous and unbiased. In this way, the interviewee would not have to feel his statements or questions reflected his career intentions.

Thus, the data were collected in order to generate a Surface Warfare questionnaire. The questions or statements appearing most frequently in the interviews were chosen as representative items pertinent to Junior Officers in the Surface Navy.

In order to determine if career objectives had changed over recent years in light of changing society, it was resolved to measure career objectives that had been surveyed in the recent past. The objectives chosen were those used by Harsh and Kinney for their 1965 study of manpower in the Navy for the 1970-1980 time period. Seventeen objectives were used in the original study; however, these were reduced to 12 for this research.⁵

Although it is not intended in this study to analyze different groups, such as Surface Officers from the Naval Academy or Officer Candidate School, the questionnaire was designed to allow for distinction between various subgroups for possible later study. Eight sub-grouping variables were made available: (1) designator; (2) year group;

⁵Charles M. Harsh and Jack A. Kinney, Manpower Considerations Applicable to the Navy in the 1970-1980 Time Period (Annex B: Officer Survey), Institute of Naval Studies, Study 13, Washington, D. C.: Center for Naval Analyses, The Franklin Institute, 1965, p. 81.

(3) commission source; (4) rank; (5) ethnic group; (6) marital status; (7) Naval Postgraduate School program; (8) career intentions.

The questionnaire was designed for computer processing using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences by Nie, Bent, and Hull (1970). There were the eight demographic categories mentioned above, followed by 12 career objectives, 53 questions requiring responses on a five-point rating scale, strong negative to strong positive, and two final questions requiring written responses. Question 53 also encouraged a written reply. Questions related by subject matter were separated (in questions 1-53) so that each statement would be considered on its own.

Questionnaire return rates at the Naval Postgraduate School to student initiated questionnaires have averaged approximately 30 percent. In order to receive a higher response, four steps were taken. The first was to address each questionnaire to the individual Surface Warfare Officer. Secondly, the cover was designed so that when folded, the recipient saw only the portion with Surface Warfare Only underlined. Thirdly, the most time-consuming task, that of ranking 12 career objectives, was placed first to ensure that it would be filled out by most officers. The fourth step was to send out a reminder four days after the initial issue. This reminder is illustrated in Appendix A. One additional item was appended to page two of the survey.

This was an explanation of "positive" and "negative" as applicable to questions 1 through 53.

The questionnaire was sent out on 30 March 1973 and the results were most pleasing. Two-hundred-eighty-five surveys were mailed to students and 162 were returned, yielding a return rate of almost 57 percent. One-hundred-sixty of those returning completed surveys ranked the 12 career objectives.

B. DATA PROCESSING

Each questionnaire was numbered and coded. All answered surveys required two punched computer cards. The coded information was entered on FORTRAN coding sheets and punched into 80 column cards. Cards were then compared against sheets for errors. Coding and checking required two persons for accuracy. A complete data printout, computer program, and results are contained in the Appendices. It is suggested that, at this point, the reader peruse the questionnaire which can be found in Appendix A.

III. DISCUSSION OF DATA

Insofar as possible, related questions, numbered one through 53 in the questionnaire, will be grouped here to facilitate discussion. Some justification of this subjective grouping can be shown by examination of the factor analysis contained in Appendix G. Where correlation exists between the 12 career objectives and the 53 questions contained in the survey, it will be discussed. For example, the subject matter contained in question one on the career effect of executive officers is related to the third listed career objective of "work with and for persons whose abilities and accomplishments I respect." One possible measure of importance of the question with relation to career retention would be to ascertain its affinity to one or more career objectives, as in the above example. Questions relating to higher ranked (by the group) career objectives should be, in most instances, considered more important than those corresponding to lower ranked objectives.

Other areas to be discussed will be the initial data provided in the first eight variables, the comments on question 53, the recommendations of Surface Officers for change, the most attractive aspects of the Surface Navy, and the factor analysis of questions one through 53.

A. PERSONAL CHARACTERISTICS OF RESPONDENTS

The designator sub-group dominating (78.4%) the statistics is that of the 1110's, those regular officers who are designated Surface Warfare Officers by virtue of having met prerequisites as outlined in the Bureau of Naval Personnel Manual (NAVPERS 15791B), Article Number 1410270. The remaining officers responding were of designators 1100, 1105, and 1115. No other designators were represented. Regular officers not having met the requirements for the Surface Warfare designation are designated 1100 (regular, general line officer). Of the officers answering the survey, 16.7 percent were of this category. Reserve officers, 1115's (those meeting the criteria for the Surface designation) and 1105's (those not meeting the criteria), were represented by percentages of 1.9 and 3.1, respectively. Complete statistics of replies, arranged by officer designator, are contained in Appendix B.

Eight year groups were included in the survey's target population. One year group, 1971, was not represented by any respondent. Of the seven remaining year groups, 1967 and 1968 appeared most often with 22.8 and 19.1 percent, respectively. Year groups 1965 through 1969 contained 86.4 percent of the responses.

Of the commission sources, the Naval Academy was most heavily represented with 37.0 percent. Naval Enlisted Scientific Education Program (NESEP), Naval Reserve Officer

Training Corps (NROTC), and Officer Candidate School (OCS) graduates were nearly even in representation, and ranged from 17.3 to 18.5 percent. Table II shows each commission source and the retention statistics for that source since 1966. None has shown any large gains and OCS has decreased substantially since 1968. Close examination of the table will reveal that the total Surface retention rate is driven by a large percentage of reserve officers, and a majority of those officers were commissioned through OCS.

Until recently, the time in grade requirements allowed almost all Surface Junior Officers to advance to the rank of lieutenant in three years. Therefore, by far the greater percentage (84.0%) of officers answering the survey were lieutenants. Ensigns, lieutenant commander selectees, and lieutenants junior grade responded in decreasing percentages of 8.0, 5.6, and 2.5 percent, respectively.

Only 2.5 percent of the officers returning the questionnaire were from minority ethnic groups. The remainder were white-Americans.

More than three-fourths (77.2%) of the officers were married. Most of the remaining officers were single (20.4%), with divorced or separated a minimal percentage of 2.5.

Again, insofar as the groups in particular Naval Postgraduate School programs are concerned, one group dominates the statistics, that of the Surface Junior Officers enrolled in programs offered by the Postgraduate School to achieve a master of science degree. They were represented by 91.4

TABLE II

SURFACE WARFARE RETENTION DATA FOR COMMISSION SOURCES

[Officers at Minimum Service Requirement (MSR) + 2 years)

	<u>FY</u>							
	<u>66</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>73</u>
<u>TOTAL</u>	23%	20%	17%	18%	16%	17%	14%	14%
<u>SOURCE</u>								
USNA	63%	70%	65%	53%	45%	53%	51%	51%
NROTC (R)	--	22%	25%	28%	26%	25%	25%	35%
NROTC (C)	12%	14%	11%	10%	--	11%	16%	17%
OCS	19%	15%	11%	11%	8%	9%	5%	7%
ROC	27%	26%	36%	17%	14%	17%	21%	12%
NUSEP	100%	100%	57%	73%	62%	44%	52%	64%
Combined								
Reg.Sources	63%	42%	40%	43%	39%	38%	40%	45%
Combined								
Res.Sources	19%	16%	12%	12%	10%	11%	8%	9%

NOTES: (1) Rates for FY73 are projected based on data as of 30 June 1972.

(2) A loss to the Surface Warfare Community does not necessarily imply a loss to the Navy, since some intraservice transfers to other communities do take place.

(3) NROTC(R) Minimum Service Requirements were changed from 3 years to 4 years commencing with year group 61. NROTC(C) Minimum Service Requirement was changed from 2 years to 3 years commencing with year group 66.

Source: Bureau of Naval Personnel

percent of those completing the survey. The remainder were baccalaureate (4.3%), Engineers (3.1%), and Ph.D. candidates (1.2%).

The most important categories, which are central to this study, are the career intentions as indicated by the officers in the survey. It is assumed that the Navy would like to retain all of the officers queried since, by their assignment to the Naval Postgraduate School, they are designated as high performers. The first statistics, those who intend to remain for only their minimum obligated service (2.5%) and the group who are undecided (22.8%), are interesting in that they represent over one-fourth of those answering the questionnaire. Only 13 of the 41, so indicating, are ensigns who presumably have not experienced fleet operations except for midshipmen cruises, but, even that is surprising because not one ensign said he has decided on a career and two of the 13 said they intend to resign at the end of their obligated service. It must be noted that 28 of those in the above mentioned categories (intend to remain minimum obligated service, or undecided) are lieutenants junior grade and lieutenants and most of those are lieutenants with at least one sea tour. No officer indicated an intent to remain for over ten, but less than 20, years.

Another interesting aspect appears if one combines the first two categories (intend to remain minimum obligated service, or undecided) with those officers indicating desires

to remain only 20 years (33.3%). This combination yields 58.6 percent of the respondents. This would be alarming if these career intentions are actually fulfilled, because it has already been stated that the sample is of those officers the Navy essentially would desire to retain for a full career. The Department of Defense has defined a full career as 30 years,⁶ so the indicated response would not be supportive of that goal.

Those who said they intend to remain over 20 but less than 30 are 22.8 percent of the sample. Those indicating intentions to remain for the maximum time possible represent less than one in five (18.5%) officers answering the questionnaire. One would presume the Department of Defense goals would expect a higher percentage of Surface Officers at the Naval Postgraduate School to fall in the latter classification on the basis of their selection for the School.

Cross-tabulations are provided in Appendix B of five of the variables just discussed. The column variable in each matrix is a year group. The row variables are designator, commission source, marital status, and career intentions. Through the use of these tables, one may select almost any subgroup desired in order to examine absolute response frequency, column or row percentages, and total percentages.

⁶Department of Defense, "New Military Retirement System Proposal," Commander's Digest, 6 October 1972, Vol. 12, No. 25, p. 11.

A statistic from the first cross-tabulation that is of interest is that 127 officers, a percentage of 78.4 of the total, are of the 1110 designator and members of year groups 1965 through 1970.

The second cross-tabulation shows Naval Academy graduates as the greater number of respondents in year groups 1965 through 1968, plus 1972, with NESEP's the larger number in 1969 and OCS in 1970. As has been previously mentioned, there were no respondents from year group 1971.

In the third cross-tabulation, one notes that over 90 percent of those officers who are married are from year groups 1969 and earlier.

One can easily see in cross-tabulation four that one-third of the Surface Officers intend to remain for only 20 years and 98 percent of them are of year group 1969 and earlier.

B. RANKING CAREER OBJECTIVES

In 1965 the Center for Naval Analyses of the Franklin Institute surveyed 9,137 officers, ensign through captain, of all designators. Included in their survey were 17 career objectives from which the officer was asked to choose the four that were most important and the four that were least important to him. They were subsequently ranked in terms of the percentage of the sample that judged them to

be most important.⁷ Table III indicates the 17 objectives and their ranks.

It was decided by this author to again test some of those objectives within the Surface Warfare Junior Officer Community at the Naval Postgraduate School. Instead of 17 values, 12 were chosen that reflected the entire distribution response of the 17 original objectives and seemed appropriate for the young officer of today. The officers in the present study were asked to simply rank the 12 career objectives with number one being most important. The mean value for each choice was then placed in order, with the lowest value mean representing the most important career objective for those officers completing the survey (see Table III for these results). It was intended to use the responses to these 12 objectives to measure any change in relative rank in the objectives from the previous study. There were, as might be expected, some changes that might be attributed to the differences in the samples; however, others cannot so easily be explained.

The shift in position of the career objective, "opportunity for advanced education or professional growth," might be explained by the evidence that the officers, having already enrolled in a program of higher education, feel less of a psychological need for this objective than a group which as a whole were not offered the opportunity.

⁷ Harsh and Kinney, op. cit., pp. 81-85.

TABLE III (continued)

New Mean	New Rank	Career Satisfactions or Objectives	Original Percentage of Responses		Original Rank
			Most	Least	
8.61	11	Time for home life and/or participation in community affairs	21.5	22.7	8
Not used	-	Meeting the challenge of risky operations or diversified assignments	20.7	28.2	N/u
7.13	7	Helping to promote important national or humanitarian objectives	20.1	22.0	9
Not used	-	A stimulating job free from unproductive 'busy work'	17.5	20.9	N/u
6.44	6	Opportunity for considerable outdoor life, with travel and adventure	16.2	35.9	10
8.89	12	Stimulating social and cultural activities for myself and/or my family	10.1	37.6	11
Not used	-	Freedom from close supervision and regimentation	10.0	45.5	N/u
7.74	10	Successfully carrying out plans in an orderly organization	7.3	27.1	12

* Original data: From Institute of Naval Studies, Study No. 13, by Harsh and Kinney

New data: See Appendix C.

The increase in importance of the objective of the "opportunity to investigate, to try new ideas, to test my ingenuity" may be explained by similar reasoning. The officers answering the questionnaire are undoubtedly aware of their status within the Navy and this coupled with the academic environment would lead them to place a premium on free, original thought and application.

The "opportunity for considerable outdoor life, with travel and adventure" stands substantially above its relative ranking in the original study. Increased emphasis on the recruiting of officers who truly join the Navy to see the world would seem to be a logical recommendation based on this finding.

A method for examining career objectives of all officers upon recruitment--and periodically thereafter--could be used as a means of predicting retention and for career counseling. Career objectives that are highest and most easily satisfied should be those identified in recruiting and stressed by career counselors. You simply advertise what you do best to those whom you want to retain. Surely, if the data were examined, one would expect to find that there are differences in the career objectives of the undecided group and those who will remain for the maximum time. It would seem reasonable that more congruence could be achieved if selective recruiting were practiced where possible. The question posed is, why recruit someone if there is little possibility of retaining him beyond his

minimum obligated service? Of course, these suggestions are not a "cure all" for the retention ills of the Surface Navy, but they could be a small step in the right direction.

C. QUESTIONS AND STATEMENTS: THEIR PAST, PRESENT, OR FUTURE EFFECT ON CAREER INTENT

It was decided that rather than research previous studies for possible areas of investigation, it would be better to generate statements and questions through interview. This procedure has been briefly touched upon in the discussion on development of the questionnaire.

It is fully realized that many areas of concern are not covered by the survey and, conversely, other subjects are extensively treated. One must consider, however, that the statements or questions were generated by the two queries originally presented to the 17 Junior Surface Warfare Officers. They were: (1) What aspects of the Surface Navy or the Navy in general make it attractive as a career? (2) What aspects make it unattractive? The answers to those questions were the essence of the 53 questions or statements as shown in the questionnaire. (See Appendix A for the questions as presented in the survey.)

The survey was designed by the author for ease of answering and to facilitate coding into the computer format needed for the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences by Nie, Bent and Hull. The respondents were given a choice of any one of five answers: (1) strong negative (--); (2) slight negative (-); (3) no effect (0); (4) slight positive (+);

and (5) strong positive (++). Complete instructions were given, including examples.

1. Effect of Superiors

The first interrelated statements are numbers one (Var 021), 11 (Var 031), 18 (Var 038), and 42 (Var 062). All have some concern with the effect of superiors on the career intentions of the individual officer.

All superiors were reported as having a positive effect on retention, with commanding officers having the most positive influence, followed by department heads and executive officers. On a one-to-five scale (where five was strong positive) their respective means were 3.86, 3.69, and 3.39. This would seem to indicate that the commanding officer, whose policies influence everyone on the ship, has the largest effect, while individual interpretation of those policies would be at the immediate superior level by the department head. The executive officer, while very much in the chain of command, is perhaps less influential because of his more administrative posture with little operational duty and, in addition, he traditionally moves in the shadow of the commanding officer, simply echoing his commands.

The last variable, number 062, points out that, although the previous three variables show positive influence, those officers in superior positions could be even more effective in retaining Surface Officers if they practiced more consistent policies. More than one-half the officers

queried elected a negative effect for this variable. The mean was 2.5. A partial solution to this problem might be to have, whenever possible, executive officers on Surface ships relieve the commanding officer when he is detached. This should maintain a greater measure of continuity in policy.

Almost all of the career objectives can be directly or indirectly affected by the more senior officers in the positions discussed. The objective most directly akin to these questions is "work with and for persons whose abilities and accomplishments I respect" which ranks fifth in the selected order of career objectives. It would seem important for this case since superiors were rated negatively on their consistency.

2. Compensation

The next focus of attention is in the area of compensation. Again, responses to four questions are examined. They are: (1) two (Var 022); nine (Var 029); 16 (Var 036); and 36 (Var 056). The first three address special types of pay, and the fourth, overall financial security.

The first calls attention to the possibility of sea pay (Var 022). The example used in this question was not the most recent proposal; however, its lack of currency should not have greatly affected the results. The Navy is acutely aware of the need for this type of compensation and it has been recommended continuously to Congress since the Secretary of the Navy's Task Force on Navy/Marine Corps

Personnel Retention Study was completed in 1966. The present study shows that it would most probably enhance retention in the Surface Navy if effected.

A second subtopic of compensation is the statement on additional pay as afforded to aviators and submariners (Var 036). This subtopic has some correspondence to sea pay. Surface Officers show that their career intentions are affected negatively because they do not receive additional pay for what many must consider arduous and somewhat hazardous duty.

The next subject was the basic allowance for quarters (BAQ) for all officers afloat. The first page of Variable 029 in Appendix C shows the data from all respondents, and the second page has data from only single officers. As might be expected, the mean of the single officers is considerably higher than that of all officers taken together.

Financial security as offered by the Navy should be a major selling point for career retention. Even without the special pays discussed above, financial security (Var 056) is a positive attraction to over 80 percent of the Surface Officers surveyed.

None of the career objectives as used in this study directly relate to the topic of pay; however, its use as a retention incentive is widely believed--witness the increase in military basic pay of 74 percent between 1968 and 1973.

3. Promotion

The subjects related to promotion were the ones most often mentioned in interviews prior to the generation of the questionnaire and, subsequently, they appear most often in the survey as the factor of highest interest.

There are nine subtopics related to promotion. They include numbers: three (Var 023), seven (Var 027), ten (Var 030), 17 (Var 037), 21 (Var 041), 26 (Var 046), 43 (Var 063), 47 (Var 067), and 52 (Var 072). Within these subtopics, some are more generally connected than others. They have been subgrouped into three general areas: (1) opportunity, as affected by time in grade, number of officers to be promoted, and position on the lineal number list; (2) eligibility, as affected by possible criteria to be met while in the next lower rank; (3) early promotion, as in the spot or accelerated promotion programs.

Insofar as the present time in grade requirements (Var 023) affect retention of Surface Officers, one can say that the overall effect is slightly negative (mean of 2.63); however, over 50 percent of the officers queried rated this statement no effect or positive.

Of more immediate concern seems to be the opportunity for selection to lieutenant commander or commander at the present or in the future. Obviously, most officers answering the questionnaire perceive the chance of being promoted as less than desirable and this will perhaps drive some out of the Navy (see Variable 047).

The position of an officer on the lineal list has been important in recent years when fewer promotions have been available and the Navy has been obliged to split year groups for promotion purposes. For example, in fiscal 1973 the entire year group 1965 would have been eligible for promotion to lieutenant commander. Instead, the year group was split approximately in half and those with lineal numbers in the bottom half of the year were forced to wait another year for the opportunity to be selected in the promotion zone, although they were looked at again for deep selection.

Most officers (62.3%) opted positively for readjustment of the lineal list after each promotion (see Var 072). Less than 11 percent said it would affect their career intentions negatively. The reader must keep in mind that the officers answering are high performers and the majority would probably gain from such a readjustment. One possible method in which this readjustment could be accomplished would be to readjust only those in the promotion zone, leaving those above the zone on top and early selectees on the bottom until the next rank is available.

Two questions were posed (Var 027 and Var 041) that would add requirements to those presently needed to advance from one rank to the other. The questions dealt with: (1) requiring pass/fail exams to advance to the next rank; and (2) requiring courses of study for each rank.

More officers were in favor of exams (38.3% for and 26.6% against). However, an opposite trend was evident in response to required courses for promotion as 39.0 were against and 23.7 percent for the proposal. One reason for this response might be that officers believe that an exam to show basic knowledge would be sufficient, and many would welcome it to ensure that all officers were at least at a basic knowledge level of Surface Warfare. Opposition to required courses may stem from the fact that after commissioning, career patterns may become so varied that time for schools and/or courses might not be feasible.

The spot promotion program (Var 030) seems to have been well received by the officers sampled. Its merit is that an officer is promoted for the billet in which he is serving and only keeps the higher rank as long as he serves in the billet. Almost always, of course, the officer has had an outstanding record in order to receive the assignment. The Navy would do well to keep this program in effect if one can judge from these results. It seems definitely enhancing to career retention.

The next group of questions for discussion is accelerated promotion. Areas covered were: (1) the present opportunity for accelerated promotion (Var 037); (2) if the accelerated promotion ceiling were removed (Var 046); and (3) if it were reduced to five percent or less (Var 063).

The majority of officers who did not select the no effect choice selected on the positive side of the

opportunity for accelerated promotion (Var 037). One could surmise that it is having a positive affect, but not as much as the spot promotion program (Var 030).

As for the survey's proposed changes to the opportunity for accelerated promotion, the responses indicate that there are good retention possibilities in removing the ceiling (Var 046), but, relatively less opposition to cutting to five percent or less (Var 063). One solution might be to remove the ceiling, but instruct the boards to apply extremely stringent conditions for early promotion. Thus, depending on the number of officers meeting those requisites, the percentage picked could be more or less than the present ceiling of 15 percent.

It is not surprising that promotion is a key factor in retention. In the list of career objectives, number one is the opportunity for increasingly important managerial responsibility. A Surface Officer does not ordinarily receive that opportunity without promotion.

4. Minority Affairs

The next category is one in which the Navy has unfortunately been subjected to criticism and Congressional inquiry. That subject is, of course, minority affairs. When the interviews were made to generate the questionnaire, the news media had recently given wide coverage to the Navy's problems, so these problems were fresh in the interviewees' minds. When the survey was distributed, coverage was

negligible and one could expect a less attributable news media influence on the responses.

The first statement (Var 024) sampled the effect of the handling of minority problems within the Navy. Since almost all of the sample is white-American, it will reflect white-American Surface Officer attitudes. The negative answers slightly outweigh the positive as a career effect and there were more than twice as many negative as positive responses.

The response to minority opportunity for promotions, education, or billets (Var 049) was as one might hope with the tremendous effort the Navy is making for equality. The mean (3.15) was slightly positive, although over 60 percent said there was no affect on their career intentions.

Variable 049 relates to the career objective of job security and, in particular, fair personnel practices. "Job security, fair personnel practices, and favorable retirement benefits" as a set ranks second in career objectives; therefore, any question or statement that refers to it should have increased importance for retention.

5. Transfer, Deployment, and Time Away from Home

The next area of investigation is more varied. It concerns orders to transfer or deploy, and time away from home port. The variables to be discussed are: 042, 051, 058, 066, 068, and 069.

Time away from home port (Var 042) appears to have received many negative responses if one examines the

histogram; however, one might temper the results by looking at the career objectives where time for home life ranks eleventh.

Unexpected deployment or orders (Var 051) is less negative than advance notice (Var 058) is positive. A full 75 percent of the officers are on the positive side of Variable 058. This could be an important retention factor since fair personnel practices are part of the second highest career objective.

Due to budget constraints, the Navy has had to vary its transfer customs to reflect lengthened tours in some areas. Variables 066 and 069 show responses to two alternatives. It would appear that a majority would favor a three-year rotation (Var 066) over the present system, and an even greater majority would prefer to remain in one CONUS area for a four-year minimum tour (Var 069). This should help retention if implemented but it is difficult to relate this to a career objective.

The greater number of Surface Officers (60%) feel the opportunity for overseas shore/sea duty is a positive inducement toward a career. This is something only the military can readily provide in quantity, so it should be a convincing attraction for a career.

6. Fringe Benefits

Fringe benefits have always been advertised as tremendously important when one compares the military with the civilian environment. The Navy Exchange (Var 028),

the commissary (Var 040), and medical benefits (Var 047) were measured. As expected, all were indicated as positive incentives with means of 3.6, 3.65, and 4.11, respectively. Medical benefits are the major attraction with a full 85 percent of the officers responding on the positive side. These are interesting results in that, ordinarily, one would expect that the cash saved on commissary and exchange expenditures at least equals what usually would be spent on medical bills in a given year for a family on active duty; however, with increasing age, one could expect the medical benefits to have greater importance for the retiree.

7. Education and Training

Education and training should be a prime motivator for career retention--witness the rank of third in the list of career objectives for the "opportunity for advanced education or professional training and growth."

Variable 025 directs attention to the effect of orders to the Naval Postgraduate School. Over 80 percent of the respondents indicated that it affected their career intentions in a positive manner.

A less positive effect was illustrated by the response to the question on the Surface Warfare School (Var 032), but over half indicated that if the Surface Warfare School were upgraded and mandatory for all newly commissioned Surface Officers, career retention would be positively affected.

The effect of pre-training for billets (Var 059) seems to have been relatively ineffective concerning the goal of high career retention although it is slightly in the positive range. One might term the training as adequate, but with no pronounced result on career intentions of Surface Warfare Junior Officers.

8. Living Accommodations

Living accommodations ashore and afloat are the next categories to be examined. No real correlation can be made to the 12 career objectives for the two variables included in this section: officer living conditions on board ship (Var 033), and the adequacy and availability of officer or family quarters ashore (Var 071).

Officer living conditions on board ship show relatively no effect on retention. One can assume that most quarters used by the surveyed officers have been adequate in suitability, but the career retention influence is only marginally into the positive side of the graph.

9. Proposed Legislation

A subject of which most Junior Officers are acutely aware concerns proposed changes in the present Navy and military systems. Change, of course, can be perceived as beneficial or detrimental to the career intent of the individual officer concerned. Three change proposals are: (1) "up or out" legislation (Var 034); (2) removal of tenure for lieutenant commanders (Var 060); and (3) new retirement proposals (Var 073) as outlined in Commander's Digest,

issues of 26 October and 7 December 1972. The latter proposal was commented upon by 25 officers completing the survey. Those comments will be discussed in a later section of the study.

Variables 034 and 060 have some interrelationships. One involves a problem of today (Var 034): too many officers in the grades of commander and captain. The second would result in "up or out" legislation for the lieutenant commander (Var 060). "Up or out" legislation for twice-passed-over commanders and captains shows most officers on the positive side of that variable. This result is not surprising since one attraction is that it would in effect increase promotion opportunity for the Junior Officer. Even though the next rank for most of those surveyed is lieutenant commander, and at this time promotion to that rank guarantees retirement, only about 38 percent of the officers said they would be negatively effected. This result, too, is not unexpected since presumably most officers at the Naval Postgraduate School would expect to attain the rank of commander, or above, if they continue to have high performance records.

The response to the new retirement proposals (Var 073), as they were presented by the Department of Defense and the questionnaire for this study, would seem to indicate that a number of officers would curtail their career intentions if the proposals were legislated. Officer comments on the subject are contained in Appendix D. The

response to Variable 073 had the second lowest mean (2.184) for the entire questionnaire [lowest was peacetime budget constraints (2.179)]. A possible backlash effect if the retirement proposals are passed is that a number of young officers will leave at the ten year mark, who, under the present rules, would have stayed for 20 or more years. They still would be young enough (31 to 35) to enter the competitive civilian job market and have a guaranteed retirement at age 60 because of their Naval service. In answering the survey, not one officer opted for a career intention of remaining over ten, but less than 20, years under the present system.

10. External Influences

There are other influences on retention that usually lie outside the Navy environment. Included in this survey were: (1) comparing oneself with civilians insofar as "getting ahead" (Var 026); (2) civilian opinion of the Navy (Var 054); (3) the wife's opinion of the Surface Navy (Var 035); and (4) the affect of Navy peers (Var 050). The latter, although an external factor, is within the Navy environment.

The first two concern the influence of civilian society on career intentions. A much larger proportion (50%) of the officers believe that they are "getting ahead" of their civilian contemporaries (Var 026) than those who do not (18%). This has a positive result (3.43) for the mean. A large number (55.6%) are not affected by civilian

opinion (Var 054), but 29 percent are negatively affected. A very interesting statistic is that only 23 respondents reported their wives' opinions (Var 035) as having had a negative effect on career intentions. It would seem, then, that there is some positive influence of wives on their husbands to remain on active duty. This has some correlation to the eleventh ranked career objective, time for home life and community affairs, but as to its overall effect, one could not easily describe this complex interrelationship and its effect on retention.

Special friendships are a career objective rated eighth in the questionnaire results. Variable 050 indicates that Navy peer influence (not necessarily friends) is slightly positive with the larger proportion of the officers not affected by their peers. The graph does indicate that only about ten percent of the Navy peers have exerted a negative influence on the Surface Officers queries, and 42 percent have indicated a positive effect by their peers.

11. Navy Standards

Four questions included in the questionnaire have been grouped under the general heading of Navy Standards. They are: (1) enlisted re-enlistment standards (Var 043); (2) Z-Grams (Var 045); (3) unannounced inspections (Var 053); and (4) beer and/or wine for ships (Var 061). Each is a different area; therefore, they will be discussed separately. No real correlation to the career objectives can be made.

Enlisted re-enlistment standards, although indicating a negative overall effect; do not have a pronounced negative affect when one observes the mean of 2.84. There is, of course, some difference of opinion since 59 answers were negative compared to 36 positive responses.

Z-grams (Var 045) appear to have a positive effect, however, not as positive as the Navy might hope. Of the responses, 58.1 percent were in the positive range. Interestingly, the slight negative and slight positive columns were larger than the no effect column, so Z-grams are having a career effect, and the majority of the time it appears positive.

Unannounced inspections (Var 053) met with mixed replies with the larger proportion showing a negative effect. Less than 30 percent of the responses indicated no effect.

The topic of allowing regulated beer and/or wine aboard ships (Var 061) would seem to have about evenly matched sides as to the indicated career effect. This area should be investigated further to find out what the pro's and con's are before changing the present Navy policy of prohibiting alcoholic beverages on board ships.

12. Ships and Equipment

A well known concern of the Navy is not only the diminishing quantity of ships, but also the quality of ships and equipment remaining in service. New ships are not being built in quantity and the old ones are, in many cases, outmoded. This, coupled with ever-decreasing defense

budgets, is making it difficult to say with credibility that our Surface Navy is second to none. No matter how good the people are, they still must go down to the sea in ships.

Two variables are included in this category: quality of ships and equipment (Var 044) and peacetime budget constrains (Var 052). Both, taken together, displayed the most negative response of any major category in the survey. The respondents answered nearly 70 percent in the negative as opposed to only about 15 percent positive on the career effect of the quality of ships and equipment. Peacetime budget constraints were even more negative with over 70 percent responding negatively. This, incidentally, was the most negative response to any variable in the questionnaire. The impacts of peacetime budget constraints, of course, involve not only ships and equipment, but also quarters construction, pay raises, retirement changes, etc.

13. Command at Sea

One can note in a later section that looking forward to command at sea is frequently mentioned as the most attractive aspect of the Surface Navy by officers commenting on the last open-ended question. This is not surprising since the number one career objective selected was the opportunity for increasingly important managerial responsibility. Four variables offer some explanation of the effects of this category, command at sea. They are: (1)

the present or future opportunity for command at sea at all levels (Var 048); (2) the opportunity for assignment as an executive officer or commanding officer in the grade of lieutenant commander as compared with five or more years ago (Var 057); (3) if commanding officers were rated by their subordinates (Var 064); and (4) if OOD's had to be approved by a board in addition to the approval of the commanding officer (Var 070). The first two concern opportunity and the latter two deal with the authority of the commanding officer.

Both variables 048 and 057 are interesting in that most people are affected, and the positive sides have only a slight edge. It would seem that, despite the decreased opportunity for command due to fewer ships, a number of officers see this as welcome competition to put the really outstanding officer in the driver's seat of a Surface ship.

Insofar as authority is concerned, both variables 064 and 070 had negative means. Variable 064 was more negative even though the question stated that the report would go only to the officer reported upon. It can be concluded that there is considerable opposition to removing any of the commanding officer's authority although about 25 percent do favor it as a career incentive.

14. Image

The last major category to be covered of the 53 positive/negative questions is that of the Surface Navy's image. The three remaining variables are: (1) the image

as presented by civilian and government news media (Var 039); (2) the image as compared with air and subsurface branches (Var 055); and (3) if Surface Warfare Officers had to earn through diligent effort a Surface Warfare Designator (1110) with accompanying insignia (Var 065).

Variable 039 concerning news media shows, for the most part, no real effect since over 60 percent of the officers selected the no effect column. Almost 30 percent, however, did respond negatively. A larger negative effect was shown by Variable 055. Over 45 percent responded that their career intentions were affected negatively when comparing their image with that of air and subsurface officers.

One step that has already been taken to some extent was to upgrade the standards to be met in order to receive a Surface Warfare Designator.⁸ Almost 60 percent said they were affected positively and only eight percent negatively (Var 065). Although comments were not solicited on this question, six officers who were in the positive category said that they felt the insignia was not necessary, but rather an unnecessary adornment.

As we have seen, there are many variables which do affect career retention. There are probably an infinite number that have some effect; however, it is believed that

⁸ Bureau of Naval Personnel, Bureau of Naval Personnel Manual (NAVPERS 15791B), Washington D. C., U. S. Government Printing Office, 1969, Change: January, 1973, Article No. 1410270, pp. 14-7 and 14-8.

the major areas of concern for today's Surface Navy were dealt with by the 53 questions just examined.

D. THE NEW RETIREMENT PROPOSALS

At the end of question 53 (a question on the new retirement proposals), pertinent comments were invited. Twenty-five officers gave their opinions. This number represented 15 percent of the 162 officers who replied to the questionnaire. All responses are listed in Appendix D. Since the absolute number of replies is small, each is presented independently, and no attempt was made to subjectively categorize them.

All of the comments were negative. Only one officer recognized the need for change, but even he did not agree with the proposal as presented. Although not acknowledged in the Appendix, one officer commented that the presentation, as shown by the survey, was biased in favor of the proposal. Extreme care was taken in this regard; however, if any bias was in the questionnaire, it was unintended.

The most often repeated comments were that the proposals were unfair to those presently on active duty and under the current system, that the legislation if passed would lower retention (two officers stated they would resign), and that rather than this proposal, the Department of Defense should move toward a retirement system along the lines of industry. Other significant comments were that it appears to benefit only captains and above, and that it is ex post facto in nature.

When viewing the responses as a whole, it appears that there is significant opposition to the proposed legislation in its present context and, with this amount of dissatisfaction, one could expect that the Surface Navy would find it increasingly difficult to retain quality officers in a volunteer forces environment if the legislation is enacted as presented in the questionnaire.

E. CHANGES RECOMMENDED FOR THE SURFACE NAVY

After completing the 53 questions and statements, the officer was presented with two open-ended questions, the first of which will now be discussed. The query first posed was, "If there were one change you could make in the Surface Navy that would cause you to increase the length of time that you intend to remain in the Navy, what would that change be?"

As one might expect, the range of suggested changes was wide; however, they have been arranged in categories similar to those used for the 53 questions previously discussed. There were 142 officers of the 162 who proposed changes. This represents 89 percent of the total respondents. Some officers listed as many as three changes, so 174 proposed changes are presented in Appendix E. The groups of proposed changes are arranged in order of number of times mentioned. The most frequent group of suggestions appears first and within each group, the most frequently mentioned change is listed first. Change recommendations

that were basically the same in content, and that appear more than once, have noted in parentheses their frequency of response. It is recognized that there can be some overlap of groups; however, the categories were chosen for simplicity of referral.

The largest category is termed Navy Standards. The largest subcategory or change recommendation appears in the group titled "Ships and Equipment". Eighteen officers said that an increase in ships would cause them to remain in the Navy longer than presently intended.

Future studies may desire to use these change proposals to prepare other questionnaires or, in the cases of frequently mentioned and feasible changes, the Navy should consider them as possibilities. The problem with most changes as recommended is that they are not specific and must be investigated further. The intent here was only to identify those areas of the Surface Navy that the high-performing Surface Junior Officer considers important enough that if changed, would cause him to increase the length of time that he intends to remain in the Navy.

F. MOST ATTRACTIVE ASPECTS OF THE SURFACE NAVY

The last question contained in the survey was, "What is the most attractive aspect of the Surface Navy?" There were 141 officers who responded to this question for a total of 87 percent of the 162 returns. The total number of attractive aspects was 150 and no officer submitted more than two.

Again, the categories or groups of responses are arranged by size in order of decreasing frequency of responses and the attractions appearing most frequently within a group are listed first. A complete listing of all replies is contained in Appendix F. Only seven groups are contained in this Appendix as compared with 12 in the previous section; however, most categories can be easily related to one or more categories in the previous Appendix.

The categories with the larger number of responses are: Responsibilities and Challenge, Going to Sea and Operations, and Command at Sea. The sub-category most frequently mentioned by individual officers as the most attractive aspect of the Surface Navy was "looking forward to someday having command at sea".

Future studies may desire to investigate one or more of these categories to obtain more explicit information on their retention effects. Innumerable possibilities exist by expansion of the questions in the survey to cover one or more areas in depth that appear to have yielded markedly negative or positive responses.

G. FACTOR ANALYSIS

The factor analysis of the first 53 questions contained in Appendix G is primarily for information purposes and for the use in preparing future studies or questionnaires. Although the categories used in previously discussing the responses to the 53 questions were subjective, a close

examination of the results of the factor analysis reveals some support for the aforementioned groupings. For example, if one examines factor two, it can be noted that three of the top four questions loading on this factor are contained in the a priori grouping dealing with fringe benefits.

IV. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

It is a foregone conclusion that the Surface Navy faces a difficult retention problem. At best, in 1973, the projections show that the Surface Navy will not reach one-half its goal, 30 percent retention. This study has further illuminated that problem. For example, of 13 ensigns responding, not one was inclined to say his intentions were to remain in the Navy for a career.

Through the basic research to generate the questionnaire, and through the medium of the survey itself, it is believed that those retention factors uppermost in the minds of the Surface Navy's Junior Officers have been revealed and examined.

Based on the results of the survey, the following recommendations can be made:

(1) Those areas which the Navy can satisfy most easily and are positive retention factors should be stressed in recruiting and by career counselors.

(2) The Navy should emphasize consistency of policy in senior officers to further enhance their value as retention factors.

(3) The Navy should pay BAQ to all officers afloat if cost studies show it to be feasible.

(4) Sea pay is needed and should continue to be recommended to Congress as a retention incentive.

(5) Unless the fact of year group splitting is removed, the Navy should consider readjustment of the lineal list.

(6) Additional study is advised for the possibility of basic knowledge pass/fail exams to be administered for each rank.

(7) The Navy should retain the Spot Promotion Program as a positive agent of retention.

(8) It is recommended that further study be given to the effect of accelerated promotion ceilings.

(9) A minimum four-year tour length for any CONUS area is recommended.

(10) "Up or out" legislation is recommended for commanders and captains who have failed for selection twice and have 20 or more years of service.

(11) Initial training for all Surface ensigns in the Surface Warfare School and postgraduate education for all qualified officers is recommended.

(12) The Department of Defense's retirement proposals will have some detrimental effect on retention and should be carefully evaluated for this before being put into effect.

(13) "Z-grams" have been well received by Surface Junior Officers and should be continued.

(14) Unannounced inspections should not be effected until additional study is completed.

(15) Beer and/or wine should not be brought on board ships for consumption until more study is completed.

(16) The Navy's ships and equipment must be updated.

(17) It is recommended that there be no additional decrease in command at sea opportunity or the Surface Navy will have even greater retention problems.

(18) The Navy should make a concerted effort within the force to upgrade the image of the Surface Navy with respect to the air and subsurface branches.

(19) More specific requirements are recommended for achievement of the Surface Warfare Designator such as those specified in the BuPers Manual for Submarine Officers.

APPENDIX A

THE QUESTIONNAIRE, COMPUTER PROGRAM, AND RAW DATA

FOR

SURFACE WARFARE

LIEUTENANTS, LIEUTENANTS JUNIOR GRADE, AND ENSIGNS
ONLY

PLEASE FILL OUT THE ATTACHED QUESTIONNAIRE AND PLACE IT IN THE BOXES MARKED SURFACE WARFARE SURVEY WHICH ARE LOCATED IN THE STUDENT MAIL CENTER AND THE LOBBY OF INGERSOLL HALL. IF YOUR QUESTIONNAIRE IS RETURNED LATER THAN ONE WEEK AFTER RECEIPT, PLEASE ADDRESS IT TO SMC 1174.

YOU WILL NOTE THAT YOUR QUESTIONNAIRE HAS BEEN PERSONALLY ADDRESSED TO YOU, SO IN ORDER TO PRESERVE ANONYMITY PLEASE TEAR OFF THIS PAGE AND RETURN ONLY THE QUESTIONNAIRE.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR COOPERATION.

THIS QUESTIONNAIRE IS SUBMITTED AND APPROVED IN ACCORDANCE WITH NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL INSTRUCTION 1520.13. IT WILL BE USED AS AN INTEGRAL PART OF A THESIS IN THE MANAGEMENT CURRICULUM UNDER THE GUIDANCE OF PROFESSOR R. ELSTER. THE COURSE NUMBER ASSIGNED IS MN 0810, TITLED, THESIS RESEARCH FOR MANAGEMENT STUDENTS.

PLEASE CHECK THE FOLLOWING AS APPLICABLE

PRESENT DESIGNATOR-- 1100___ 1110___ 1105___ 1115___ OTHER___
YEAR GROUP-- 65___ 66___ 67___ 68___ 69___ 70___ 71___ 72___ (WRITE IN)
COMMISSION SOURCE-- NAV. ACAD.___ NROTC___ OCS___ NESEP___ OTHER___
PRESENT RANK-- ENSIGN___ LTJG___ LT___ LCDR SELECTEE___ (WRITE IN)
ETHNIC GROUP-- WHITE___ BLACK___ OTHER MINORITY___
MARITAL STATUS-- MARRIED___ SINGLE___ DIVORCED OR SEPARATED___ (WRITE IN)
NAV. PG SCHOOL PROGRAM-- BACCALAUREATE___ MASTER'S___ ENGINEER___ PHD___
CAREER INTENTIONS--
 TO COMPLETE ONLY OBLIGATED SERVICE___
 UNDECIDED___
 TO REMAIN OVER TEN BUT LESS THAN TWENTY YEARS___
 TO REMAIN FOR ONLY TWENTY YEARS___
 TO REMAIN FOR OVER TWENTY YEARS BUT LESS THAN THIRTY___
 TO REMAIN FOR AS LONG AS POSSIBLE___

RANKING YOUR CAREER OBJECTIVES

PLEASE READ THE FOLLOWING TWELVE CAREER OBJECTIVES CAREFULLY, THEN RANK THEM IN ORDER OF THEIR IMPORTANCE TO YOU. NUMBER ONE SHOULD BE THE MOST IMPORTANT CAREER OBJECTIVE WITH NUMBER TWELVE THE LEAST IMPORTANT. TIES ARE NOT ALLOWED. ONE APPROACH TO ORDER THEM MIGHT BE TO CHOOSE ONE, THEN TWELVE, THEN TWO, THEN ELEVEN, ETC.

___ OPPORTUNITY FOR CONSIDERABLE OUTDOOR LIFE, WITH TRAVEL AND ADVENTURE
___ OPPORTUNITY FOR INCREASINGLY IMPORTANT MANAGERIAL RESPONSIBILITY
___ WORK WITH AND FOR PERSONS WHOSE ABILITIES AND ACCOMPLISHMENTS I RESPECT
___ OPPORTUNITY FOR ADVANCED EDUCATION OR PROFESSIONAL TRAINING AND GROWTH
___ HELPING TO PROMOTE IMPORTANT NATIONAL OR HUMANITARIAN OBJECTIVES
___ STIMULATING SOCIAL AND CULTURAL ACTIVITIES FOR MYSELF AND/OR MY FAMILY
___ TIME FOR HOME LIFE AND/OR PARTICIPATION IN COMMUNITY AFFAIRS
___ JOB SECURITY, FAIR PERSONNEL PRACTICES, AND FAVORABLE RETIREMENT BENEFITS
___ ENDURING FRIENDSHIPS WITH PERSONS SHARING MY VALUES AND INTERESTS
___ OPPORTUNITY TO INVESTIGATE, TO TRY NEW IDEAS, TO TEST MY INGENUITY
___ SUCCESSFULLY CARRYING OUT PLANS IN AN ORDERLY ORGANIZATION
___ A POSITION CARRYING PRESTIGE AND RECOGNITION IN SOCIETY

PLEASE NOTE

FOR QUESTIONS/STATEMENTS ONE THROUGH 53 A SLIGHT/STRONG NEGATIVE EFFECT ON YOUR CAREER INTENTIONS MEANS THAT THE QUESTION OR STATEMENT DESCRIBES SOMETHING THAT TO SOME DEGREE IS CAUSING (HAS CAUSED OR WOULD CAUSE) YOU NOT TO MAKE THE NAVY A CAREER.

A STRONG/SLIGHT POSITIVE EFFECT WOULD TEND TO KEEP YOU IN THE NAVY.

EXAMPLE: CONSIDER QUESTION ONE IN THE SURVEY.

IF IN YOUR PREVIOUS EXPERIENCE YOU HAD EXCELLENT EXECUTIVE OFFICERS WHO INFLUENCED YOU TO REMAIN IN THE NAVY, YOU WOULD CHECK EITHER A SLIGHT POSITIVE EFFECT (+) OR A STRONG POSITIVE EFFECT (++) . OF COURSE, THEY COULD HAVE BEEN EXCELLENT, BUT NO EFFECT, IN WHICH CASE YOU WOULD CHECK NO EFFECT (0) .

PLEASE INDICATE ONE OF FIVE CHOICES FOR EACH QUESTION OR STATEMENT BELOW AS IT HAS AFFECTED, IS AFFECTING, OR WOULD AFFECT YOUR CAREER INTENTIONS. IF YOU FEEL A QUESTION IS NOT APPLICABLE TO YOU, PLEASE LEAVE IT BLANK. NOTE CAREFULLY THE BELOW EXPLANATION OF CHOICES.

EXPLANATION OF CHOICES

- (--) INDICATES THAT THE STATEMENT OR QUESTION DESCRIBES SOMETHING THAT WOULD HAVE (IS OR HAS) A STRONG NEGATIVE EFFECT ON YOUR CAREER INTENTIONS.
- (-) INDICATES THAT THE STATEMENT OR QUESTION DESCRIBES SOMETHING THAT WOULD HAVE (IS OR HAS) A SLIGHT NEGATIVE EFFECT ON YOUR CAREER INTENTIONS.
- (0) INDICATES THAT THE STATEMENT OR QUESTION DESCRIBES SOMETHING THAT WOULD HAVE (IS OR HAS) NO EFFECT ON YOUR CAREER INTENTIONS.
- (+) INDICATES THAT THE STATEMENT OR QUESTION DESCRIBES SOMETHING THAT WOULD HAVE (IS OR HAS) A SLIGHT POSITIVE EFFECT ON YOUR CAREER INTENTIONS.
- (++) INDICATES THAT THE STATEMENT OR QUESTION DESCRIBES SOMETHING THAT WOULD HAVE (IS OR HAS) A STRONG POSITIVE EFFECT ON YOUR CAREER INTENTIONS.

(--)	(-)	(0)	(+)	(++)	
—	—	—	—	—	1. THE EFFECT OF YOUR FORMER EXECUTIVE OFFICERS
—	—	—	—	—	2. IF SEA PAY BECOMES LAW, FOR EXAMPLE, IF OFFICERS WERE PAID \$30 PER MONTH FOR EVERY TWO YEARS OF SEA DUTY UP TO A MAXIMUM OF \$120
—	—	—	—	—	3. THE PRESENT TIME IN GRADE AND/OR SERVICE REQUIREMENTS FOR PROMOTION FROM LTJG THROUGH CAPTAIN
—	—	—	—	—	4. THE HANDLING OF MINORITY PROBLEMS WITHIN THE NAVY
—	—	—	—	—	5. BEING ORDERED TO THE NAVAL POST-GRADUATE SCHOOL
—	—	—	—	—	6. COMPARING YOURSELF WITH CONTEMPORARIES IN CIVILIAN LIFE INSOFAR AS "GETTING AHEAD"

PAST, PRESENT, OR FUTURE
EFFECT ON CAREER INTENT

(--) (-) (0) (+) (++)

- | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|--|
| — | — | — | — | — | 7. IF PASS/FAIL WRITTEN EXAMINATIONS WERE REQUIRED TO SHOW BASIC ACCUMULATION OF KNOWLEDGE NECESSARY FOR SURFACE OFFICERS IN THE NEXT RANK |
| — | — | — | — | — | 8. THE NAVY EXCHANGE |
| — | — | — | — | — | 9. IF BAQ IS MADE AVAILABLE TO <u>ALL</u> OFFICERS AFLOAT |
| — | — | — | — | — | 10. THE SPOT PROMOTION PROGRAM |
| — | — | — | — | — | 11. THE EFFECT OF YOUR FORMER COMMANDING OFFICERS |
| — | — | — | — | — | 12. IF THE SURFACE WARFARE SCHOOL WERE UPGRADED AND MADE MANDATORY FOR ALL NEWLY COMMISSIONED SURFACE OFFICERS |
| — | — | — | — | — | 13. OFFICER LIVING CONDITIONS ABOARD SHIP |
| — | — | — | — | — | 14. IF TWICE PASSED OVER COMMANDERS AND CAPTAINS WERE FORCED OUT BY "UP OR OUT" LEGISLATION |
| — | — | — | — | — | 15. YOUR WIFE'S OPINION OF THE SURFACE NAVY |
| — | — | — | — | — | 16. THE FACT THAT SURFACE OFFICERS DO NOT RECEIVE ADDITIONAL PAY COMPARABLE TO FLIGHT PAY |
| — | — | — | — | — | 17. THE PRESENT OPPORTUNITY FOR ACCELERATED PROMOTION |
| — | — | — | — | — | 18. THE EFFECT OF YOUR FORMER DEPARTMENT HEADS |
| — | — | — | — | — | 19. THE IMAGE OF THE SURFACE NAVY AS PRESENTED BY CIVILIAN AND GOVERNMENT NEWS MEDIA |
| — | — | — | — | — | 20. THE COMMISSARY |
| — | — | — | — | — | 21. IF COURSES OF STUDY (CORRESPONDENCE OR SCHOOL) WERE REQUIRED FOR EACH RANK |

PAST, PRESENT, OR FUTURE
EFFECT ON CAREER INTENT

(--) (-) (0) (+) (++)

—	—	—	—	—	22. TIME AWAY FROM HOMEPORT
—	—	—	—	—	23. ENLISTED REENLISTMENT STANDARDS
—	—	—	—	—	24. OVERALL QUALITY OF SHIPS AND EQUIP- MENT IN THE SURFACE NAVY
—	—	—	—	—	25. THE EFFECT OF "Z" GRAMS
—	—	—	—	—	26. IF THE ACCELERATED PROMOTION CEILING WERE REMOVED
—	—	—	—	—	27. MEDICAL BENEFITS
—	—	—	—	—	28. THE PRESENT OR FUTURE OPPORTUNITY FOR COMMAND AT SEA AT ALL LEVELS
—	—	—	—	—	29. YOUR PERCEPTION OF MINORITY OPPORTU- NITY FOR PROMOTION, EDUCATION, OR BILLETS
—	—	—	—	—	30. THE EFFECT OF YOUR NAVY PEERS
—	—	—	—	—	31. UNEXPECTED DEPLOYMENT OR BUPERS ORDERS
—	—	—	—	—	32. PEACETIME BUDGET CONSTRAINTS
—	—	—	—	—	33. IF ALMOST ALL INSPECTIONS WERE UNANNOUNCED
—	—	—	—	—	34. CIVILIAN OPINION OF THE NAVY
—	—	—	—	—	35. THE IMAGE OF THE SURFACE NAVY AS COM- PARED WITH THE AVIATION AND SUB- MARINE BRANCHES
—	—	—	—	—	36. FINANCIAL SECURITY OFFERED BY THE NAVY
—	—	—	—	—	37. OPPORTUNITY FOR ASSIGNMENTS AS EXECU- TIVE OFFICER OR COMMANDING OFFICER AS A LCDR COMPARED WITH FIVE OR MORE YEARS AGO
—	—	—	—	—	38. ADVANCE NOTICE OF DEPLOYMENT OR BUPERS ORDERS

PAST, PRESENT, OR FUTURE
EFFECT ON CAREER INTENT

(--) (-) (0) (+) (++)

—	—	—	—	—	39. ADEQUACY OF PRE-TRAINING FOR BILLETS YOU HAVE HELD
—	—	—	—	—	40. IF TENURE FOR LCDR'S IS REMOVED
—	—	—	—	—	41. IF BEER AND/OR WINE WERE AVAILABLE AND REGULATED ONBOARD SHIPS
—	—	—	—	—	42. CONSISTENCY OR INCONSISTENCY OF SUPERIORS
—	—	—	—	—	43. IF THE ACCELERATED PROMOTION CEILING WERE 5% OR LESS
—	—	—	—	—	44. IF COMMANDING OFFICERS WERE OFFICIAL- LY RATED BY THEIR SUBORDINATE OFFICERS WITH THE REPORT GOING ONLY TO THE COMMANDING OFFICER REPORTED UPON
—	—	—	—	—	45. HAVING TO EARN THROUGH DILIGENT EF- FORT A SURFACE WARFARE DESIGNATOR WITH ACCOMPANYING INSIGNIA
—	—	—	—	—	46. IF ONE COULD EXPECT TO CHANGE LOCA- TIONS EVERY THREE YEARS, FOR EXAMPLE, A THREE YEAR SEA OR SHORE TOUR
—	—	—	—	—	47. THE PRESENT OR PERCEIVED FUTURE OPPORTUNITY FOR SELECTION TO LCDR AND COMMANDER
—	—	—	—	—	48. THE OPPORTUNITY FOR ACCOMPANIED OVER- SEAS SEA/SHORE DUTY
—	—	—	—	—	49. IF ONE COULD EXPECT TO REMAIN IN ONE LOCAL CONUS AREA FOR A MINIMUM OF FOUR YEARS, FOR EXAMPLE, A TWO YEAR SEA TOUR, FOLLOWED BY A TWO YEAR SHORE TOUR
—	—	—	—	—	50. IF OOD'S HAD TO BE APPROVED BY A LOCAL BOARD IN ADDITION TO COMMAND- ING OFFICER APPROVAL
—	—	—	—	—	51. THE AVAILABILITY OF SUITABLE NAVY QUARTERS ASHORE FOR BACHELORS OR FAMILIES

PAST, PRESENT, OR FUTURE
EFFECT ON CAREER INTENT

(--) (-) (0) (+) (++)

- | | |
|-------------------------------|--|
| — — — — — | 52. IF THE LINEAL LIST WERE ADJUSTED BY PERFORMANCE FOR EACH GROUP OF OFFICERS PROMOTED AT THE SAME TIME |
| — — — — — | 53. IF THE NEW RETIREMENT PROPOSALS ARE MADE LAW. THESE ARE OUTLINED IN <u>COMMANDER'S DIGEST</u> ISSUES OF 26 OCTOBER AND 7 DECEMBER 1972. SOME HIGHLIGHTS ARE: INTEGRATION OF SOCIAL SECURITY AND RETIREMENT BENEFITS AT AGE 65; PROVIDE PAYMENT TO VOLUNTARY AND INVOLUNTARY SEPARTEES BEFORE THEY ATTAIN RETIREMENT ELIGIBILITY: MULTIPLIER FOR RETIREMENT WILL STAY AT 2-1/2% PER YEAR, BUT, INCREASE TO 3% PER YEAR FROM 25 TO 30 YEARS; HOWEVER, THOSE RETIRING WITH LESS THAN 30 YEARS WILL NOT RECEIVE THE FULL ANNUITY UNTIL THE TIME THEY WOULD HAVE REACHED 30 YEARS OF SERVICE, BUT WILL INSTEAD RECEIVE FROM 0 TO 15% LESS IN AN IMMEDIATE ANNUITY BASED ON A TRANSITION PROVISION (APPLIES TO ALL SERVING PRIOR TO LAW'S ENACTMENT); RETIRED PAY WOULD BE BASED ON THE HIGHEST ONE-YEAR AVERAGE BASIC PAY; THERE WOULD BE A SAVE PAY PROVISION. |

ANY PERTINENT COMMENTS ON THE ABOVE QUESTION IN ADDITION TO THE INDICATION OF CAREER EFFECT ARE ENCOURAGED.
PLEASE WRITE ON THE BACK OF THIS PAGE.

PLEASE ANSWER THE FOLLOWING QUESTIONS WITH A FEW SHORT WORDS OR SENTENCES.

IF THERE WERE ONE CHANGE YOU COULD MAKE IN THE SURFACE NAVY THAT WOULD CAUSE YOU TO INCREASE THE LENGTH OF TIME THAT YOU INTEND TO REMAIN IN THE NAVY, WHAT WOULD THAT CHANGE BE?

WHAT IS THE MOST ATTRACTIVE ASPECT OF THE SURFACE NAVY?

SURFACE WARFARE OFFICER SURVEY

HAVE YOU RETURNED YOUR COMPLETED SURFACE WARFARE OFFICER QUESTIONNAIRE? IF NOT, PLEASE RETURN IT AS SOON AS POSSIBLE.

IF YOU HAVE LOST YOUR COPY, OR KNOW OF SOMEONE THAT HAS BEEN OVERLOOKED, PLEASE PICK UP ANOTHER COPY IN THE MANAGEMENT CURRICULAR OFFICE, ROOM 219, INGERSOLL HALL.

PLACE YOUR QUESTIONNAIRE IN THE BOXES MARKED SURFACE WARFARE SURVEY LOCATED IN THE STUDENT MAIL CENTER AND THE LOBBY OF INGERSOLL HALL. LATE RETURNS SHOULD BE ADDRESSED TO SMC 1174.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR COOPERATION!


```

RUN NAME
FILE NAME
VARIABLE LIST
# CF CASES
INPUT FCRMAT
PRINT FCRMAT
MISSING VALUES
VAR LABELS

FINAL RUN
SURFACE WARFARE SURVEY
VAR001 TO VAR073
162
FIXED (10X,8F1.0,12F2.0/10X,53F1.0)
CARD
VAR001 TC VAR073(0)
VAR001 TO VAR073(0)
VAR001,PRESENT DESIGNATOR/
VAR002,YEAR GRUPO/
VAR003,COMMISSION SOURCE/
VAR004,PRESENT RANK/
VAR005,ETHNIC GROUP/
VAR006,MARITAL STATUS/
VAR007,NAV PG PROGRAM/
VAR008,CAREER INTENTIONS/
VAR009,OPPORTUN OUTDOOR, TRAVEL,ADVENTURE/
VAR010,OPPORTUN INCR MANGERIAL RESPONS/
VAR011,PERSONS,ABIL AND ACCOMP, I RESPECT/
VAR012,OPPORTUN ADVANCE EDUCAT,TRAINING,GROWTH/
VAR013,PRCMOTE NAT,HUMAN INTARIAN CEJECTIVES/
VAR014,SOC AND CULTURAL ACTIVITIES/
VAR015,HOM LIFE,COMMUNITY AFFAIRS/
VAR016,JOB SECUR,PERS PRACTICES,RETIREMENT/
VAR017,FRIENDSHIPS,SHARING VAL,INTERESTS/
VAR018,OPPORTUN INVESTIGATE,TRY ICEAS/
VAR019,CARRY OUT PLANS CRDERLY CRGANIZATION/
VAR020,POSITION OF PRESTIGE,RECOGNITION/
VAR021,EXECUTIVE OFFICERS/
VAR022,SEA PAY/
VAR023,PRESENT TIME IN GRADE REQUIRED/
VAR024,MINORITY PROBLEMS/
VAR025,NAV PG SCHOOL ORDERS/
VAR026,CCMPARE WITH CIVILIANS/
VAR027,EXAMS FOR EACH RANK/
VAR028,NAVY EXCHANGE/
VAR029,BAU,ALL AFLCAT OFFICERS/
VAR030,SPOT PROMOTION PROGRAM/
VAR031,COMMANDING OFFICERS/
VAR032,SURFACE WARFARE SCHOOL/
VAR033,OFFICER LIVING CONDITION SHIPS/
VAR034,UP UR OUT LEGISLATION/
VAR035,WIFE'S OPINION/
VAR036,ADDCIT PAY COMPAR TO FLT PAY/
VAR037,OPPCRTUN ACCEL PRCMOTION/
VAR038,DEPARTMNT HEADS/
VAR039,NEWS MEDIA/
VAR040,COMMISSARY/

```


VAR041, REQUIRED COURSES/
 VAR042, TIME AWAY HOMEP CRT/
 VAR043, ENL REENLIST STANDARDS/
 VAR044, QUAL OF SHIPS, EQUIPMENT/
 VAR045, Z GRAMS/
 VAR046, ACCEL PROM CEILING REMOVED/
 VAR047, MEDICAL BENEFITS/
 VAR048, PRES, FUTURE OPPORTUN FOR CCMMAND/
 VAR049, MINORITY OPPORTUN PROM ETC./
 VAR050, NAVY PEERS/
 VAR051, UNEXP DEPLOYMENT OR ORDERS/
 VAR052, PEACETIME BUDGET CCNSTRANTS/
 VAR053, UNANNOUNCED INSPECTIONS/
 VAR054, CIVILIAN OPINION/
 VAR055, COMPARE SURF WITH SUB AND AIR/
 VAR056, FINANCIAL SECURITY/
 VAR057, EXEC CR CO OPPORTUN AS LCDR/
 VAR058, ADVANCE NOTICE DEPLOY OR CRDERS/
 VAR059, ADEQUACY OF PRE-TRAINING/
 VAR060, TENURE LCDR'S REMOVED/
 VAR061, BEER, WINE ON SHIPS/
 VAR062, CONSISTENCY, INCONSISTENCY OF SUPERIORS/
 VAR063, ACCEL PROM CEILING LESS 5 PERCENT/
 VAR064, CO RATED BY SUPERORDINATES/
 VAR065, SURFACE WARFARE DESIGNATOR/
 VAR066, THREE YEAR ROTATION/
 VAR067, OPPORTUN SELECT TO LCDR/
 VAR068, OPPORTUN OVERSEA DUTY/
 VAR069, FOUR YEARS CNE CCNUS AREA/
 VAR070, BOARD APPROVAL OF OOD'S /
 VAR071, NAVY QUARTERS AVAILABILITY/
 VAR072, LINEAL LIST ADJUSTMENT/
 VAR073, NEW RETIREMENT PROPOSALS
 VAR001 (1)1100(2)1110(3)1105(4)1115(5)OTHER/
 VAR002 (1)65(2)66(3)67(4)68(5)69(6)70(7)71(8)72/
 VAR003 (1)NAV.ACAD.(2)NROTC(3)OCS(4)NASEP(5)OTHER/
 VAR004 (1)ENSGN(2)LTJG(3)LT(4)LCDR SELECTEE/
 VAR005 (1)WHITE(2)BLACK(3)OTHER MINORITY/
 VAR006 (1)MARRIED(2)SINGLE(3)DIVORCED OR SEP/
 VAR007 (1)BACCALAUREATE(2)MASTER'S(3)ENGINEER'S(4)PHD/
 VAR008 (1)MIN OBL SER(2)UNDECIDED (3)REM OVER 10(4)REM ONLY 20
 (5)REM OVER 20(6)REM MAXIMUM/
 VAR009 TO VAR020 (1)FIRST(2)SECOND(3)THIRD(4)FOURTH(5)FIFTH
 (6)SIXTH(7)SEVENTH(8)EIGHTH(9)NINTH(10)TENTH(11)ELEVENTH
 (12)TWELFTH/
 VAR021 TO VAR073 (1)STRONG NEG(2)SLIGHT NEG (3)NO EFFECT
 (4)SLIGHT POS(5)STRONG POS
 VAR001 TO VAR008

VALUE LABELS

CODEBOOK

READ	INPUT	DATA
0011	23331	116070306020405090110081211
0012	45334	3343344233343322332332332545513331153451532
0021	23231	1122100902030612080405011107
0022	24435	4343433513232431323253351211253445342445153551
0031	23331	1126080207040305100911010612
0032	43234	33455343534523433145453331232354542324422533454
0041	22141	1126090201050307121106041008
0042	23345	52333454452552323044452453143234542112531441541
0051	23231	1124060205010411100708031209
0052	44245	354445534525345534343423332432424434334542432341
0062	24223	452044432522211343243221322132334334221542553552
0071	23431	125090303641105100108071202
0072	55314	51555344531335123123542311233535313235153553541
0081	23131	112610060905040807011031202
0082	23235	21355435422432113245332302502244302211341251152
0091	23351	1124080409030711120110050602
0092	34225	113334334124533312452443412343421533222423451442
0101	21231	1126040203051011120906010807
0102	33132	33341345511323232255453421231454253214452524452
0111	23231	1126070108020310060409051112
0112	44004	33444534302434323540443321433424413133444442540
0121	25433	1125110703021210040109050608
0122	45435	543035333233434313244533312333535424222324353342
0131	18111	1122050609030708041001021211
0132	03334	44450044334033434034444403233444002224444544443
0141	21441	1124080901061011020304050712
0142	34334	35233253332332512243423323133435222134143443330
0151	22131	1122011009060207080503111204
0152	44344	334343333234423332434342344343533233303443233
0161	23131	1125011109070308040602101205
0162	55344	4453345353335333443535323144534201233434454230
0171	21131	1125120208011104050309061007
0172	44244	331432245532334213442524223132422434242322343343
0181	23431	1122020908050104120310060711
0182	25334	434343342324245223434233333444244433334424443433
0191	26331	1225080106030309100207041211
0192	53324	544555435025424344244543431334354532323534342542
0201	46331	1126070410080509120102110603
0202	43224	53334544425255344332353532242524422423542332442
0211	26421	1122120201110708100509030406
0212	43214	234332321331434123254512223333434224232242431
0221	23131	1222080405030211070106101209
0222	25404	411555035023431230355544232413534545235335041545
0231	13131	1124050307020912110104100806
0232	34335	3343443353343422213533323133543215231344351324
0241	1821	11222010906081112050407100203

0242
0251
0252
0261
0262
0271
0272
0281
0282
0291
0292
0301
0302
0311
0312
0321
0322
0331
0332
0341
0342
0351
0352
0361
0362
0371
0372
0381
0382
0391
0392
0401
0402
0411
0412
0421
0422
0431
0432
0441
0442
0451
0452
0461
0462
0471
0472
0481

35224244543424014324334244443211122443024223322442453
23331126010407060312110210090805
43223444443443343443423354333234344424431344451522
25431124050209011207060311100804
4332342234434543233422232444333333445322333334333343
21231124010603100408110902120705
13342223313334445333443323322324322333434223223233
25231124030406071110080102120905
4432532434544532432431324444343312244433223424353341
23431124060305021007080111090412
34443342554244453444524422444333444544422433543444445
23131224060704110512100203080501
542444234454444322433222244354422222524424224323544441
34331212041211011005080206030704
532153543144350125233101245112333431434341133321044143
22431124040309020807100111050612
433253333223323332223222443322233444443233424443242
24331124100109071112030206080504
213243443434344344223323533321332434215431432353342
24231125040207101208030109061105
43214313335334432424132224523422433414414321242443451
18111222080702050609101101041203
0522423355402302030352232345425333422423303221102432322
23431124040306010910120208050711
44335540432325425422244533333522544353214222444352
22331124060908101204010503021107
442342343343342332431213343322332414233432342233231
2231125010410110206120305070609
242243243443343434233344553433233554432324332331340
2442235060307020812110510090104
45224344442402252342425542221123324453212432241241
24231122060107020309080405121110
444354230443354233343222245333333340344223343343433
23431125110309010208040710051206
44235434344354244434314244444422243424443223444343442
25331122020107050806120403051011
342223343444344245242342324244321213444332204441442220
24424434322443412222343342223343442233434431233442352231
2411126100802031209050106071104
3332433445442334034322243543312233445433233543443332
14531126030409011011120208060705
4423544334433434333323245444322332444313332432443443
16231224010302040510110206070812
332344445421240032344340234032324304342233032030331
18211222040105030611071208020510
34225345545433434345454532333253442425444434353
24131125100203041207050106081109

0482 343334445352444424535222343554433433525212222442444342
0491 213411224090203071211100108060504
0492 3425445454223333432222333223432145424521334423344444
0501 15431124060309020411100112070805
0502 4422442435434322443422242344422232533233232444431541
0511 23531116040109020511100812070306
0512 53345533333543333343333330445433333553331332443334333
0521 24131122070306021004110105090812
0522 54222244344334124414322244123423313524533233233454454
0531 12531114120203051110060107040809
0532 44224333432334123333312234323322433434244333323344341
0541 24231122020110050703120406080511
0542 35535533344444333432331435453322322444103332334531330
0551 25531135040206030912080507011110
0552 4422444323443434343322145424422432424253223432334444
0561 24532122120102031106040507080910
0562 43245325352543442543434222144555432132452343411425552532
0572 35331124020509030608040112071110
0572 243254343434343434343422323543233333454333223233443430
0581 2213112410020911205040103060807
0582 34124423345334224434213444453412232545343333424452351
0591 25131224050212030906100111070804
0592 34344445434340333243344444342223224443344234444342
0601 13231122120104021007060809030511
0602 24225234342534332524512333423412432423223131533333332
0611 46331225061005071108120102030904
0612 4312524444522502443344122544442233320345424332343242
0621 13231124050106070911120210040308
0622 24244424554433222335243245524422322524221243442413141
0631 26221322110108020512100304060907
0632 342254345424534443224444543422244534353133444333444
0641 24131124010302090304100705060912
0642 53325434442554414524212224554321122454144222544544434
0651 1811122120105020507030603041110
0652 3412422434243412532421324443242332233433234343443451
0661 13531215110208070910120704050603
0662 4334444534433313534313333454144544445353344434353444
0671 22321324100203071112040509080601
0672 23244434454343242321222443322443322534443203301544453552
0681 22131126070206011209110310040508
0682 34434314432345322334222343530223133424413040402342022
0691 22311135030104050610110708020912
0692 433353443244354343244343343422133434424233342443342
0701 21441125040310021109070106081205
0702 35334443443323343443223434323331432533212144441543341
0711 21131122090610010711120208040503
0712 4544534443535414235424244525432132425415431532541241
0721 24531324020307040809120111050610

0722 2
0731 2
0732 2
0741 1
0742 2
0751 1
0752 2
0761 1
0762 2
0771 1
0772 2
0781 1
0782 2
0791 1
0792 2
0801 2
0802 2
0811 1
0812 2
0821 2
0822 2
0831 1
0832 2
0841 1
0842 2
0851 1
0852 2
0861 1
0862 2
0871 1
0872 2
0881 1
0882 2
0891 1
0892 2
0901 1
0902 2
0911 1
0912 2
0921 1
0922 2
0931 1
0932 2
0941 1
0942 2
0951 1
0952 2
0961 1

25414533454441531443423341245214322311544415234442355551
21541126060103100511120807020409
41433443414532531033534211113333522423411153555125514
25431124050109070810030411021206
2414344445554452542432224542422243243444413432344251
24131124010803090211120405100706
45324534445444534524331222342522122544431531545443244
25231124040511020912060110070802
4445554435244443245344232535353124425352232325434343
23131124110209060710120108030405
452235433455254254244122454422424422433441452251
185112222120301021005040809070611
434333254244435324353235+35323121334334253443342545
18211222031204020709110105100608
23234244344422343431334454331343243534242434454440
23331122060312080109020410070811
34215224422323411414314132425341421422422145532334231
25431125040507021208030110061109
252244323344112332313234213233231244442223431333531
23331125010207060912031004051103
4425335335453542543332245424432431423422122545441554
2553112404060509101120107080202
442342343343343432233444343233342432333332343332
2413226020509030612110110040708
53304234455544032423334223322122244423233432432421
19211221030805011011120507040602
5413443455534112534433454413312333355312212432453553
23431125100312060702050111050804
244344443333433332344222343032233344443333332443432
36221122050611021207080109030410
24235244344522332324422244424413423535224324452454233
22231125090204030512111006080701
43044344424345433324143143444442212342423444244353422
23131126090204070312051006110801
25235214424514232431122245123212223444424421342542153
2233112401051204106070203090811
14334413334325123323112244433312332434334521442442341
22131125050207060111081209030410
53333354+55535353453552455553313532425433521533552553
2423231114091003041112050107060802
2423255233434434423414354442442443534225131444531442
21441125110308020912100105060704
343444344432533333224423352133524323234332342142
24231122030504021207080906011011
4423453434542422443331324444331233344544143344542442
22131125120308060210040111070509
454254254353245233353141432444434021422441544
22131126080107091210110605020304

0962
0971
0972
0981
0982
0991
0992
1001
1002
1011
1012
1021
1022
1031
1032
1041
1042
1051
1052
1061
1062
1071
1072
1081
1082
1091
1092
1101
1102
1111
1112
1121
1122
1131
1132
1141
1142
1151
1152
1161
1162
1171
1172
1181
1182
1191
1192
1201

532344143453334444434233332445333333434442321132524431
231311220402031001111209060507C8
2342424444543433424124143454422424424331321321341
21331124010712C60504090302101108
543342125242314334421342222453332432444411351453014531
22231225000000000000000000000000
44333335544334333433234344323332333423424233444432343
46321221090110051107060204031208
54125143542324331233312254543211333110242122322444131
1423132460205010911120410030807
000000000032433443323243444432334444433321331333542
24131226080701C609121103020510C4
5533421455452233442424424244303222443324222352353341
13111222050107040908110203061210
3522544434343424242424244553412142435351321422542442
24131122010204100911030807120605
445245244443425223244142444442222424432221244243443
25131122020106030504070903101211
3343454335422342331322324432332221334414221322542344
24431125110107100809030506020412
4323332333544433343323333435333313334433231442342152
21341125030107090812110410050206
5434533344434343434333243523342333435233444433532
21131125091201070610080411030205
1432433333142323333312223323313223335133133443453553
25131225080103050411120610020709
434245245443432434132215453422434454423212334331443
22131126110206050809120710010403
3333545534543433225322213523422423524244231232522420
24431224110204031012050906010708
4523543454432422442332335444452242344443334442454344
21131124110109020810120705030406
34323044453422332324422124422221222434424431342444442
24431134100405021107080312060901
3422541424444453343422243544222424231324432442432
22431134081012010304050206070911
1522524333343421244441222342332333323332331143542444421
25533126080205031106100109071204
4423424244320122333213244543413243544213223342442342
44231226070201080611120309040510
4434433454533333343334353224324343433224343342131
22131125010206071012080304090511
354344443434343434342424533243343433224342443342
26431124090803021012110107040506
4623443435534424524222334243322242424341244442443
22131124080507020111040309101206
2334523443132532454421242124412542515212143452343231
453331124120105020904071108031006

1202
1211
1212
1221
1222
1231
1232
1241
1242
1251
1252
1261
1262
1271
1272
1281
1282
1291
1292
1301
1302
1311
1312
1321
1322
1331
1332
1341
1342
1351
1352
1361
1362
1371
1372
1381
1382
1391
1392
1401
1402
1411
1412
1421
1422
1431
1432
1441

243454333430344244333332424444333334442332233444443232
25431124010509020408111210060703
34324434344424423322243313333232342443423333454342
25131226110209050706120310010804
433345433354334333443245452343433533433325524324253
252311220304110705120209060810
5422543434544542422432324442341222524224442442222
22531124050406020309010712100811
44224124333434552342421424445333252242444422441534441
23131126090111070208120605030410
44425544345344424453422242423412434534444241342422424
21341125000000000000000000000000
25335444344444243433334423222132424344324532344441
23331124060307010508120410020511
4532444434443323434513233443422222445443233424454531
211311412030510109080206040701
52225523535255255213245423511132444024214522052352
25231122020501040307091012060811
24244332341345421324324444343223333323341333442444435
2313112607080309060210011041205
342354233453343434532332435333232343342245433543334341
181112209020805041120305070110
23134433344334333232142444433322244423233433342440
25131124090802050710030104061112
2444544345434522534413454523412233424224103432434344
2413112611100204050603012070809
142343344453322344424242143421144555214232422442342
23131126050109040806020703101211
313312332223323433432344224333434424243443245232312
42131226050201041008120609030711
43334424241433234345224413352233313223243433444343
25431124020708030610120105091104
34335433413432413435113243434413343534532233331333431
21131125050306070108120204110009
4433333402335512523224122533323222444401001242521551
181112220306010904110705081012
45225444544225322334214554451413123445354324254452541
21441144010310060211120508010904
33334333443334324333332034403333222423444333333443423
22331124070204061109100108050312
4422214334455513432243233423331322234423222534443531
22131126070803010509060204111210
33333433334333234423224342442232242423242433444351
15331121090408031110020106070512
34231211344435324431112144413221332514425413532252451
23231126080205030907120106041110
4433533434542242543432344554421343553425114443552442
22431124120310051107090108020406

VAR029
5
ALL

CCDEBOOK
OPTICS
STATISTICS
FINISH

APPENDIX B

FREQUENCY TABLES AND MATRICES
FOR VARIABLES 001 THROUGH 008

VARIABLE VAR001 PRESENT DESIGNATOR

VALUE LABEL	VALUE	ABSOLUTE FREQUENCY	RELATIVE FREQUENCY (PERCENT)	ADJUSTED FREQUENCY (PERCENT)	CUMULATIVE ADJ FREQ (PERCENT)
1100	1.	27	16.7	16.7	16.7
1110	2.	127	78.4	78.4	95.1
1105	3.	3	1.9	1.9	96.9
1115	4.	5	3.1	3.1	100.0
	0.	0	C.0	MISSING	100.0
	TOTAL	162	100.0	100.0	100.0

VALID OBSERVATIONS = 162
MISSING OBSERVATIONS = 0

VARIABLE VAR002 YEAR GROUP

VALUE LABEL	VALUE	ABSOLUTE FREQUENCY	RELATIVE FREQUENCY (PERCENT)	ADJUSTED FREQUENCY (PERCENT)	CUMULATIVE ADJ FREQ (PERCENT)
65	1.	20	12.3	12.3	12.3
66	2.	26	16.0	16.0	28.4
67	3.	37	22.8	22.8	51.2
68	4.	31	19.1	19.1	70.4
69	5.	26	16.0	16.0	86.4
70	6.	9	5.6	5.6	92.0
72	8.	13	8.0	8.0	100.0
	0.	0	0.0	MISSING	100.0
	TOTAL	162	100.0	100.0	100.0

VALID CBSERVAT ICNS - 162
MISSING OBSERVAT ICNS - 0

VARIABLE VAR003 CCMMISSION SOURCE

VALUE LABEL

NAV.ACAD.

NRCTC

OCS

NESEP

OTHER

VALID CBSERVATICNS -
MISSING CBSERVATICNS -

162
0

VALUE	ABSOLUTE FREQUENCY	RELATIVE FREQUENCY (PERCENT)	ADJUSTED FREQUENCY (PERCENT)	CUMULATIVE ADJ. FREQ (PERCENT)
1.	60	37.0	37.0	37.0
2.	30	18.5	18.5	55.6
3.	31	19.1	19.1	74.7
4.	28	17.3	17.3	92.0
5.	13	8.0	8.0	100.0
0.	0	0.0	MISSING	100.0
TOTAL	162	100.0	100.0	100.0

VARIABLE VAR004 PRESENT RANK

VALUE LABEL

ENSIGN

LTJG

LT

LCDR SELECTEE

VALID CBSERVATIONS -
MISSING CBSERVATIONS -

162
0

VALUE	ABSOLUTE FREQUENCY	RELATIVE FREQUENCY (PERCENT)	ADJUSTED FREQUENCY (PERCENT)	CUMULATIVE ADJ FREQ (PERCENT)
1.	13	8.0	8.0	8.0
2.	4	2.5	2.5	10.5
3.	136	84.0	84.0	94.4
4.	5	5.6	5.6	100.0
0.	0	C.C	MISSING	100.0
TOTAL	162	100.0	100.0	100.0

VARIABLE VAR005 ETHNIC GROUP

VALUE LABEL	VALUE	ABSOLUTE FREQUENCY	RELATIVE FREQUENCY (PERCENT)	ADJUSTED FREQUENCY (PERCENT)	CUMULATIVE ADJ FREQ (PERCENT)
WHITE	1.	158	97.5	97.5	97.5
BLACK	2.	2	1.2	1.2	98.8
OTHER MINORITY	3.	2	1.2	1.2	100.0
	0.	0	0.0	MISSING	100.0
	TOTAL	162	100.0	100.0	100.0

VALID OBSERVATIONS - 162
MISSING OBSERVATIONS - 0

VARIABLE VAR006 MARITAL STATUS

VALUE LABEL	VALUE	ABSOLUTE FREQUENCY	RELATIVE FREQUENCY (PERCENT)	ADJUSTED FREQUENCY (PERCENT)	CUMULATIVE ADJ FREQ (PERCENT)
MARRIED	1.	125	77.2	77.2	77.2
SINGLE	2.	33	20.4	20.4	97.5
DIVORCED OR SEP	3.	4	2.5	2.5	100.0
	0.	0	0.0	MISSING	100.0
	TOTAL	162	100.0	100.0	100.0

VALID CBSESRVAT ICNS - 162
MISSING CBSESRVAT ICNS - 0

VARIABLE VAR007 NAV FG PROGRAM

VALUE LABEL	VALUE	ABSOLUTE FREQUENCY	RELATIVE FREQUENCY (PERCENT)	ADJUSTED FREQUENCY (PERCENT)	CUMULATIVE ADJ FREQ (PERCENT)
BACCALAUREATE	1.	7	4.3	4.3	4.3
MASTER'S	2.	148	91.4	91.4	95.7
ENGINEER'S	3.	5	3.1	3.1	98.8
PHD	4.	2	1.2	1.2	100.0
	0.	0	0.0	MISSING	100.0
	TOTAL	162	100.0	100.0	100.0

VALID OBSERVATIONS - 162
MISSING OBSERVATIONS - 0

VARIABLE VAR008 CAREER INTENTIONS

VALUE LABEL	VALUE	ABSOLUTE FREQUENCY	RELATIVE FREQUENCY (PERCENT)	ADJUSTED FREQUENCY (PERCENT)	CUMULATIVE ADJ FREQ (PERCENT)
MIN OBL SER	1.	4	2.5	2.5	2.5
UNDECIDED	2.	37	22.8	22.8	25.3
REM ONLY 20	4.	54	33.3	33.3	58.6
REM OVER 20	5.	37	22.8	22.8	81.5
REM MAXIMUM	6.	30	18.5	18.5	100.0
	0.	0	0.0	MISSING	100.0
TOTAL		162	100.0	100.0	100.0

VALID CBSEVATIONS - 162
MISSING CBSEVATIONS - 0

** VAR003 ** COMMISSION SOURCE ** C R O S S T A B U L A T I O N C F YEAR GROUP **
 ** ** ** **

VAR002									
COUNT	165	66	67	68	69	70	72	RCW	TOTAL
ROW PCT	165	66	67	68	69	70	72		
CCL PCT	165	66	67	68	69	70	72		
TOT PCT	165	66	67	68	69	70	72		
VAR003	1	1	2	3	4	5	6	8	I
NAV.ACAD.	9	13	13	12	12	6	0	7	I
	15.0	21.7	21.7	20.0	10.0	0.0	0.0	11.7	I
	45.0	50.0	35.1	38.7	23.1	0.0	0.0	53.8	I
	5.6	8.0	8.0	7.4	3.7	0.0	0.0	4.3	I
NROTC	2	5	6	7	7	3	2	5	I
	6.7	16.7	20.0	23.3	10.0	6.7	6.7	16.7	I
	10.0	19.2	16.2	22.6	11.5	22.2	2.2	38.5	I
	1.2	3.1	3.7	4.3	1.9	1.2	1.2	3.1	I
CCS	4	3	8	4	4	6	5	1	I
	12.9	9.7	25.8	12.9	19.4	19.4	16.1	3.2	I
	20.0	11.5	21.6	12.9	23.1	55.6	3.1	7.7	I
	2.5	1.9	4.9	2.5	3.7	3.1	0.6	0.6	I
NESEP	4	3	7	5	5	7	2	0	I
	14.3	10.7	25.0	17.9	25.0	7.1	7.1	0.0	I
	20.0	11.5	13.9	16.1	26.9	22.2	2.2	0.0	I
	2.5	1.9	4.3	3.1	4.3	1.2	1.2	0.0	I
CTHER	1	2	3	3	3	4	0	0	I
	7.7	15.4	23.1	23.1	30.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	I
	5.0	7.7	8.1	9.7	15.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	I
	0.6	1.2	1.9	1.9	2.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	I
COLUMN TOTAL	20	26	37	31	26	9	13	162	I
	12.3	16.0	22.8	19.1	16.0	5.6	8.0	100.0	I

APPENDIX C

HISTOGRAMS AND STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES 009 THROUGH 073

To generate the proper question number when referring to the questionnaire (Appendix A) for questions one through 53, simply subtract 20 from the variable number. For example, variable 044 is question 24 in the questionnaire.

VARIABLE	VAR09	CPCORTUN OUTCOOR, TRAVEL ADVENTURE	
CODE			
1.	FIRST	(16) 11.1 PCT	
2.	SECOND	(11) 6.8 PCT	
3.	THIRD	(11) 6.3 PCT	
4.	FOURTH	(14) 8.6 PCT	
5.	FIFTH	(14) 8.6 PCT	
6.	SIXTH	(12) 7.4 PCT	
7.	SEVENTH	(11) 6.8 PCT	
8.	EIGHTH	(15) 9.3 PCT	
9.	NINTH	(17) 10.5 PCT	
10.	TENTH	(10) 8.2 PCT	
11.	ELEVENTH	(15) 9.3 PCT	
12.	TWELFTH	(12) 7.4 PCT	
(MISSING)	(2) 1.2 PCT		
FREQUENCY	2 4 6 8 10 12 14 16 18 20		
STATISTICS..			
MEAN	6.438	STD ERROR 6.277	MEDIAN 6.500
MODE	1.000	STD DEV 3.507	VARIANCE 12.298
RANGE	-1.221	SKEWNESS -0.038	RANGE 11.000
MINIMUM	1.000	MAXIMUM 12.000	
VALID OBSERVATIONS =	160		
MISSING OBSERVATIONS =	2		

VARIABLE	VARUOL	CPPORTUN	INCR	MANGERIAL	RESPONS
CODE					
1.	1	*****	*****	*****	***** (34) 21.0 PCT
		FIRST			
2.	1	*****	*****	*****	***** (40) 24.7 PCT
		SECOND			
3.	1	*****	*****	*****	***** (27) 16.7 PCT
		THIRD			
4.	1	*****	*****	*****	***** (12) 7.4 PCT
		FOURTH			
5.	1	*****	*****	*****	***** (9) 5.4 PCT
		FIFTH			
6.	1	*****	*****	*****	***** (7) 4.3 PCT
		SIXTH			
7.	1	*****	*****	*****	***** (7) 4.3 PCT
		SEVENTH			
8.	1	*****	*****	*****	***** (8) 4.5 PCT
		EIGHTH			
9.	1	*****	*****	*****	***** (5) 3.1 PCT
		NINTH			
10.	1	*****	*****	*****	***** (7) 4.3 PCT
		TENTH			
11.	1	*****	*****	*****	***** (1) 0.6 PCT
		ELEVENTH			
12.	1	*****	*****	*****	***** (2) 1.9 PCT
		TWELFTH			
13.	1	*****	*****	*****	***** (2) 1.2 PCT
		(MISSING)			
		1	*****	*****	***** (5) 1.0 PCT
		2	*****	*****	***** (10) 1.5 PCT
		3	*****	*****	***** (15) 2.0 PCT
		4	*****	*****	***** (20) 2.5 PCT
		5	*****	*****	***** (25) 3.0 PCT
		6	*****	*****	***** (30) 3.5 PCT
		7	*****	*****	***** (35) 4.0 PCT
		8	*****	*****	***** (40) 4.5 PCT
		9	*****	*****	***** (45) 5.0 PCT
		10	*****	*****	***** (50) 5.5 PCT
		11	*****	*****	***** (55) 6.0 PCT
		12	*****	*****	***** (60) 6.5 PCT
		13	*****	*****	***** (65) 7.0 PCT
		14	*****	*****	***** (70) 7.5 PCT
		15	*****	*****	***** (75) 8.0 PCT
		16	*****	*****	***** (80) 8.5 PCT
		17	*****	*****	***** (85) 9.0 PCT
		18	*****	*****	***** (90) 9.5 PCT
		19	*****	*****	***** (95) 10.0 PCT
		20	*****	*****	***** (100) 10.5 PCT
		21	*****	*****	***** (105) 11.0 PCT
		22	*****	*****	***** (110) 11.5 PCT
		23	*****	*****	***** (115) 12.0 PCT
		24	*****	*****	***** (120) 12.5 PCT
		25	*****	*****	***** (125) 13.0 PCT
		26	*****	*****	***** (130) 13.5 PCT
		27	*****	*****	***** (135) 14.0 PCT
		28	*****	*****	***** (140) 14.5 PCT
		29	*****	*****	***** (145) 15.0 PCT
		30	*****	*****	***** (150) 15.5 PCT
		31	*****	*****	***** (155) 16.0 PCT
		32	*****	*****	***** (160) 16.5 PCT
		33	*****	*****	***** (165) 17.0 PCT
		34	*****	*****	***** (170) 17.5 PCT
		35	*****	*****	***** (175) 18.0 PCT
		36	*****	*****	***** (180) 18.5 PCT
		37	*****	*****	***** (185) 19.0 PCT
		38	*****	*****	***** (190) 19.5 PCT
		39	*****	*****	***** (195) 20.0 PCT
		40	*****	*****	***** (200) 20.5 PCT
		41	*****	*****	***** (205) 21.0 PCT
		42	*****	*****	***** (210) 21.5 PCT
		43	*****	*****	***** (215) 22.0 PCT
		44	*****	*****	***** (220) 22.5 PCT
		45	*****	*****	***** (225) 23.0 PCT
		46	*****	*****	***** (230) 23.5 PCT
		47	*****	*****	***** (235) 24.0 PCT
		48	*****	*****	***** (240) 24.5 PCT
		49	*****	*****	***** (245) 25.0 PCT
		50	*****	*****	***** (250) 25.5 PCT
		51	*****	*****	***** (255) 26.0 PCT
		52	*****	*****	***** (260) 26.5 PCT
		53	*****	*****	***** (265) 27.0 PCT
		54	*****	*****	***** (270) 27.5 PCT
		55	*****	*****	***** (275) 28.0 PCT
		56	*****	*****	***** (280) 28.5 PCT
		57	*****	*****	***** (285) 29.0 PCT
		58	*****	*****	***** (290) 29.5 PCT
		59	*****	*****	***** (295) 30.0 PCT
		60	*****	*****	***** (300) 30.5 PCT
		61	*****	*****	***** (305) 31.0 PCT
		62	*****	*****	***** (310) 31.5 PCT
		63	*****	*****	***** (315) 32.0 PCT
		64	*****	*****	***** (320) 32.5 PCT
		65	*****	*****	***** (325) 33.0 PCT
		66	*****	*****	***** (330) 33.5 PCT
		67	*****	*****	***** (335) 34.0 PCT
		68	*****	*****	***** (340) 34.5 PCT
		69	*****	*****	***** (345) 35.0 PCT
		70	*****	*****	***** (350) 35.5 PCT
		71	*****	*****	***** (355) 36.0 PCT
		72	*****	*****	***** (360) 36.5 PCT
		73	*****	*****	***** (365) 37.0 PCT
		74	*****	*****	***** (370) 37.5 PCT
		75	*****	*****	***** (375) 38.0 PCT
		76	*****	*****	***** (380) 38.5 PCT
		77	*****	*****	***** (385) 39.0 PCT
		78	*****	*****	***** (390) 39.5 PCT
		79	*****	*****	***** (395) 40.0 PCT
		80	*****	*****	***** (400) 40.5 PCT
		81	*****	*****	***** (405) 41.0 PCT
		82	*****	*****	***** (410) 41.5 PCT
		83	*****	*****	***** (415) 42.0 PCT
		84	*****	*****	***** (420) 42.5 PCT
		85	*****	*****	***** (425) 43.0 PCT
		86	*****	*****	***** (430) 43.5 PCT
		87	*****	*****	***** (435) 44.0 PCT
		88	*****	*****	***** (440) 44.5 PCT
		89	*****	*****	***** (445) 45.0 PCT
		90	*****	*****	***** (450) 45.5 PCT
		91	*****	*****	***** (455) 46.0 PCT
		92	*****	*****	***** (460) 46.5 PCT
		93	*****	*****	***** (465) 47.0 PCT
		94	*****	*****	***** (470) 47.5 PCT
		95	*****	*****	***** (475) 48.0 PCT
		96	*****	*****	***** (480) 48.5 PCT
		97	*****	*****	***** (485) 49.0 PCT
		98	*****	*****	***** (490) 49.5 PCT
		99	*****	*****	***** (495) 50.0 PCT
		100	*****	*****	***** (500) 50.5 PCT
		101	*****	*****	***** (505) 51.0 PCT
		102	*****	*****	***** (510) 51.5 PCT
		103	*****	*****	***** (515) 52.0 PCT
		104	*****	*****	***** (520) 52.5 PCT
		105	*****	*****	***** (525) 53.0 PCT
		106	*****	*****	***** (530) 53.5 PCT
		107	*****	*****	***** (535) 54.0 PCT
		108	*****	*****	***** (540) 54.5 PCT
		109	*****	*****	***** (545) 55.0 PCT
		110	*****	*****	***** (550) 55.5 PCT
		111	*****	*****	***** (555) 56.0 PCT
		112	*****	*****	***** (560) 56.5 PCT
		113	*****	*****	***** (565) 57.0 PCT
		114	*****	*****	***** (570) 57.5 PCT
		115	*****	*****	***** (575) 58.0 PCT
		116	*****	*****	***** (580) 58.5 PCT
		117	*****	*****	***** (585) 59.0 PCT
		118	*****	*****	***** (590) 59.5 PCT
		119	*****	*****	***** (595) 60.0 PCT
		120	*****	*****	***** (600) 60.5 PCT
		121	*****	*****	***** (605) 61.0 PCT
		122	*****	*****	***** (610) 61.5 PCT
		123	*****	*****	***** (615) 62.0 PCT
		124	*****	*****	***** (620) 62.5 PCT
		125	*****	*****	***** (625) 63.0 PCT
		126	*****	*****	***** (630) 63.5 PCT
		127	*****	*****	***** (635) 64.0 PCT
		128	*****	*****	***** (640) 64.5 PCT
		129	*****	*****	***** (645) 65.0 PCT
		130	*****	*****	***** (650) 65.5 PCT
		131	*****	*****	***** (655) 66.0 PCT
		132	*****	*****	***** (660) 66.5 PCT
		133	*****	*****	***** (665) 67.0 PCT
		134	*****	*****	***** (670) 67.5 PCT
		135	*****	*****	***** (675) 68.0 PCT
		136	*****	*****	***** (680) 68.5 PCT
		137	*****	*****	***** (685) 69.0 PCT
		138	*****	*****	***** (690) 69.5 PCT
		139	*****	*****	***** (695) 70.0 PCT
		140	*****	*****	***** (700) 70.5 PCT
		141	*****	*****	***** (705) 71.0 PCT
		142	*****	*****	***** (710) 71.5 PCT
		143	*****	*****	***** (715) 72.0 PCT
		144	*****	*****	***** (720) 72.5 PCT
		145	*****	*****	***** (725) 73.0 PCT
		146	*****	*****	***** (730) 73.5 PCT
		147	*****	*****	***** (735) 74.0 PCT
		148	*****	*****	***** (740) 74.5 PCT
		149	*****	*****	***** (745) 75.0 PCT
		150	*****	*****	***** (750) 75.5 PCT
		151	*****	*****	***** (755) 76.0 PCT
		152	*****	*****	***** (760) 76.5 PCT
		153	*****	*****	***** (765) 77.0 PCT
		154	*****	*****	***** (770) 77.5 PCT
		155	*****	*****	***** (775) 78.0 PCT
		156	*****	*****	***** (780) 78.5 PCT
		157	*****	*****	***** (785) 79.0 PCT
		158	*****	*****	***** (790) 79.5 PCT
		159	*****	*****	***** (795) 80.0 PCT
		160	*****	*****	***** (800) 80.5 PCT
		161	*****	*****	***** (805) 81.0 PCT
		162	*****	*****	***** (810) 81.5 PCT
		163	*****	*****	***** (815) 82.0 PCT
		164	*****	*****	***** (820) 82.5 PCT
		165	*****	*****	***** (825) 83.0 PCT
		166	*****	*****	***** (830) 83.5 PCT
		167	*****	*****	***** (835) 84.0 PCT
		168	*****	*****	***** (840) 84.5 PCT
		169	*****	*****	***** (845) 85.0 PCT
		170	*****	*****	***** (850) 85.5 PCT
		171	*****	*****	***** (855) 86.0 PCT
		172	*****	*****	***** (860) 86.5 PCT
		173	*****	*****	***** (865) 87.0 PCT
		174	*****	*****	***** (870) 87.5 PCT
		175	*****	*****	***** (875) 88.0 PCT
		176	*****	*****	***** (880) 88.5 PCT
		177	*****	*****	***** (885) 89.0 PCT
		178	*****	*****	***** (890) 89.5 PCT
		179	*****	*****	***** (895) 90.0 PCT
		180	*****	*****	***** (900) 90.5 PCT
		181	*****	*****	***** (905) 91.0 PCT
		182	*****	*****	***** (910) 91.5 PCT
		183	*****	*****	***** (915) 92.0 PCT
		184	*****	*****	***** (920) 92.5 PCT
		185	*****	*****	***** (925) 93.0 PCT
		186	*****	*****	***** (930) 93.5 PCT
		187	*****	*****	***** (935) 94.0 PCT
		188	*****	*****	***** (940) 94.5 PCT
		189	*****	*****	***** (945) 95.0 PCT
		190	*****	*****	***** (950) 95.5 PCT
		191	*****	*****	***** (955) 96.0 PCT
		192	*****	*****	***** (960) 96.5 PCT
		193	*****	*****	***** (965) 97.0 PCT
		194	*****	*****	***** (970) 97.5 PCT
		195	*****	*****	***** (975) 98.0 PCT
		196	*****	*****	***** (980) 98.5 PCT
		197	*****	*****	***** (985) 99.0 PCT
		198	*****	*****	***** (990) 99.5 PCT
		199	*****	*****	***** (995) 100.0 PCT
		200	*****	*****	***** (1000) 100.5 PCT

STATISTICS..					
MEAN	3.781	STU ERGR	0.229	MEDIAN	2.722
MODE	2.000	STD DEV	2.894	VARIANCE	8.373
KURTOSIS	6.295	SKEWNESS	1.144	RANGE	11.000
MINIMUM	1.000	MAXIMUM	12.000		
VALID OBSERVATIONS -	169				
MISSING OBSERVATIONS -	2				

VARIABLE VAR11 PERSONS, ABIL AND ACCOMP, I RESPECT

CODE

```
1. ***** ( 10)  0.2 PCT
   FIRST
2. ***** ( 9)  5.0 PCT
   SECOND
3. ***** ( 18) 11.1 PCT
   THIRD
4. ***** ( 13)  0.0 PCT
   FOURTH
5. ***** ( 16)  5.5 PCT
   FIFTH
6. ***** ( 18) 11.1 PCT
   SIXTH
7. ***** ( 19) 11.7 PCT
   SEVENTH
8. ***** ( 14)  0.6 PCT
   EIGHTH
9. ***** ( 23) 14.2 PCT
   NINTH
10. ***** ( 8)  4.9 PCT
   TENTH
11. ***** ( 6)  3.7 PCT
   ELEVENTH
12. ***** ( 6)  3.7 PCT
   TWELFTH
13. ***** ( 2)  1.2 PCT
   (MISSING)
```

FREQUENCY 5 10 15 20 25 30 35 40 45 50

STATISTICS..

MEAN	6.200	STD EROR	0.254	MEDIAN	6.278
MODE	5.000	STD DEV	2.961	VARIANCE	8.765
KURTOSIS	-0.095	SKEWNESS	0.010	RANGE	11.000
MINIMUM	1.000	MAXIMUM	12.000		
VALID OBSERVATIONS =	160				
MISSING OBSERVATIONS =	4				

VAKÜLİ

1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12.

1.2 PCT

STATISTICS..

| | | | | | |
|----------|--------|-----------|--------|----------|--------|
| MEAN | 7.125 | STD ERROR | 0.270 | MEDIAN | 7.500 |
| MODE | 11.000 | STD DEV | 3.411 | VARIANCE | 11.632 |
| KURTOSIS | -1.193 | SKEWNESS | -0.278 | RANGE | 11.000 |
| MINIMUM | 1.000 | MAXIMUM | 12.000 | | |

VALUING OBSERVATIONS - - 1692

| VARIABLE | VARC14 | SCC AND CULTURAL ACTIVITIES |
|----------|--------|-----------------------------|
| 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 2 | 1 | 1 |
| 3 | 1 | 1 |
| 4 | 1 | 1 |
| 5 | 1 | 1 |
| 6 | 1 | 1 |
| 7 | 1 | 1 |
| 8 | 1 | 1 |
| 9 | 1 | 1 |
| 10 | 1 | 1 |
| 11 | 1 | 1 |
| 12 | 1 | 1 |
| 13 | 1 | 1 |
| 14 | 1 | 1 |
| 15 | 1 | 1 |
| 16 | 1 | 1 |
| 17 | 1 | 1 |
| 18 | 1 | 1 |
| 19 | 1 | 1 |
| 20 | 1 | 1 |
| 21 | 1 | 1 |
| 22 | 1 | 1 |
| 23 | 1 | 1 |
| 24 | 1 | 1 |
| 25 | 1 | 1 |
| 26 | 1 | 1 |
| 27 | 1 | 1 |
| 28 | 1 | 1 |
| 29 | 1 | 1 |
| 30 | 1 | 1 |
| 31 | 1 | 1 |
| 32 | 1 | 1 |
| 33 | 1 | 1 |
| 34 | 1 | 1 |
| 35 | 1 | 1 |
| 36 | 1 | 1 |
| 37 | 1 | 1 |
| 38 | 1 | 1 |
| 39 | 1 | 1 |
| 40 | 1 | 1 |
| 41 | 1 | 1 |
| 42 | 1 | 1 |
| 43 | 1 | 1 |
| 44 | 1 | 1 |
| 45 | 1 | 1 |
| 46 | 1 | 1 |
| 47 | 1 | 1 |
| 48 | 1 | 1 |
| 49 | 1 | 1 |
| 50 | 1 | 1 |
| 51 | 1 | 1 |
| 52 | 1 | 1 |
| 53 | 1 | 1 |
| 54 | 1 | 1 |
| 55 | 1 | 1 |
| 56 | 1 | 1 |
| 57 | 1 | 1 |
| 58 | 1 | 1 |
| 59 | 1 | 1 |
| 60 | 1 | 1 |
| 61 | 1 | 1 |
| 62 | 1 | 1 |
| 63 | 1 | 1 |
| 64 | 1 | 1 |
| 65 | 1 | 1 |
| 66 | 1 | 1 |
| 67 | 1 | 1 |
| 68 | 1 | 1 |
| 69 | 1 | 1 |
| 70 | 1 | 1 |
| 71 | 1 | 1 |
| 72 | 1 | 1 |
| 73 | 1 | 1 |
| 74 | 1 | 1 |
| 75 | 1 | 1 |
| 76 | 1 | 1 |
| 77 | 1 | 1 |
| 78 | 1 | 1 |
| 79 | 1 | 1 |
| 80 | 1 | 1 |
| 81 | 1 | 1 |
| 82 | 1 | 1 |
| 83 | 1 | 1 |
| 84 | 1 | 1 |
| 85 | 1 | 1 |
| 86 | 1 | 1 |
| 87 | 1 | 1 |
| 88 | 1 | 1 |
| 89 | 1 | 1 |
| 90 | 1 | 1 |
| 91 | 1 | 1 |
| 92 | 1 | 1 |
| 93 | 1 | 1 |
| 94 | 1 | 1 |
| 95 | 1 | 1 |
| 96 | 1 | 1 |
| 97 | 1 | 1 |
| 98 | 1 | 1 |
| 99 | 1 | 1 |
| 100 | 1 | 1 |

[illegible]

| STATISTICS.. | | | | | |
|--|--------|-----------|--------|----------|--------|
| MEAN | 8.394 | STD ERROR | 0.201 | MEDIAN | 9.342 |
| MODE | 12.000 | STD DEV | 2.537 | VARIANCE | 6.435 |
| KURTOSIS | -0.434 | SKEWNESS | -0.636 | RANGE | 10.000 |
| MINIMUM | 2.000 | MAXIMUM | 12.000 | | |
| CROSSSECTIONS -
VALIC
OBSERVATIONS - | 160 | | | | |
| | 2 | | | | |

| VARIABLE | VAR016 | JCB | SECUR | PERS | PRACTICES | RETIREMENT | CODE |
|------------------------|-----------|-----|-------|------|-----------|------------|--------------|
| 1. | FIRST | | | | | | 47) 29.0 PCT |
| 2. | SECNC | | | | | | |
| 3. | THIFC | | | | | | |
| 4. | FOURTH | | | | | | |
| 5. | FIFTH | | | | | | |
| 6. | SIXTH | | | | | | |
| 7. | SEVENTH | | | | | | |
| 8. | EIGHTH | | | | | | |
| 9. | NINTH | | | | | | |
| 10. | TENTH | | | | | | |
| 11. | ELEVENTH | | | | | | |
| 12. | TWELFTH | | | | | | |
| C. | (MISSING) | | | | | | |
| STATISTICS.. | | | | | | | |
| MEAN | 4.100 | | | | | | |
| MODE | 1.000 | | | | | | |
| KURTOSIS | -0.427 | | | | | | |
| MINIMUM | 1.000 | | | | | | |
| MAXIMUM | | | | | | | |
| STD ERROR | | | | | | | |
| STD DEV | | | | | | | |
| SKEWNESS | | | | | | | |
| RANGE | | | | | | | |
| MEDIAN | | | | | | | |
| VARIANCE | | | | | | | |
| RANGE | | | | | | | |
| VALID OBSERVATIONS = | 160 | | | | | | |
| MISSING OBSERVATIONS = | 2 | | | | | | |

VARIACLE VARCL7

| |
|-----------|
| CDC |
| 1. |
| 2. |
| 3. |
| 4. |
| 5. |
| 6. |
| 7. |
| 8. |
| 9. |
| 10. |
| 11. |
| 12. |
| (MISSING) |
| |
| FREQ |

STATISTICS..

| | |
|----------------------|----|
| MEAN | |
| MODE | 1 |
| RANKSIS | -6 |
| MINIMUM | 1 |
| VALID OBSERVATIONS | |
| MISSING OBSERVATIONS | |

VAR018

כרמל

2000 年 11 月 6.8 PCT

FIRST

***** (14) 8.6 PCT

SECOND

(17) 10.5 PCT

THIRD

(17) 10.5 FCT

FULLTIME

9.3 PCI (15)

FIFTE

12.3

41X15

(20) 12.3

SEVENTH

***** (14) ***** 8.6 pcr

EIGHT

*** ** 6.2 pct (10) *** **

41217

***** (7) 7.4 PCT

TEATH

***** (3.7 PCT

ELEVENTH

***** (4) 2.5 pct

214774

***** (2) 1.2 PCT

[illegible]

20
18
16
14
12
10
8
6
4
2
ENCL

STATISTICS..

MEAN 5.606

7.000

-0.867

| MINIMUM | 1.000 |
|---------|-------|
|---------|-------|

| VALID
MISSING | OBSERVATIONS -
OBSERVATIONS - | 1602 |
|------------------|----------------------------------|------|
| 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 3 | 3 | 3 |
| 4 | 4 | 4 |
| 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 6 | 6 | 6 |
| 7 | 7 | 7 |
| 8 | 8 | 8 |
| 9 | 9 | 9 |
| 10 | 10 | 10 |
| 11 | 11 | 11 |
| 12 | 12 | 12 |
| 13 | 13 | 13 |
| 14 | 14 | 14 |
| 15 | 15 | 15 |
| 16 | 16 | 16 |
| 17 | 17 | 17 |
| 18 | 18 | 18 |
| 19 | 19 | 19 |
| 20 | 20 | 20 |
| 21 | 21 | 21 |
| 22 | 22 | 22 |
| 23 | 23 | 23 |
| 24 | 24 | 24 |
| 25 | 25 | 25 |
| 26 | 26 | 26 |
| 27 | 27 | 27 |
| 28 | 28 | 28 |
| 29 | 29 | 29 |
| 30 | 30 | 30 |
| 31 | 31 | 31 |
| 32 | 32 | 32 |
| 33 | 33 | 33 |
| 34 | 34 | 34 |
| 35 | 35 | 35 |
| 36 | 36 | 36 |
| 37 | 37 | 37 |
| 38 | 38 | 38 |
| 39 | 39 | 39 |
| 40 | 40 | 40 |
| 41 | 41 | 41 |
| 42 | 42 | 42 |
| 43 | 43 | 43 |
| 44 | 44 | 44 |
| 45 | 45 | 45 |
| 46 | 46 | 46 |
| 47 | 47 | 47 |
| 48 | 48 | 48 |
| 49 | 49 | 49 |
| 50 | 50 | 50 |
| 51 | 51 | 51 |
| 52 | 52 | 52 |
| 53 | 53 | 53 |
| 54 | 54 | 54 |
| 55 | 55 | 55 |
| 56 | 56 | 56 |
| 57 | 57 | 57 |
| 58 | 58 | 58 |
| 59 | 59 | 59 |
| 60 | 60 | 60 |
| 61 | 61 | 61 |
| 62 | 62 | 62 |
| 63 | 63 | 63 |
| 64 | 64 | 64 |
| 65 | 65 | 65 |
| 66 | 66 | 66 |
| 67 | 67 | 67 |
| 68 | 68 | 68 |
| 69 | 69 | 69 |
| 70 | 70 | 70 |
| 71 | 71 | 71 |
| 72 | 72 | 72 |
| 73 | 73 | 73 |
| 74 | 74 | 74 |
| 75 | 75 | 75 |
| 76 | 76 | 76 |
| 77 | 77 | 77 |
| 78 | 78 | 78 |
| 79 | 79 | 79 |
| 80 | 80 | 80 |
| 81 | 81 | 81 |
| 82 | 82 | 82 |
| 83 | 83 | 83 |
| 84 | 84 | 84 |
| 85 | 85 | 85 |
| 86 | 86 | 86 |
| 87 | 87 | 87 |
| 88 | 88 | 88 |
| 89 | 89 | 89 |
| 90 | 90 | 90 |
| 91 | 91 | 91 |
| 92 | 92 | 92 |
| 93 | 93 | 93 |
| 94 | 94 | 94 |
| 95 | 95 | 95 |
| 96 | 96 | 96 |
| 97 | 97 | 97 |
| 98 | 98 | 98 |
| 99 | 99 | 99 |
| 100 | 100 | 100 |

STO ERROR C.233

STO DEV 2.947

SKENNESS C.174

| MAXIMUM | 12,000 |
|---------|--------|
|---------|--------|

MEDIAN
5.800

VARIANCE 8.68¢

| | |
|-------|--------|
| RANGE | 11.000 |
|-------|--------|

VARIABLE

3722

() 1.2 PCT

***** (4) 2.5 PCT

5.6 PCT (9)

7.4 p.c.

第 13 卷第 9 期

191 / 100 5 3

171
10
5
0
C
T

124 301 17 1

0 10 20 25 30 35 40 45 50

STATISTICS..

MEAN

MC, 012

XULILSIS

MINIMUM

VALID OBSERVATIONS - 160

MEDIAN 7.804

VARIANCE 8.955

000.11

| VARIABLE | VARC20 | POSITION OF PRESTIGE RECOGNITION |
|------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| CODE | | |
| 1. | ***** (6) 3.7 PCT | |
| | FIRST | |
| 2. | ***** (9) 5.6 PCT | |
| | SECOND | |
| 3. | ***** (8) 4.5 PCT | |
| | THIRD | |
| 4. | ***** (18) 11.1 PCT | |
| | FOURTH | |
| 5. | ***** (12) 7.4 PCT | |
| | FIFTH | |
| 6. | ***** (17) 10.5 PCT | |
| | SIXTH | |
| 7. | ***** (7) 4.3 PCT | |
| | SEVENTH | |
| 8. | ***** (13) 8.0 PCT | |
| | EIGHTH | |
| 9. | ***** (12) 7.4 PCT | |
| | NINTH | |
| 10. | ***** (18) 11.1 PCT | |
| | TENTH | |
| 11. | ***** (21) 12.0 PCT | |
| | ELEVENTH | |
| 12. | ***** (19) 11.7 PCT | |
| | TWELFTH | |
| C: | ***** (2) 1.2 PCT | |
| (MISSING) | | |
| . | | |
| FREQUENCY | 5 10 15 20 25 30 35 40 45 50 | |
| STATISTICS.. | | |
| MEAN | 7.387 | 7.731 |
| MODE | 11.000 | 11.358 |
| KURTOSIS | -1.212 | 11.000 |
| MINIMUM | 1.000 | |
| VALID OBSERVATIONS = | 160 | |
| MISSING OBSERVATIONS = | 2 | |

99

| VARIABLE | VAR022 | SEA | FAY |
|-----------|----------|-----|-----|
| CODE | | | |
| 1. | 1.9 PCT | | |
| 2. | 0.6 PCT | | |
| 3. | 29.0 PCT | | |
| 4. | 50.6 PCT | | |
| 5. | 17.3 PCT | | |
| (MISSING) | 0.6 PCT | | |

| STATISTICS.. | MEAN | MODE | KURTOSIS | MINIMUM | VALID OBSERVATIONS - | MISSING OBSERVATIONS - |
|--------------|-------|-------|----------|---------|----------------------|------------------------|
| | 3.814 | 4.000 | 1.392 | 1.000 | 161 | 1 |

| VARIABLE | VAR023 | PRESENT TIME IN GRADE REQUIRED |
|----------|--------|--------------------------------|
| 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 3 | 3 | 3 |
| 4 | 4 | 4 |
| 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 6 | 6 | 6 |
| 7 | 7 | 7 |
| 8 | 8 | 8 |
| 9 | 9 | 9 |
| 10 | 10 | 10 |
| 11 | 11 | 11 |
| 12 | 12 | 12 |
| 13 | 13 | 13 |
| 14 | 14 | 14 |
| 15 | 15 | 15 |
| 16 | 16 | 16 |
| 17 | 17 | 17 |
| 18 | 18 | 18 |
| 19 | 19 | 19 |
| 20 | 20 | 20 |
| 21 | 21 | 21 |
| 22 | 22 | 22 |
| 23 | 23 | 23 |
| 24 | 24 | 24 |
| 25 | 25 | 25 |
| 26 | 26 | 26 |
| 27 | 27 | 27 |
| 28 | 28 | 28 |
| 29 | 29 | 29 |
| 30 | 30 | 30 |
| 31 | 31 | 31 |
| 32 | 32 | 32 |
| 33 | 33 | 33 |
| 34 | 34 | 34 |
| 35 | 35 | 35 |
| 36 | 36 | 36 |
| 37 | 37 | 37 |
| 38 | 38 | 38 |
| 39 | 39 | 39 |
| 40 | 40 | 40 |
| 41 | 41 | 41 |
| 42 | 42 | 42 |
| 43 | 43 | 43 |
| 44 | 44 | 44 |
| 45 | 45 | 45 |
| 46 | 46 | 46 |
| 47 | 47 | 47 |
| 48 | 48 | 48 |
| 49 | 49 | 49 |
| 50 | 50 | 50 |
| 51 | 51 | 51 |
| 52 | 52 | 52 |
| 53 | 53 | 53 |
| 54 | 54 | 54 |
| 55 | 55 | 55 |
| 56 | 56 | 56 |
| 57 | 57 | 57 |
| 58 | 58 | 58 |
| 59 | 59 | 59 |
| 60 | 60 | 60 |
| 61 | 61 | 61 |
| 62 | 62 | 62 |
| 63 | 63 | 63 |
| 64 | 64 | 64 |
| 65 | 65 | 65 |
| 66 | 66 | 66 |
| 67 | 67 | 67 |
| 68 | 68 | 68 |
| 69 | 69 | 69 |
| 70 | 70 | 70 |
| 71 | 71 | 71 |
| 72 | 72 | 72 |
| 73 | 73 | 73 |
| 74 | 74 | 74 |
| 75 | 75 | 75 |
| 76 | 76 | 76 |
| 77 | 77 | 77 |
| 78 | 78 | 78 |
| 79 | 79 | 79 |
| 80 | 80 | 80 |
| 81 | 81 | 81 |
| 82 | 82 | 82 |
| 83 | 83 | 83 |
| 84 | 84 | 84 |
| 85 | 85 | 85 |
| 86 | 86 | 86 |
| 87 | 87 | 87 |
| 88 | 88 | 88 |
| 89 | 89 | 89 |
| 90 | 90 | 90 |
| 91 | 91 | 91 |
| 92 | 92 | 92 |
| 93 | 93 | 93 |
| 94 | 94 | 94 |
| 95 | 95 | 95 |
| 96 | 96 | 96 |
| 97 | 97 | 97 |
| 98 | 98 | 98 |
| 99 | 99 | 99 |
| 100 | 100 | 100 |

3709

[illegible]

STATISTICS..

| | | | | | |
|------------------------|--------|-----------|-------|----------|-------|
| MEAN | 2.629 | STD ERROR | C.064 | MEDIAN | 2.602 |
| MCDE | 3.000 | STD DEV | 0.803 | VARIANCE | 0.653 |
| KURTOSIS | -0.502 | SKEWNESS | 0.191 | RANGE | 4.000 |
| MINIMUM | 1.000 | MAXIMUM | 5.000 | | |
| VALID OBSERVATIONS - | 159 | | | | |
| MISSING OBSERVATIONS - | 3 | | | | |

| VARIABLE | VARC24 | MINORITY PROBLEMS |
|----------|--------|-------------------|
|----------|--------|-------------------|

CCDE

1. ***** (STRONG NEG 8) : 4.5 PCT

STRONG NEG

34.6 PCT (56)

SLIGHT NEG

41.4 PCI (67)

NO EFFECT

4. (25) 15.4 PCT

SLIGHT PCS

5. 1.2 PCT

STRONG, PCS

C. (4) 2.5 PCT

•

100
90
80
70
60
50
40
30
20
10
0
FREQUENCY

FREQUENCY

STATISTICS..

MEAN 2.728

2000

KURTCSIS
-C.273

MINIMUM 1.000

| VALID | CBSERVATIONS | 158 |
|---|-------------------------|-----|
| MISSING <td>CBSERVATIONS <td>4</td> </td> | CBSERVATIONS <td>4</td> | 4 |

VALID OBSERVATIONS
MISSING OBSERVATIONS

STÜ ERKUR 0.066

STD DEV C.834

SKETCHNESS 0.148

MAXIMUM
5.000

MEDIAN

VARIANCE

RANGE

2.724

0.696

4.000

102

CCCE

1. ***** (3) 1.9 PCT
STRONG NEG


2. ***** (3) 1.9 PCT
SLIGHT NEG

3. ***** (24) 14.8 PCT
NG EFFECT

4. ***** (78) 48.1 PCT
SLIGHT PCS

5. ***** (53) 32.7 PCT
STRONG PCS

0. ***** (1) 0.6 PCT
(MISSING)



STATISTICS..

| | | | | | |
|------------------------|-------|-----------|--------|----------|-------|
| MEAN | 4.087 | STD ERROR | 0.067 | MEDIAN | 4.147 |
| MODE | 4.000 | STD DEV | 0.847 | VARIANCE | 0.717 |
| KURTOSIS | 1.884 | SKEWNESS | -1.094 | RANGE | 4.000 |
| MINIMUM | 1.000 | MAXIMUM | 5.000 | | |
| VALID OBSERVATIONS = | 161 | | | | |
| MISSING OBSERVATIONS = | 1 | | | | |

| VARIABLE | VARU27 | EXAMS | FOR EACH RANK |
|------------------------|------------|-----------|---------------|
| CODE | | | |
| 1. | 1 | 15 | 9.3 PCT |
| | STRONG NEG | | |
| 2. | 1 | 28 | 17.3 PCT |
| | SLIGHT NEG | | |
| 3. | 1 | 54 | 33.3 PCT |
| | NC EFFECT | | |
| 4. | 1 | 51 | 31.5 PCT |
| | SLIGHT PCS | | |
| 5. | 1 | 11 | 6.8 PCT |
| | STRONG POS | | |
| (MISSING) | 3 | 1.9 PCT | |
| FREQUENCY | 100 | | |
| STATISTICS.. | | | |
| MEAN | 3.094 | STD ERROR | 0.085 |
| MODE | 3.000 | STD DEV | 1.072 |
| KURTOSIS | -0.550 | SKEWNESS | -0.312 |
| MINIMUM | 1.000 | MAXIMUM | 5.000 |
| VALID OBSERVATIONS - | 159 | | |
| MISSING OBSERVATIONS - | 3 | | |

| VARIABLE | VAR028 | NAVY EXCHANGE |
|----------|--------|---------------|
| CODE | | |
| 1. | 1.0 | 1.2 PCT |
| 2. | 2.0 | 2.0 PCT |
| 3. | 3.0 | 3.0 PCT |
| 4. | 4.0 | 4.0 PCT |
| 5. | 5.0 | 5.0 PCT |
| 6. | 6.0 | 6.0 PCT |
| 7. | 7.0 | 7.0 PCT |
| 8. | 8.0 | 8.0 PCT |
| 9. | 9.0 | 9.0 PCT |
| 10. | 10.0 | 10.0 PCT |
| 11. | 11.0 | 11.0 PCT |
| 12. | 12.0 | 12.0 PCT |
| 13. | 13.0 | 13.0 PCT |
| 14. | 14.0 | 14.0 PCT |
| 15. | 15.0 | 15.0 PCT |
| 16. | 16.0 | 16.0 PCT |
| 17. | 17.0 | 17.0 PCT |
| 18. | 18.0 | 18.0 PCT |
| 19. | 19.0 | 19.0 PCT |
| 20. | 20.0 | 20.0 PCT |
| 21. | 21.0 | 21.0 PCT |
| 22. | 22.0 | 22.0 PCT |
| 23. | 23.0 | 23.0 PCT |
| 24. | 24.0 | 24.0 PCT |
| 25. | 25.0 | 25.0 PCT |
| 26. | 26.0 | 26.0 PCT |
| 27. | 27.0 | 27.0 PCT |
| 28. | 28.0 | 28.0 PCT |
| 29. | 29.0 | 29.0 PCT |
| 30. | 30.0 | 30.0 PCT |
| 31. | 31.0 | 31.0 PCT |
| 32. | 32.0 | 32.0 PCT |
| 33. | 33.0 | 33.0 PCT |
| 34. | 34.0 | 34.0 PCT |
| 35. | 35.0 | 35.0 PCT |
| 36. | 36.0 | 36.0 PCT |
| 37. | 37.0 | 37.0 PCT |
| 38. | 38.0 | 38.0 PCT |
| 39. | 39.0 | 39.0 PCT |
| 40. | 40.0 | 40.0 PCT |
| 41. | 41.0 | 41.0 PCT |
| 42. | 42.0 | 42.0 PCT |
| 43. | 43.0 | 43.0 PCT |
| 44. | 44.0 | 44.0 PCT |
| 45. | 45.0 | 45.0 PCT |
| 46. | 46.0 | 46.0 PCT |
| 47. | 47.0 | 47.0 PCT |
| 48. | 48.0 | 48.0 PCT |
| 49. | 49.0 | 49.0 PCT |
| 50. | 50.0 | 50.0 PCT |
| 51. | 51.0 | 51.0 PCT |
| 52. | 52.0 | 52.0 PCT |
| 53. | 53.0 | 53.0 PCT |
| 54. | 54.0 | 54.0 PCT |
| 55. | 55.0 | 55.0 PCT |
| 56. | 56.0 | 56.0 PCT |
| 57. | 57.0 | 57.0 PCT |
| 58. | 58.0 | 58.0 PCT |
| 59. | 59.0 | 59.0 PCT |
| 60. | 60.0 | 60.0 PCT |
| 61. | 61.0 | 61.0 PCT |
| 62. | 62.0 | 62.0 PCT |
| 63. | 63.0 | 63.0 PCT |
| 64. | 64.0 | 64.0 PCT |
| 65. | 65.0 | 65.0 PCT |
| 66. | 66.0 | 66.0 PCT |
| 67. | 67.0 | 67.0 PCT |
| 68. | 68.0 | 68.0 PCT |
| 69. | 69.0 | 69.0 PCT |
| 70. | 70.0 | 70.0 PCT |
| 71. | 71.0 | 71.0 PCT |
| 72. | 72.0 | 72.0 PCT |
| 73. | 73.0 | 73.0 PCT |
| 74. | 74.0 | 74.0 PCT |
| 75. | 75.0 | 75.0 PCT |
| 76. | 76.0 | 76.0 PCT |
| 77. | 77.0 | 77.0 PCT |
| 78. | 78.0 | 78.0 PCT |
| 79. | 79.0 | 79.0 PCT |
| 80. | 80.0 | 80.0 PCT |
| 81. | 81.0 | 81.0 PCT |
| 82. | 82.0 | 82.0 PCT |
| 83. | 83.0 | 83.0 PCT |
| 84. | 84.0 | 84.0 PCT |
| 85. | 85.0 | 85.0 PCT |
| 86. | 86.0 | 86.0 PCT |
| 87. | 87.0 | 87.0 PCT |
| 88. | 88.0 | 88.0 PCT |
| 89. | 89.0 | 89.0 PCT |
| 90. | 90.0 | 90.0 PCT |
| 91. | 91.0 | 91.0 PCT |
| 92. | 92.0 | 92.0 PCT |
| 93. | 93.0 | 93.0 PCT |
| 94. | 94.0 | 94.0 PCT |
| 95. | 95.0 | 95.0 PCT |
| 96. | 96.0 | 96.0 PCT |
| 97. | 97.0 | 97.0 PCT |
| 98. | 98.0 | 98.0 PCT |
| 99. | 99.0 | 99.0 PCT |
| 100. | 100.0 | 100.0 PCT |

| | | | |
|----------|--------------|--------------------------|----------------|
| VARIABLE | VAR025 | BAQ, ALL AFLCAT OFFICERS | |
| CODE | | | |
| 2. | I **** (6) | 3.7 PCT | |
| | I SLIGHT NEG | | |
| | I | | |
| 3. | I | NO EFFECT | (83) 51.2 PCT |

4. ***** (39) 24.1 PCT

1. 17.3 PCT (28)

0. ***** (t) 3.7 pct

FREQUENCY

0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100

STATISTICS..

| | | | | | |
|------------------------|--------|----------|-------|----------|-------|
| MEAN | 3.571 | STD ERQR | 0.066 | MEDIAN | 3.367 |
| MCDE | 3.000 | STD DEV | 6.828 | VARIANCE | 0.685 |
| KURTOSIS | -0.738 | SKEWNESS | 0.527 | RANGE | 3.000 |
| MINIMUM | 2.000 | MAXIMUM | 5.000 | | |
| VALID OBSERVATIONS - | 156 | | | | |
| MISSING OBSERVATIONS - | 0 | | | | |

VARIABLE VAR029 EAC, ALL AFLCAT OFFICERS

3700

```

*** I NO EFFECT *** ( 6) 18.2 PCT

```

4. I SLIGHT PCS (9) 27.3 PCT

5. I STRONG PCS (18) 54.5

| | 0.0 PCT | 0.0 PCT |
|-----------|---------|---------|
| (MISSING) | 0 | 0 |

FREQUENCY

STATISTICS..

| | | | | | |
|------------------------|--------|-----------|--------|----------|-------|
| MEAN | 4.364 | STD ERROR | 0.156 | MEDIAN | 0.0 |
| MCDE | 5.000 | STD DEV | 6.783 | VARIANCE | 0.614 |
| KURTOSIS | -0.962 | SKEWNESS | -0.727 | RANGE | 2.000 |
| MINIMUM | 3.000 | MAXIMUM | 5.000 | | |
| VALID OBSERVATIONS - | 33 | | | | |
| MISSING OBSERVATIONS - | 0 | | | | |

| VARIABLE | VAR030 | SFCT PROMOTION PROGRAM |
|----------|--------|------------------------|
| 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 2 | 1 | 1 |
| 3 | 1 | 1 |
| 4 | 1 | 1 |
| 5 | 1 | 1 |
| 6 | 1 | 1 |
| 7 | 1 | 1 |
| 8 | 1 | 1 |
| 9 | 1 | 1 |
| 10 | 1 | 1 |
| 11 | 1 | 1 |
| 12 | 1 | 1 |
| 13 | 1 | 1 |
| 14 | 1 | 1 |
| 15 | 1 | 1 |
| 16 | 1 | 1 |
| 17 | 1 | 1 |
| 18 | 1 | 1 |
| 19 | 1 | 1 |
| 20 | 1 | 1 |
| 21 | 1 | 1 |
| 22 | 1 | 1 |
| 23 | 1 | 1 |
| 24 | 1 | 1 |
| 25 | 1 | 1 |
| 26 | 1 | 1 |
| 27 | 1 | 1 |
| 28 | 1 | 1 |
| 29 | 1 | 1 |
| 30 | 1 | 1 |
| 31 | 1 | 1 |
| 32 | 1 | 1 |
| 33 | 1 | 1 |
| 34 | 1 | 1 |
| 35 | 1 | 1 |
| 36 | 1 | 1 |
| 37 | 1 | 1 |
| 38 | 1 | 1 |
| 39 | 1 | 1 |
| 40 | 1 | 1 |
| 41 | 1 | 1 |
| 42 | 1 | 1 |
| 43 | 1 | 1 |
| 44 | 1 | 1 |
| 45 | 1 | 1 |
| 46 | 1 | 1 |
| 47 | 1 | 1 |
| 48 | 1 | 1 |
| 49 | 1 | 1 |
| 50 | 1 | 1 |
| 51 | 1 | 1 |
| 52 | 1 | 1 |
| 53 | 1 | 1 |
| 54 | 1 | 1 |
| 55 | 1 | 1 |
| 56 | 1 | 1 |
| 57 | 1 | 1 |
| 58 | 1 | 1 |
| 59 | 1 | 1 |
| 60 | 1 | 1 |
| 61 | 1 | 1 |
| 62 | 1 | 1 |
| 63 | 1 | 1 |
| 64 | 1 | 1 |
| 65 | 1 | 1 |
| 66 | 1 | 1 |
| 67 | 1 | 1 |
| 68 | 1 | 1 |
| 69 | 1 | 1 |
| 70 | 1 | 1 |
| 71 | 1 | 1 |
| 72 | 1 | 1 |
| 73 | 1 | 1 |
| 74 | 1 | 1 |
| 75 | 1 | 1 |
| 76 | 1 | 1 |
| 77 | 1 | 1 |
| 78 | 1 | 1 |
| 79 | 1 | 1 |
| 80 | 1 | 1 |
| 81 | 1 | 1 |
| 82 | 1 | 1 |
| 83 | 1 | 1 |
| 84 | 1 | 1 |
| 85 | 1 | 1 |
| 86 | 1 | 1 |
| 87 | 1 | 1 |
| 88 | 1 | 1 |
| 89 | 1 | 1 |
| 90 | 1 | 1 |
| 91 | 1 | 1 |
| 92 | 1 | 1 |
| 93 | 1 | 1 |
| 94 | 1 | 1 |
| 95 | 1 | 1 |
| 96 | 1 | 1 |
| 97 | 1 | 1 |
| 98 | 1 | 1 |
| 99 | 1 | 1 |
| 100 | 1 | 1 |

3303

1. I*** (3) 1.9 PCT
I STRONG NEG

2. I ***** (10) 6.2 PCT
I SLIGHT NEG

3. I NC EFFECT (40) 24.7 PCT

1 4. I SLIGHT PCS (75) 46.3 PCT

5. **STRONG POS** (32) 19.8 PCT

| |
|------------|
| (MISSING) |
| G. |
| I |
| ** (|
| Z) 1.2 PCT |

1
0
FREQUENCY

STATISTICS...

| | | | | | |
|----------|-------|-----------|--------|----------|-------|
| MEAN | 3.769 | STD ERROR | 0.072 | MEDIAN | 3.860 |
| MODE | 4.000 | STD DEV | 0.906 | VARIANCE | 0.820 |
| KURTOSIS | 0.403 | SKEWNESS | -0.650 | RANGE | 4.000 |
| MINIMUM | 1.000 | MAXIMUM | 5.000 | | |

| | | | |
|---------|--------------|---|-----|
| VALID | OBSERVATIONS | - | 160 |
| MISSING | OBSERVATIONS | - | 2 |

CCDE

1. I_{star} (1) 0.6 PCT.

2. ***** (7) 4.3 PCT
I SLIGHT NEG

```

I ***** NO EFFECT I
3. ***** ( 71) 43.8 PCT

```

4. I S L I G H T P C S (56) 34.6 P C T

5. I ***** (22) 13.6 PCT

***** STRENG PCS

| | (|) | 3.1 PCT |
|-----------------|---|---|---------|
| 0.
(MISSING) | | | |

FREQUENCY

STATISTICS...

| | | | | | |
|----------|--------|-----------|-------|----------|-------|
| MEAN | 3.580 | STD ERRGR | 0.065 | MEDIAN | 3.493 |
| MCDE | 3.000 | STD DEV | 0.810 | VARIANCE | 0.655 |
| KURTOSIS | -0.189 | SKENESS | 0.104 | RANGE | 4.000 |
| MINIMUM | 1.000 | MAXIMUM | 5.000 | | |

| | | | |
|------|-------|---------|-------|
| MCDE | 3.000 | STD DEV | C.310 |
|------|-------|---------|-------|

| | | |
|----------|--------|-------|
| KURTOSIS | -0.189 | 0.104 |
| SKENNESS | | |

| | MINIMUM | 1.000 | MAXIMUM | 5.000 |
|---------|---------|-------|---------|-------|
| 1.000 | | | | |
| 2.000 | | | | |
| 3.000 | | | | |
| 4.000 | | | | |
| 5.000 | | | | |
| 6.000 | | | | |
| 7.000 | | | | |
| 8.000 | | | | |
| 9.000 | | | | |
| 10.000 | | | | |
| 11.000 | | | | |
| 12.000 | | | | |
| 13.000 | | | | |
| 14.000 | | | | |
| 15.000 | | | | |
| 16.000 | | | | |
| 17.000 | | | | |
| 18.000 | | | | |
| 19.000 | | | | |
| 20.000 | | | | |
| 21.000 | | | | |
| 22.000 | | | | |
| 23.000 | | | | |
| 24.000 | | | | |
| 25.000 | | | | |
| 26.000 | | | | |
| 27.000 | | | | |
| 28.000 | | | | |
| 29.000 | | | | |
| 30.000 | | | | |
| 31.000 | | | | |
| 32.000 | | | | |
| 33.000 | | | | |
| 34.000 | | | | |
| 35.000 | | | | |
| 36.000 | | | | |
| 37.000 | | | | |
| 38.000 | | | | |
| 39.000 | | | | |
| 40.000 | | | | |
| 41.000 | | | | |
| 42.000 | | | | |
| 43.000 | | | | |
| 44.000 | | | | |
| 45.000 | | | | |
| 46.000 | | | | |
| 47.000 | | | | |
| 48.000 | | | | |
| 49.000 | | | | |
| 50.000 | | | | |
| 51.000 | | | | |
| 52.000 | | | | |
| 53.000 | | | | |
| 54.000 | | | | |
| 55.000 | | | | |
| 56.000 | | | | |
| 57.000 | | | | |
| 58.000 | | | | |
| 59.000 | | | | |
| 60.000 | | | | |
| 61.000 | | | | |
| 62.000 | | | | |
| 63.000 | | | | |
| 64.000 | | | | |
| 65.000 | | | | |
| 66.000 | | | | |
| 67.000 | | | | |
| 68.000 | | | | |
| 69.000 | | | | |
| 70.000 | | | | |
| 71.000 | | | | |
| 72.000 | | | | |
| 73.000 | | | | |
| 74.000 | | | | |
| 75.000 | | | | |
| 76.000 | | | | |
| 77.000 | | | | |
| 78.000 | | | | |
| 79.000 | | | | |
| 80.000 | | | | |
| 81.000 | | | | |
| 82.000 | | | | |
| 83.000 | | | | |
| 84.000 | | | | |
| 85.000 | | | | |
| 86.000 | | | | |
| 87.000 | | | | |
| 88.000 | | | | |
| 89.000 | | | | |
| 90.000 | | | | |
| 91.000 | | | | |
| 92.000 | | | | |
| 93.000 | | | | |
| 94.000 | | | | |
| 95.000 | | | | |
| 96.000 | | | | |
| 97.000 | | | | |
| 98.000 | | | | |
| 99.000 | | | | |
| 100.000 | | | | |

| | | | |
|---------|--------------|---|-----|
| VALID | CBSERVATIONS | - | 157 |
| MISSING | CBSERVATIONS | - | 5 |

MISSING OBSERVATIONS - 5

333

1. ***** (4) 2.5 PCT
I STRONG NEG

2. I SLIGHT NEG (37) 22.8 PCT

3. I NC EFFECT (82) 50.6 PCT

4. I ***** SLIGHT POS ***** (37) 22.8 PCT

5. I *** (STRCNG PCS) 1.2 PCT

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 | 33 | 34 | 35 | 36 | 37 | 38 | 39 | 40 | 41 | 42 | 43 | 44 | 45 | 46 | 47 | 48 | 49 | 50 | 51 | 52 | 53 | 54 | 55 | 56 | 57 | 58 | 59 | 60 | 61 | 62 | 63 | 64 | 65 | 66 | 67 | 68 | 69 | 70 | 71 | 72 | 73 | 74 | 75 | 76 | 77 | 78 | 79 | 80 | 81 | 82 | 83 | 84 | 85 | 86 | 87 | 88 | 89 | 90 | 91 | 92 | 93 | 94 | 95 | 96 | 97 | 98 | 99 | 100 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|-----|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 | 33 | 34 | 35 | 36 | 37 | 38 | 39 | 40 | 41 | 42 | 43 | 44 | 45 | 46 | 47 | 48 | 49 | 50 | 51 | 52 | 53 | 54 | 55 | 56 | 57 | 58 | 59 | 60 | 61 | 62 | 63 | 64 | 65 | 66 | 67 | 68 | 69 | 70 | 71 | 72 | 73 | 74 | 75 | 76 | 77 | 78 | 79 | 80 | 81 | 82 | 83 | 84 | 85 | 86 | 87 | 88 | 89 | 90 | 91 | 92 | 93 | 94 | 95 | 96 | 97 | 98 | 99 | 100 |

100
90
80
70
60
50
40
30
20
10
FREQUENCY

STATISTICS..

| | | | | | |
|----------|--------|-----------|--------|----------|-------|
| MEAN | 2.975 | STD ERROR | 0.061 | MEDIAN | 2.988 |
| MODE | 3.000 | STD DEV | 0.780 | VARIANCE | 0.608 |
| KURTOSIS | -0.148 | SKEWNESS | -0.115 | RANGE | 4.000 |
| MINIMUM | 1.000 | MAXIMUM | 5.000 | | |

| VALID | CBSERVAT | CNS | - | 162 |
|---------|----------|-----|---|-----|
| MISSING | CBSERVAT | CNS | - | 0 |

VAR034

3700

1. I *** (3) 1.9 PCT
I STRONG NEG

2. I ***** (17) 10.5 PCT
I *****
I SLIGHT NEG

3. I NC EFFECT (35) 21.6 PCT

```

1 ***** SLIGHT PCS ***** ( 68) 42.0 PCT
4. ***** SLIGHT PCS *****

```

5. I ***** STRONG POS ***** (38) 23.5 PCT

0.
(MISSING)
I ** (1) C.6 PCT

100
90
80
70
60
50
40
30
20
10
FREQUENCY

STATISTICS..

| | | | | | |
|----------|--------|-----------|--------|----------|-------|
| MEAN | 3.752 | STD ERROR | C.078 | MEDIAN | 3.875 |
| MODE | 4.000 | STD DEV | 0.994 | VARIANCE | C.988 |
| KURTOSIS | -0.192 | SKEWNESS | -0.598 | RANGE | 4.000 |
| MINIMUM | 1.000 | MAXIMUM | 5.000 | | |

| | | | |
|---------|--------------|---|-----|
| VALID | CBSERVATIONS | - | 161 |
| MISSING | CBSERVATIONS | - | 1 |

| VARIABLE | VAR035 | WIFE'S OPINION |
|----------|--------|----------------|
| 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 3 | 3 | 3 |
| 4 | 4 | 4 |
| 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 6 | 6 | 6 |
| 7 | 7 | 7 |
| 8 | 8 | 8 |
| 9 | 9 | 9 |
| 10 | 10 | 10 |
| 11 | 11 | 11 |
| 12 | 12 | 12 |
| 13 | 13 | 13 |
| 14 | 14 | 14 |
| 15 | 15 | 15 |
| 16 | 16 | 16 |
| 17 | 17 | 17 |
| 18 | 18 | 18 |
| 19 | 19 | 19 |
| 20 | 20 | 20 |
| 21 | 21 | 21 |
| 22 | 22 | 22 |
| 23 | 23 | 23 |
| 24 | 24 | 24 |
| 25 | 25 | 25 |
| 26 | 26 | 26 |
| 27 | 27 | 27 |
| 28 | 28 | 28 |
| 29 | 29 | 29 |
| 30 | 30 | 30 |
| 31 | 31 | 31 |
| 32 | 32 | 32 |
| 33 | 33 | 33 |
| 34 | 34 | 34 |
| 35 | 35 | 35 |
| 36 | 36 | 36 |
| 37 | 37 | 37 |
| 38 | 38 | 38 |
| 39 | 39 | 39 |
| 40 | 40 | 40 |
| 41 | 41 | 41 |
| 42 | 42 | 42 |
| 43 | 43 | 43 |
| 44 | 44 | 44 |
| 45 | 45 | 45 |
| 46 | 46 | 46 |
| 47 | 47 | 47 |
| 48 | 48 | 48 |
| 49 | 49 | 49 |
| 50 | 50 | 50 |
| 51 | 51 | 51 |
| 52 | 52 | 52 |
| 53 | 53 | 53 |
| 54 | 54 | 54 |
| 55 | 55 | 55 |
| 56 | 56 | 56 |
| 57 | 57 | 57 |
| 58 | 58 | 58 |
| 59 | 59 | 59 |
| 60 | 60 | 60 |
| 61 | 61 | 61 |
| 62 | 62 | 62 |
| 63 | 63 | 63 |
| 64 | 64 | 64 |
| 65 | 65 | 65 |
| 66 | 66 | 66 |
| 67 | 67 | 67 |
| 68 | 68 | 68 |
| 69 | 69 | 69 |
| 70 | 70 | 70 |
| 71 | 71 | 71 |
| 72 | 72 | 72 |
| 73 | 73 | 73 |
| 74 | 74 | 74 |
| 75 | 75 | 75 |
| 76 | 76 | 76 |
| 77 | 77 | 77 |
| 78 | 78 | 78 |
| 79 | 79 | 79 |
| 80 | 80 | 80 |
| 81 | 81 | 81 |
| 82 | 82 | 82 |
| 83 | 83 | 83 |
| 84 | 84 | 84 |
| 85 | 85 | 85 |
| 86 | 86 | 86 |
| 87 | 87 | 87 |
| 88 | 88 | 88 |
| 89 | 89 | 89 |
| 90 | 90 | 90 |
| 91 | 91 | 91 |
| 92 | 92 | 92 |
| 93 | 93 | 93 |
| 94 | 94 | 94 |
| 95 | 95 | 95 |
| 96 | 96 | 96 |
| 97 | 97 | 97 |
| 98 | 98 | 98 |
| 99 | 99 | 99 |
| 100 | 100 | 100 |

CODE

[illegible]

STATISTICS..

| | | | | | |
|------------------------|--------|-----------|--------|----------|-------|
| MEAN | 3.445 | STD ERROR | 0.089 | MEDIAN | 3.538 |
| MODE | 4.000 | STD DEV | 1.077 | VARIANCE | 1.159 |
| KURTOSIS | -0.125 | SKEWNESS | -0.523 | RANGE | 4.000 |
| MINIMUM | 1.000 | MAXIMUM | 5.000 | | |
| VALID OBSERVATIONS - | | | | | 146 |
| MISSING OBSERVATIONS - | | | | | 16 |

VARIABLE VAR036 ADDIT PAY COMPAR TO FLT PAY

CCODE

1. I ***** (15) 9.3 PCT
I STRUNG NEG
I
2. I ***** (73) 45.1 PCT
I SLIGHT NEG
I
3. I ***** (67) 41.4 PCT
I NO EFFECT
I

4. I ***** (4) 2.5 PCT
I SLIGHT POS
I

5. I ***** (1) 0.6 PCT
I STRCNG PCS
I

0. I ***** (2) 1.2 PCT
(MISSING) I

FREQUENCY 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100

STATISTICS..

| | | | | | |
|----------|-------|-----------|-------|----------|-------|
| MEAN | 2.394 | STD ERROR | 0.057 | MEDIAN | 2.390 |
| MODE | 2.000 | STD DEV | 0.719 | VARIANCE | 0.517 |
| KURTOSIS | 0.320 | SKEWNESS | 0.070 | RANGE | 4.000 |
| MINIMUM | 1.000 | MAXIMUM | 5.000 | | |

VALID OBSERVATIONS = 160
MISSING OBSERVATIONS = 2

[illegible]

[illegible]

STATISTICS..

118

| VARIABLE | VAR041 | REQUIRED COURSES |
|----------|--------|------------------|
| 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 3 | 3 | 3 |
| 4 | 4 | 4 |
| 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 6 | 6 | 6 |
| 7 | 7 | 7 |
| 8 | 8 | 8 |
| 9 | 9 | 9 |
| 10 | 10 | 10 |
| 11 | 11 | 11 |
| 12 | 12 | 12 |
| 13 | 13 | 13 |
| 14 | 14 | 14 |
| 15 | 15 | 15 |
| 16 | 16 | 16 |
| 17 | 17 | 17 |
| 18 | 18 | 18 |
| 19 | 19 | 19 |
| 20 | 20 | 20 |
| 21 | 21 | 21 |
| 22 | 22 | 22 |
| 23 | 23 | 23 |
| 24 | 24 | 24 |
| 25 | 25 | 25 |
| 26 | 26 | 26 |
| 27 | 27 | 27 |
| 28 | 28 | 28 |
| 29 | 29 | 29 |
| 30 | 30 | 30 |
| 31 | 31 | 31 |
| 32 | 32 | 32 |
| 33 | 33 | 33 |
| 34 | 34 | 34 |
| 35 | 35 | 35 |
| 36 | 36 | 36 |
| 37 | 37 | 37 |
| 38 | 38 | 38 |
| 39 | 39 | 39 |
| 40 | 40 | 40 |
| 41 | 41 | 41 |
| 42 | 42 | 42 |
| 43 | 43 | 43 |
| 44 | 44 | 44 |
| 45 | 45 | 45 |
| 46 | 46 | 46 |
| 47 | 47 | 47 |
| 48 | 48 | 48 |
| 49 | 49 | 49 |
| 50 | 50 | 50 |
| 51 | 51 | 51 |
| 52 | 52 | 52 |
| 53 | 53 | 53 |
| 54 | 54 | 54 |
| 55 | 55 | 55 |
| 56 | 56 | 56 |
| 57 | 57 | 57 |
| 58 | 58 | 58 |
| 59 | 59 | 59 |
| 60 | 60 | 60 |
| 61 | 61 | 61 |
| 62 | 62 | 62 |
| 63 | 63 | 63 |
| 64 | 64 | 64 |
| 65 | 65 | 65 |
| 66 | 66 | 66 |
| 67 | 67 | 67 |
| 68 | 68 | 68 |
| 69 | 69 | 69 |
| 70 | 70 | 70 |
| 71 | 71 | 71 |
| 72 | 72 | 72 |
| 73 | 73 | 73 |
| 74 | 74 | 74 |
| 75 | 75 | 75 |
| 76 | 76 | 76 |
| 77 | 77 | 77 |
| 78 | 78 | 78 |
| 79 | 79 | 79 |
| 80 | 80 | 80 |
| 81 | 81 | 81 |
| 82 | 82 | 82 |
| 83 | 83 | 83 |
| 84 | 84 | 84 |
| 85 | 85 | 85 |
| 86 | 86 | 86 |
| 87 | 87 | 87 |
| 88 | 88 | 88 |
| 89 | 89 | 89 |
| 90 | 90 | 90 |
| 91 | 91 | 91 |
| 92 | 92 | 92 |
| 93 | 93 | 93 |
| 94 | 94 | 94 |
| 95 | 95 | 95 |
| 96 | 96 | 96 |
| 97 | 97 | 97 |
| 98 | 98 | 98 |
| 99 | 99 | 99 |
| 100 | 100 | 100 |

CODE

1. I ***** (L4) 8.6 PCT
I ***** STRONG NEG

2. 30.2 PCT (49)

1. 36.4 pCi

1. (34) 21.0 PCT

5. ***** (6) 3.7 PCT

C. 1 (0) 0.0 PCT

100
90
80
70
60
50
40
30
20
10
FREQUENCY

STATISTICS..

MEAN 2.809

3.000
MCDE

XJKTOSIS -C.520

MINIMUM 1.000

| | | | |
|---------|--------------|---|-----|
| VALID | OBSERVATIONS | - | 162 |
| MISSING | OBSERVATIONS | - | 0 |

| | |
|----------|-------|
| MEDIAN | 2.805 |
| VARIANCE | 0.976 |
| RANGE | 4.000 |

| VARIABLE | VAR042 | TIME AWAY HOMEPORT |
|----------|--------|--------------------|
|----------|--------|--------------------|

CCOE

| | | | |
|----|------------|-------|----------|
| 1. | STRONG NEG | (38) | 23.5 PCT |
| 2. | SLIGHT NEG | (55) | 34.0 PCT |
| 3. | NO EFFECT | (50) | 34.6 PCT |

4. I ***** (12) 7.4 PCT
I SLIGHT POS

5. 1 1/2 (1) 0.6 PCT
1 STRONG PCS

0.0 PCT (0) 0.0 PCT (0)

[illegible]

STATISTICS..

| | | | | | |
|----------|--------|-----------|-------|----------|-------|
| MEAN | 2.278 | STD ERROR | 0.073 | MEDIAN | 2.282 |
| MODE | 3.000 | STD DEV | 0.927 | VARIANCE | 0.860 |
| KURTOSIS | -0.658 | SKEWNESS | 0.173 | RANGE | 4.000 |
| MINIMUM | 1.000 | MAXIMUM | 5.000 | | |

| | |
|------------------------|-----|
| VALID OBSERVATIONS - | 162 |
| MISSING OBSERVATIONS - | 0 |

122

100
 90
 80
 70
 60
 50
 40
 30
 20
 10
 0
 FREQUENCY

STATISTICS..

| | | | | | |
|------------------------|-------|-----------|-------|----------|-------|
| MEAN | 2.352 | STD ERROR | 0.074 | MEDIAN | 2.147 |
| MODE | 2.000 | STD DEV | 0.929 | VARIANCE | 0.863 |
| KURTOSIS | 0.506 | SKEWNESS | 0.960 | RANGE | 4.000 |
| MINIMUM | 1.000 | MAXIMUM | 5.000 | | |
| VALID OBSERVATIONS - | 154 | | | | |
| MISSING OBSERVATIONS - | 3 | | | | |

VARIABLE VARC46 ACCEL FROM CEILING: REMOVED

CCODE

```
1.    ** (    2)    1.2 PCT
      I    I STRONG NEG
      I    I
2.    ***** (    18)    11.1 PCT
      I    I SLIGHT NEG
      I    I
3.    ***** (    56)    34.0 PCT
      I    I NO EFFECT
      I    I
4.    ***** (    62)    38.3 PCT
      I    I SLIGHT PCS
      I    I
5.    ***** (    21)    13.0 PCT
      I    I STRONG PCS
      I    I
C.    ** (    3)    1.9 PCT
(MISSING) I    I
      I    I
      I    I
FREQUENCY    10    20    30    40    50    60    70    80    90    100
```

STATISTICS..

| | | | | | |
|------------------------|--------|-----------|--------|----------|-------|
| MEAN | 3.516 | STD ERROR | 0.072 | MEDIAN | 3.556 |
| MCDE | 4.000 | STD DEV | 0.906 | VARIANCE | 0.821 |
| KURTOSIS | -0.311 | SKEWNESS | -0.226 | RANGE | 4.000 |
| MINIMUM | 1.000 | MAXIMUM | 5.000 | | |
| VALID OBSERVATIONS = | 159 | | | | |
| MISSING OBSERVATIONS = | 3 | | | | |

| VARIABLE | VARC47 | MEDICAL BENEFITS | |
|-----------|--------|------------------|---------|
| CCDE | | | |
| 1. | 1 | STRONG NEG | 3.1 PCT |
| 2. | 1 | SLIGHT NEG | 2.5 PCT |
| 3. | 1 | NO EFFECT | 8.6 PCT |
| 4. | 1 | SLIGHT POS | |
| 5. | 1 | STRONG POS | |
| (MISSING) | 0 | 0.0 PCT | |

| STATISTICS.. | MEAN | STD ERROR | MEDIAN |
|------------------------|-------|-----------|--------|
| MEAN | 4.105 | 0.070 | 4.182 |
| STD DEV | 4.000 | 0.889 | 0.790 |
| KURTOSIS | 2.976 | -1.484 | 4.000 |
| MINIMUM | 1.000 | 5.000 | |
| VALID OBSERVATIONS = | 162 | | |
| MISSING OBSERVATIONS = | 0 | | |

| | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 70 | 80 | 90 | 100 |
|-----------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|-----|
| FREQUENCY | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 85 | 52.5 PCT |
|-------|---|---|---|---|---|----|----------|
| ***** | | | | | | | |

כְּכִי

1. ***** (S) .5.0 PCT
I STRONG (NEG)

47) 29.0 PCT

24) 14.8 PCT

51) 31.5 PCT

167 17.9 PCT

2) 1.2 PCT

100
90
80
70
60
50
40
30
20
10
0
FREQUENCY

STATISTICS..

| | | | | | |
|------------------------|--------|-----------|--------|----------|-------|
| MEAN | 3.275 | STD ERROR | C.097 | MEDIAN | 3.500 |
| MODE | 4.000 | STD DEV | 1.225 | VARIANCE | 1.496 |
| KURTOSIS | -1.201 | SKEWNESS | -0.123 | RANGE | 4.000 |
| MINIMUM | 1.000 | MAXIMUM | 5.000 | | |
| VALID OBSERVATIONS - | 160 | | | | |
| MISSING OBSERVATIONS - | 2 | | | | |


```

CODE
1. I **** ( 4) 2.5 PCT
   I I I STRONG NEG
   I I I
2. I **** ( 14) 8.0 PCT
   I I I SLIGHT NEG
   I I I
3. I **** NC EFFECT
   I I I

```

| | | | | | |
|------------------------|-------|-----------|--------|----------|-------|
| MEAN | 3.145 | STD ERROR | C.056 | MEDIAN | 3.115 |
| MODE | 3.000 | STD DEV | 0.710 | VARIANCE | 0.504 |
| KURTOSIS | 1.406 | SKEWNESS | -0.214 | RANGE | 4.000 |
| MINIMUM | 1.000 | MAXIMUM | 5.000 | | |
| VALID OBSERVATIONS - | 159 | | | | |
| MISSING OBSERVATIONS - | 3 | | | | |

| VARIABLE | VAR05U | NAVY PEERS |
|------------------------|-------------|------------|
| CODE | 1 | |
| 2. | ***** (16) | 9.9 PCT |
| | SLIGHT NEG | |
| 3. | ***** (77) | 47.5 PCT |
| | NO EFFECT | |
| 4. | ***** (59) | 36.4 PCT |
| | SLIGHT PCS | |
| 5. | ***** (9) | 5.6 PCT |
| | STRONG PCS | |
| C (MISSING) | ***** (1) | 0.0 PCT |
| FREQUENCY | 100 | |
| STATISTICS.. | | |
| MEAN | 3.379 | |
| MODE | 3.000 | |
| KURTOSIS | -0.282 | |
| MINIMUM | 2.000 | |
| VALID OBSERVATIONS - | 161 | |
| MISSING OBSERVATIONS - | 1 | |

| VARIABLE | VARC51 | UNEXP DEPLOYMENT OR ORDERS |
|-----------|------------|----------------------------|
| CCDE | | |
| 1. | STRONG NEG | (33) 20.4 PCT |
| 2. | SLIGHT NEG | (61) 37.7 PCT |
| 3. | NO EFFECT | (61) 37.7 PCT |
| 4. | SLIGHT POS | |
| 5. | STRONG POS | |
| (MISSING) | | |

FREQUENCY

| | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 70 | 80 | 90 | 100 |
|-----------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|-----|
| 1. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 2. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 3. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 4. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 5. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| (MISSING) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

STATISTICS..

| | | | | | |
|------------------------|--------|-----------|-------|----------|-------|
| MEAN | 2.250 | STD ERROR | 0.067 | MEDIAN | 2.270 |
| MCDE | 2.000 | STD DEV | 0.847 | VARIANCE | 0.717 |
| KURTOSIS | -0.013 | SKEWNESS | 0.187 | RANGE | 4.000 |
| MINIMUM | 1.000 | MAXIMUM | 5.000 | | |
| VALID OBSERVATIONS = | 160 | | | | |
| MISSING OBSERVATIONS = | 2 | | | | |

VARIABLE VAR052 PEACETIME BUDGET CONSTRAINTS

CODE

1. STRONG NEG (22) 13.6 PCT

2. SLIGHT NEG

3. AC EFFECT (45) 27.8 PCT

4. SLIGHT PCS 1.9 PCT

C. (0) 0.0 PCT

(MISSING)

FREQUENCY 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100

STATISTICS..

MEAN 2.179 STD ERROR 0.053 MEDIAN 2.141
MODE 2.000 STD DEV 0.677 VARIANCE 0.458
KURTOSIS -0.144 SKEWNESS 0.129 RANGE 3.000
MINIMUM 1.000 MAXIMUM 4.000

VALID OBSERVATIONS = 162
MISSING OBSERVATIONS = 0

| VARIABLE | VARC53 | UNANNOUNCED INSPECTIONS |
|----------|--------|-------------------------|
|----------|--------|-------------------------|

CODE

1. 17.3 PCT (28)

2. (41) 25.3 PCT

一、

4. (37) 22.8 PCT

5. 5.6 PCT (9)

C. 1 (0) 0.0 PCT

[illegible]

STATISTICS..

NEAN 2.741

MCUE 3.000

KURTUSIS
-C.909-

MINIMUM 1.000

VALID CONSERVATION - 162

VALID OBSERVATIONS
MISSING OBSERVATIONS

MEDIAN 2.755

VARIANCE 1.356

RANGE 4.000

STD ERROR 0.091

1.156
STC CEV

SKEDNESS 0.057

MAXIMUM 5.000

| VARIABLE | VAR054 | CIVILIAN OPINION |
|-----------------|---|------------------|
| CCODE | | |
| 1. | I ***** (5) 3.1 PCT
I STRONG NEG
I | |
| 2. | I ***** (42) 25.5 PCT
I SLIGHT NEG
I | |
| 3. | I ***** NO EFFECT
I | (90) 55.6 PCT |
| 4. | I ***** (24) 14.8 PCT
I SLIGHT PCS
I | |
| 0.
(MISSING) | I ** (1) 0.6 PCT
I
I | |
| FREQUENCY | I I I I I I I
10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 | |

STATISTICS..

| | | | | | |
|----------|--------|-----------|--------|----------|-------|
| MEAN | 2.826 | STD ERROR | 0.056 | MEDIAN | 2.872 |
| MODE | 3.000 | STD DEV | 0.712 | VARIANCE | 0.507 |
| KURTOSIS | -0.045 | SKEWNESS | -0.257 | RANGE | 3.000 |
| MINIMUM | 1.000 | MAXIMUM | 4.000 | | |

| VALID OBSERVATIONS | MISSING OBSERVATIONS | 161 |
|--------------------|----------------------|-----|
| 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 3 | 3 | 3 |
| 4 | 4 | 4 |
| 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 6 | 6 | 6 |
| 7 | 7 | 7 |
| 8 | 8 | 8 |
| 9 | 9 | 9 |
| 10 | 10 | 10 |
| 11 | 11 | 11 |
| 12 | 12 | 12 |
| 13 | 13 | 13 |
| 14 | 14 | 14 |
| 15 | 15 | 15 |
| 16 | 16 | 16 |
| 17 | 17 | 17 |
| 18 | 18 | 18 |
| 19 | 19 | 19 |
| 20 | 20 | 20 |
| 21 | 21 | 21 |
| 22 | 22 | 22 |
| 23 | 23 | 23 |
| 24 | 24 | 24 |
| 25 | 25 | 25 |
| 26 | 26 | 26 |
| 27 | 27 | 27 |
| 28 | 28 | 28 |
| 29 | 29 | 29 |
| 30 | 30 | 30 |
| 31 | 31 | 31 |
| 32 | 32 | 32 |
| 33 | 33 | 33 |
| 34 | 34 | 34 |
| 35 | 35 | 35 |
| 36 | 36 | 36 |
| 37 | 37 | 37 |
| 38 | 38 | 38 |
| 39 | 39 | 39 |
| 40 | 40 | 40 |
| 41 | 41 | 41 |
| 42 | 42 | 42 |
| 43 | 43 | 43 |
| 44 | 44 | 44 |
| 45 | 45 | 45 |
| 46 | 46 | 46 |
| 47 | 47 | 47 |
| 48 | 48 | 48 |
| 49 | 49 | 49 |
| 50 | 50 | 50 |
| 51 | 51 | 51 |
| 52 | 52 | 52 |
| 53 | 53 | 53 |
| 54 | 54 | 54 |
| 55 | 55 | 55 |
| 56 | 56 | 56 |
| 57 | 57 | 57 |
| 58 | 58 | 58 |
| 59 | 59 | 59 |
| 60 | 60 | 60 |
| 61 | 61 | 61 |
| 62 | 62 | 62 |
| 63 | 63 | 63 |
| 64 | 64 | 64 |
| 65 | 65 | 65 |
| 66 | 66 | 66 |
| 67 | 67 | 67 |
| 68 | 68 | 68 |
| 69 | 69 | 69 |
| 70 | 70 | 70 |
| 71 | 71 | 71 |
| 72 | 72 | 72 |
| 73 | 73 | 73 |
| 74 | 74 | 74 |
| 75 | 75 | 75 |
| 76 | 76 | 76 |
| 77 | 77 | 77 |
| 78 | 78 | 78 |
| 79 | 79 | 79 |
| 80 | 80 | 80 |
| 81 | 81 | 81 |
| 82 | 82 | 82 |
| 83 | 83 | 83 |
| 84 | 84 | 84 |
| 85 | 85 | 85 |
| 86 | 86 | 86 |
| 87 | 87 | 87 |
| 88 | 88 | 88 |
| 89 | 89 | 89 |
| 90 | 90 | 90 |
| 91 | 91 | 91 |
| 92 | 92 | 92 |
| 93 | 93 | 93 |
| 94 | 94 | 94 |
| 95 | 95 | 95 |
| 96 | 96 | 96 |
| 97 | 97 | 97 |
| 98 | 98 | 98 |
| 99 | 99 | 99 |
| 100 | 100 | 100 |

VARIABLE VAR055 CCMPAR SURF WITH SUB AND AIR

3307

1. ***** (9) 4.9 PCT
I STRONG NEG

2. I SIGHT NEG I SIGHT NEG (41.4 PCT 67)

3. I NC EFFECT (68) 42.0 PCT

4. I ***** (15) 9.3 PCT
I SLIGHT PCS

5. I *** (STRONG POS) 1.2 PCT

1.2 PCT

(MISSING)

100
90
80
70
60
50
40
30
20
10
FREQUENCY

STATISTICS..

MEAN 2.600

750M 3.000

KURTOSIS 0.217

MINIMUM 1.000

| | | | |
|---------|--------------|---|-----|
| VALID | CBSERVATIONS | - | 160 |
| MISSING | CBSERVATIONS | - | 2 |

MISSING PRESERVATIONS - 1002

MEDIAN 2.574

VARIANCE 0.006

4.000
RANGE

STG ERKUR C.C62

STD CEV 0.779

SKEDNESS 0.346

MAXIMUM 5.000

| | | |
|----------|--------|--------------------|
| VARIABLE | VAR056 | FINANCIAL SECURITY |
|----------|--------|--------------------|

CODE

1. $\frac{1}{2}$ (1) 0.6 PCT.
I (STRONG NEG

2. ***** (7) 4.3 PCT
I ***** SLIGHT NEG

3. I ***** (18) 11.1 PCT

4. I SIGHT FCS

5. 1 ***** (41) 25.3 PCT
1 STRUNG PCS

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 | 33 | 34 | 35 | 36 | 37 | 38 | 39 | 40 | 41 | 42 | 43 | 44 | 45 | 46 | 47 | 48 | 49 | 50 | 51 | 52 | 53 | 54 | 55 | 56 | 57 | 58 | 59 | 60 | 61 | 62 | 63 | 64 | 65 | 66 | 67 | 68 | 69 | 70 | 71 | 72 | 73 | 74 | 75 | 76 | 77 | 78 | 79 | 80 | 81 | 82 | 83 | 84 | 85 | 86 | 87 | 88 | 89 | 90 | 91 | 92 | 93 | 94 | 95 | 96 | 97 | 98 | 99 | 100 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|-----|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 | 33 | 34 | 35 | 36 | 37 | 38 | 39 | 40 | 41 | 42 | 43 | 44 | 45 | 46 | 47 | 48 | 49 | 50 | 51 | 52 | 53 | 54 | 55 | 56 | 57 | 58 | 59 | 60 | 61 | 62 | 63 | 64 | 65 | 66 | 67 | 68 | 69 | 70 | 71 | 72 | 73 | 74 | 75 | 76 | 77 | 78 | 79 | 80 | 81 | 82 | 83 | 84 | 85 | 86 | 87 | 88 | 89 | 90 | 91 | 92 | 93 | 94 | 95 | 96 | 97 | 98 | 99 | 100 |

100
90
80
70
60
50
40
30
20
10
FREQUENCY

STATISTICS..

| | | | | | |
|------------------------|-------|-----------|--------|----------|-------|
| MEAN | 4.037 | STD ERROR | 0.061 | MEDIAN | 4.079 |
| MODE | 4.000 | STD DEV | 0.771 | VARIANCE | 0.595 |
| KURTOSIS | 1.641 | SKEWNESS | -0.960 | RANGE | 4.000 |
| MINIMUM | 1.000 | MAXIMUM | 5.000 | | |
| VALID OBSERVATIONS - | 162 | | | | |
| MISSING OBSERVATIONS - | 0 | | | | |

VARIABLE VARC57 EXEC CR CU OPPORTUN AS LCDR

CCODE

```
1. ***** ( 7) 4.5 PCT
   *****
   STRCNG NEG
   *****
2. ***** ( 44) 27.2 PCT
   *****
   SLIGHT NEG
   *****
3. ***** ( 43) 26.5 PCT
   *****
   NO EFFECT
   *****
4. ***** ( 47) 29.0 PCT
   *****
   SLIGHT PCS
   *****
5. ***** ( 17) 10.5 PCT
   *****
   STRCNG PCS
   *****
6. ***** ( 4) 2.5 PCT
   *****
   (MISSING)
   *****
FREQUENCY 5 10 15 20 25 30 35 40 45 50
```

STATISTICS..

| | | | | | |
|------------------------|--------|-----------|-------|----------|-------|
| MEAN | 3.146 | STD ERROR | 0.096 | MEDIAN | 3.151 |
| MCCE | 4.000 | STD DEV | 1.082 | VARIANCE | 1.170 |
| KURTOSIS | -0.891 | SKEWNESS | 0.012 | RANGE | 4.000 |
| MINIMUM | 1.000 | MAXIMUM | 5.000 | | |
| VALID OBSERVATIONS - | 158 | | | | |
| MISSING OBSERVATIONS - | 4 | | | | |

| VARIABLE | VARC58 | ADVANCE NOTICE DEPLOY CR CRDERS |
|--|------------|---------------------------------|
| CCCE | | |
| 1. | 1) 0.0 PCT | |
| 2. | 5) 0.1 PCT | |
| 3. | 15.0 PCT | |
| 4. | 16.0 PCT | |
| 5. | 16.0 PCT | |
| (MISSING) | 0.0 PCT | |
| FREQUENCY 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 | | |
| STATISTICS.. | | |
| MEAN | 2.852 | STD ERROR 0.057 |
| MCCE | 4.000 | STD DEV 0.728 |
| KURTOSIS | 1.298 | SKEWNESS -0.695 |
| MINIMUM | 1.000 | MAXIMUM 5.000 |
| VALID OBSERVATIONS = | 161 | |
| MISSING OBSERVATIONS = | 1 | |

| VARIABLE | VAR060 | TENURE | LCDR'S | REMOVED |
|------------------------|------------|-----------|----------|----------|
| CODE | | | | |
| 1. | STRONG NEG | (21) | 13.0 PCT | |
| 2. | SLIGHT NEG | (40) | 24.7 PCT | |
| 3. | NC EFFECT | (51) | 31.5 PCT | |
| 4. | SLIGHT PCS | (34) | 21.0 PCT | |
| 5. | STRONG PCS | (9) | 5.6 PCT | |
| 6. | (MISSING) | (7) | 4.3 PCT | |
| FREQUENCY | | | | |
| STATISTICS.. | | | | |
| MEAN | 2.806 | STD ERROR | 0.089 | MEDIAN |
| MODE | 3.000 | STD DEV | 1.105 | VARIANCE |
| KURTOSIS | -0.733 | SKEWNESS | 0.040 | RANGE |
| MINIMUM | 1.000 | MAXIMUM | 5.000 | |
| VALID OBSERVATIONS - | | | | |
| MISSING OBSERVATIONS - | | | | |

VARIABLE VARG61 BEER,WINE CN SHIPS

CCODE

```
1. ***** ( 19) 11.7 PCT
   STRONG NEG
2. ***** ( 29) 17.5 PCT
   SLIGHT NEG
3. ***** ( 63) 38.9 PCT
   NO EFFECT
4. ***** ( 41) 25.3 PCT
   SLIGHT POS
5. ***** ( 10) 6.2 PCT
   STRONG POS
0. ***** ( 0) 0.0 PCT
(MISSING)
```

STATISTICS..

| | | | | | |
|------------------------|--------|-----------|--------|----------|-------|
| MEAN | 2.969 | STD ERROR | 0.084 | MEDIAN | 3.024 |
| MODE | 3.000 | STD DEV | 1.074 | VARIANCE | 1.154 |
| KURTOSIS | -0.528 | SKEWNESS | -0.198 | RANGE | 4.000 |
| MINIMUM | 1.000 | MAXIMUM | 5.000 | | |
| VALID OBSERVATIONS = | 162 | | | | |
| MISSING OBSERVATIONS = | 0 | | | | |

VARIABLE VAR023 ACCEL FROM CEILING LESS 5 PERCENT

CCCE

1. ***** (15) 9.3 PCT
STRONG NEG

2. ***** (53) 32.7 PCT
SLIGHT NEG

3. ***** (71) 43.8 PCT
NO EFFECT

4. ***** (17) 10.5 PCT
SLIGHT POS

5. ***** (2) 1.2 PCT
STRONG POS

0. ***** (4) 2.5 PCT
(MISSING)

10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100
FREQUENCY

STATISTICS..

| | | | | | |
|------------------------|--------|-----------|-------|----------|-------|
| MEAN | 2.608 | STD ERROR | 0.068 | MEDIAN | 2.655 |
| MCCE | 3.000 | STD DEV | 0.851 | VARIANCE | 0.724 |
| KURTOSIS | -0.083 | SKEWNESS | 0.035 | RANGE | 4.000 |
| MINIMUM | 1.000 | MAXIMUM | 5.000 | | |
| VALID OBSERVATIONS = | 158 | | | | |
| MISSING OBSERVATIONS = | 4 | | | | |

VARIABLE VAR064 CC RATED BY SUBORDINATES

CODE

```

1. ***** ( 53) 20.4 PCT
   STRONG NEG

2. ***** ( 29) 17.5 PCT
   SLIGHT NEG

3. ***** ( 61) 37.7 PCT
   NO EFFECT

4. ***** ( 32) 19.8 PCT
   SLIGHT POS

5. ***** ( 6) 3.7 PCT
   STRONG POS

0. ***** ( 1) 0.6 PCT
(MISSING)

FREQUENCY 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100

```

STATISTICS..

| | | | | | |
|------------------------|--------|-----------|--------|----------|-------|
| MEAN | 2.683 | STD ERROR | 0.088 | MEDIAN | 2.803 |
| MODE | 3.000 | STD DEV | 1.120 | VARIANCE | 1.255 |
| KURTOSIS | -0.841 | SKEWNESS | -0.076 | RANGE | 4.000 |
| MINIMUM | 1.000 | MAXIMUM | 5.000 | | |
| VALID OBSERVATIONS = | 161 | | | | |
| MISSING OBSERVATIONS = | 1 | | | | |

| VARIABLE | VAR066 | THREE YEAR | ROTATION |
|------------------------|------------|------------|----------|
| CODE | 1 | | |
| 2. | SLIGHT NEG | (30) | 18.5 PCT |
| 3. | NC EFFECT | (48) | 29.6 PCT |
| 4. | SLIGHT PCS | (70) | 43.2 PCT |
| 5. | STRENG PCS | (11) | 6.6 PCT |
| (MISSING) | (3) | 1.9 PCT | |
| FREQUENCY | 10 | 20 | 30 |
| STATISTICS.. | 3.390 | STD ERROR | 0.069 |
| MEAN | 4.000 | STD DEV | 0.871 |
| KURTOSIS | -0.822 | SKEWNESS | -0.208 |
| MINIMUM | 2.000 | MAXIMUM | 5.000 |
| VALID OBSERVATIONS - | 159 | | |
| MISSING OBSERVATIONS - | 3 | | |

| VARIABLE | VARC67 | OPPORTUN SELECT TO LCOR |
|-----------|--------|--------------------------------|
| CODE | | |
| 1. | 1 | STRONG NEG (12) 7.4 PCT |
| 2. | 1 | SLIGHT NEG (71) 43.8 PCT |
| 3. | 1 | AC EFFECT (33) 20.4 PCT |
| 4. | 1 | SLIGHT POS (36) 22.2 PCT |
| 5. | 1 | STRONG POS (9) 5.6 PCT |
| (MISSING) | 1 | 0.6 PCT |
| | | FREQUENCY |
| | | 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 |

| STATISTICS.. | | | |
|------------------------|--------|-----------|-------|
| MEAN | 2.745 | STD ERROR | 0.084 |
| MODE | 2.000 | STD DEV | 1.062 |
| KURTOSIS | -0.752 | SKEWNESS | 0.427 |
| MINIMUM | 1.000 | MAXIMUM | 5.000 |
| VALID OBSERVATIONS = | 161 | | |
| MISSING OBSERVATIONS = | 1 | | |

| VARIABLE | VARIABLES | FOUR YEARS ONE CONUS AREA |
|----------|-----------|---------------------------|
|----------|-----------|---------------------------|

CCC

[illegible]

STATISTICS..

| | | | | | |
|------------------------|-------|-----------|--------|----------|-------|
| MEAN | 3.84C | STD ERROR | 0.071 | MEDIAN | 3.929 |
| MCDE | 4.000 | STD DEV | C.898 | VARIANCE | 0.806 |
| KURTOSIS | C.273 | SKEWNESS | -0.661 | RANGE | 4.000 |
| MINIMUM | 1.000 | MAXIMUM | 5.000 | | |
| VALID OBSERVATIONS - | 102 | | | | |
| MISSING OBSERVATIONS - | 0 | | | | |

| VARIABLE | VAR071 | NAVY | QUARTERS | AVAILABILITY |
|------------------------|--------|--------|----------|--------------|
| CODE | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 2. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 3. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 4. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 5. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| (MISSING) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| FREQUENCY | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| STATISTICS.. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| MEAN | 3.453 | 3.453 | 3.453 | 3.453 |
| MODE | 3.000 | 3.000 | 3.000 | 3.000 |
| KURTOSIS | -0.608 | -0.608 | -0.608 | -0.608 |
| MINIMUM | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| VALID OBSERVATIONS - | 161 | 161 | 161 | 161 |
| MISSING OBSERVATIONS - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

| VARIABLE | VAROZ | LINEAL LIST ADJUSTMENT | |
|------------------------|-------|---------------------------|--------|
| CODE | | | |
| 1. | 1 | STRONG NEG (5) 3.1 PCT | |
| 2. | 1 | SLIGHT NEG (12) 7.4 PCT | |
| 3. | 1 | NO EFFECT (44) 27.2 PCT | |
| 4. | 1 | SLIGHT POS (72) 44.4 PCT | |
| 5. | 1 | STRONG POS (29) 17.5 PCT | |
| C: | 1 | (0) 0.0 PCT | |
| (MISSING) | 1 | | |
| | 10 | | |
| | 20 | | |
| | 30 | | |
| | 40 | | |
| | 50 | | |
| | 60 | | |
| | 70 | | |
| | 80 | | |
| | 90 | | |
| | 100 | | |
| | | | |
| STATISTICS.. | | | |
| MEAN | 3.667 | STD ERROR | 6.075 |
| MODE | 4.000 | STD DEV | 0.959 |
| KURTOSIS | 0.304 | SKEWNESS | -0.650 |
| MINIMUM | 1.000 | MAXIMUM | 5.000 |
| VALID OBSERVATIONS = | 162 | | |
| MISSING OBSERVATIONS = | 0 | | |

| VARIABLE | VARC72 | NEW RETIREMENT PROPOSALS |
|----------|--------|--------------------------|
|----------|--------|--------------------------|

CCC

| | | | |
|-----------|------------|-------|----------|
| 1. | STRONG NEG | (49) | 30.2 PCT |
| 2. | SLIGHT NEG | (52) | 32.1 PCT |
| 3. | NO EFFECT | (29) | 17.9 PCT |
| 4. | SLIGHT POS | (18) | 11.1 PCT |
| 5. | STRONG POS | (10) | 6.2 PCT |
| (MISSING) | | | |
| | FREQUENCY | 20 | 30 |
| | IC | 40 | 50 |
| | | 60 | 70 |

APPENDIX D

COMMENTS ON QUESTION 53: THE NEW RETIREMENT PROPOSAL'S EFFECT ON RETENTION

Whatever changes are made should not apply to persons now on active duty.

New plan grossly unfair!

As long as only moderate, a very subjective word, changes are made in benefits it will have no personal affect on my career plans. Suggest as an alternative, a funded retirement plan similar to type industries use, thereby requiring less annual government input and providing more benefits.

Pay retirement benefits to "retired" people with minimum of 20 years--the short timer already gets enough.

Oppose retroactive clause of new Social Security law. Fear retirement will not be adequate for necessary living expenditures; forcing a retiree to seek a new career after serving 20 plus years in military service.

New retirement proposal will lower retention significantly.

Many people die before they get a chance to reap any of the benefits of this program.

- (1) Proposal would result in serious upheaval from within.
- (2) The only purpose is to save government money at the expense of those who supported the country during rougher times.
- (3) There would be no room for advancement for the tremendous 0-6 community that would form. To make it work, the flag ranks would have to open wide. If not, a large pool of deadwood in the 0-6 group could develop, killing time to get to the 25-30 year mark.
- (4) The largest single inducement to a military career is retirement benefits with pay at 20 years. Take that away and recruiting will become a joke.

Grossly unfair to change system for those whose decision to stay in was made at least in part because of the present system.

Would consider resigning if retirement plan approved.

New plan forces a lot of commanders and captains to stay in the Navy (30 years) who would otherwise get out and create openings for promotions.

Recognize the need for a revised retirement. In favor of the payment to those getting out with 10-20 years service. Oppose the obvious "payoff" to the senior officers in order to gain their support; the automatic deduction from retirement pay equivalent to a percentage of social security benefits at age 65 whether or not social security is chosen to be collected at that time. DOD presentation was misleading stating that no one will get less than he would under the old program which is untrue.

If proposal becomes law, would leave the service for the first decent civilian job offered. Without retirement benefit there is no incentive for anyone with an education to remain. Navy will become infested with losers.

One of the career promotion "gimmicks" is to inform an officer that if he were receiving the amount of pay equivalent to what his retirement is worth, he would be receiving almost twice what he presently gets. But, what they fail to say is that this money doesn't exist, except in future taxes. Suggest a retirement plan similar to a large corporation's policy with one exception: rather than transferring retirement benefits (if one should change jobs) military should be entitled to amount of benefits in trust on leaving active duty regardless of number of years in service.

New retirement plan appears good from the aspect of encouraging some of the "rotten apples" to get out earlier than 20.

Integration of social security benefits and retirement pay portend a contributory retirement system. Against retro-active implementation of the new retirement proposal because it contradicts our legal traditions and constitutional provisions barring ex post facto laws.

New plan will result in fewer personnel remaining for 20 (10 will be maximim).

Object to new plan affecting those already in service. A more fair deal would be to apply new plans to those entering service after or when its enactment occurs. This proposal would result in a boost in morale of active duty officers.

If retirement pay is raised for 25-30 year periods, then all officers should be allowed to remain in service for that length of time if their performance is good regardless

of rank. The present proposal discriminates against commander and below since only captains and admirals can remain for 30.

Will result in loss of officers due to "void promises" and Congress's own inconsistency.

Appears illegal (ex post facto).

Keep present retirement plan.

Retirement reductions at the expense of the retiree are unfair.

Plan will definitely not be an incentive to an all-volunteer force, particularly to career-oriented persons.

You can't sell all the tickets to the theater, and after everyone is seated, change the movie!

APPENDIX E

RESPONSES OF OFFICERS TO THE QUESTION: "IF THERE WERE ONE CHANGE THAT YOU COULD MAKE IN THE SURFACE NAVY THAT WOULD CAUSE YOU TO INCREASE THE LENGTH OF TIME THAT YOU INTEND TO REMAIN IN THE NAVY, WHAT WOULD THAT CHANGE BE?"

NAVY STANDARDS

Professionalize--allow for career within a specialty (Operations, WEPS, NAV., etc); do not force generalization; do not force requirements and patterns. (6 Responses)

Get rid of non-performing officers and enlisted from admirals down. (3 Responses)

A higher degree of professional expertise in officers and rate expertise in enlisted. (2 Responses)

Recruit only quality officers and enlisted. (2 Responses)

Abolish the "career trail" and ticket-punching. (2 Responses)

Get recruiters to sign up only good men and throw out the quota system.

In a zero draft environment, the Navy should have to accept only those with a high degree of motivation for a Naval career.

Have a squadron review board to recommend whether an officer or enlisted man is fit to be retained and replace enlisted performance records.

Remove tenure for all below admiral.

Improve leadership standards; emphasize leadership by example.

Improve petty officer's authority.

Remove permissiveness.

Increase the degree of responsibility to the highest degree possible for enlisted and officers alike.

Promote teamwork not competitiveness between ships. Present evaluation system breaks down group efforts.

Have all inspections unannounced.

More selectivity as to who goes Surface; we don't want leftover Air/Subs.

Eliminate "ticket-punchers" in CO/XO/department head ranks.

Have a realistic workload per surface unit. Remove "can do masochism" of a naval service of undermanned ships of poor material condition.

SHIPS AND EQUIPMENT

Build more and better ships that the Surface Navy needs.
(15 Responses)

Improve present reliability, maintainability of ships and equipment. (7 Responses)

Make every system modern on new ships, not just weapons systems, for example.

Set up better maintenance programs.

Make INSURV inspections mean something and provide money to make necessary repairs.

Build more destroyers.

Better material support for the ships, not just in dollars, but mostly in staffs that really understand the problems.

Stop the wholesale purge of equipment before we cut out our Navy.

TOURS AND DEPLOYMENT

Remain in an area for as long as one desires. (3 Responses)

Reduce personnel turnover on ships. (3 Responses)

More sea tours in a career. (3 Responses)

Establish strict period between overhauls and have few personnel changes in between. (2 Responses)

Better choice of duty station. (2 Responses)

More stability in deployment schedules.

Remain in same home port for five or six years.

A more thorough knowledge of billets available with greater ability to select future billets.

Opportunity to fill more sea billets in first 3-5 years; for example, switch departments on same ship after 18 months.

Minimum sea tour for anyone should be two years with no ship change.

Move to a more responsible job as soon as present one is learned.

Allow at least one J.O. tour (2 years) outside his ship type or even outside the surface community.

Establish definite tour lengths at sea and ashore.

Establish Blue and Gold crews.

Better opportunity for IGEPs to use their education on shore facilities as ensigns and lieutenants junior grade.

The opportunity to choose tours at sea for someone who likes going to sea, but doesn't necessarily want to command.

COMMAND AT SEA

Increase the opportunity for command at sea and XO at all levels. (5 Responses)

Better qualified C.O.'s. Looking good on paper and knowing the "right people" is not enough. Leadership most important. (4 Responses)

Better qualified C.O.'s. Identify people early who enjoy sea duty - not just ticket-punchers - limit CO/XO to that group.

Commanding officer tours are too short. No long-term solutions reached in one year.

C.O.'s pressured by too many administrative problems.

Increase opportunity for lieutenant command.

Set up board to evaluate C.O. after one year to determine suitability.

Increase authority of C.O.'s to "hire/fire enlisted and officers.

Use only "operators" as C.O.'s, not bureaucratic desk jockeys.

Enhance command opportunity through blue/gold concept as an SSBN.

PROMOTION AND FITNESS REPORTS

The uncertainty and everchanging standards of selection boards. (2 Responses)

Promotion based on performance in lieu of present heavy weight on length of service. (2 Responses)

Remove all ceilings on accelerated promotions. (2 Responses)

Make the fitness report more objective; standardize comprehensive form with less emphasis on CO ability to write. (2 Responses)

Have only early promotion of one year coupled with commander /captain "up or out" legislation to stabilize promotion opportunity.

Good projection of promotion opportunity and command opportunity.

Consistency in the rank structure.

Increasing promotion opportunity by forcing out deadwood lieutenant commanders and above.

Have "up or out" legislation and, if passed over once, -out!

Include in fitness report a section on the officers' effect on retention.

More flexibility in career patterns to lieutenant commander level. Performance should outweigh "box checking".

More emphasis on overall performance of an officer and less on relative rating with his peers which includes a personality judgment factor.

Square away fitness report system. It provides no basis for relative comparison of abilities or capabilities of officers of comparable rank.

COMPENSATION

More total pay and allowances appropriate to tasks and personal inconvenience involved. (5 Responses)

BAQ for bachelors afloat. (3 Responses)

Sea pay. (2 Responses)

Increase BAQ. (2 Responses)

A more equitable pay system compared with aviation and submarines.

TRAINING

More training for junior officers prior to reporting on board. (3 Responses)

More training in warfare specialty, in: Ops, Eng., Weps, etc.

Make training more realistic, not just simulations. Have surprise exercises.

Have prior training comparable to sub/flight training for all destroyer personnel.

Better utilization of trained personnel to get a fair shake on hardware instead of paying "through the nose" for a design that doesn't work.

Set up more training ashore and fewer local ops which interfere with ship maintenance.

More emphasis on professional training for officers and enlisted.

More realistic and timely training schedules at sea.

Train all personnel before reporting aboard ships and man the ships to full allowance.

IMAGE

Increase quality of surface community to compare favorably with sub/air with stricter qualifications for surface designator coupled with increased concern for surface material condition.

Become the number one Navy again!

Take the Surface Navy out of the stepchild category.

Quit trying to compare the Surface Navy with civilians, aviators and submariners.

Make a strong effort to acquaint the general public with the Navy. I feel that the weakest link in the Navy today

is the failure to retain enlisted men and women who get out due to social or peer group pressure.

Receiving as great amount of respect as and equal promotion competition with submariners and aviators.

Recognition by insignia and pay that the Surface Navy is in fact an important part of the Navy and of United States policy.

Having to earn through diligent effort a surface warfare designator with accompanying insignia and specialization in weapons, engineering or operations.

The officer corps has become divided from the society it "protects".

Treat the Surface Navy Personnel as well as supply, aviation, etc. Every group looks out for their own except the Surface Navy.

ADMINISTRATIVE

Decrease paper work; let action and performance speak.
(4 Responses)

Do away with bureaucratic methods.

Further decrease collateral duties.

Restructure staffs to do paper work that they require of shipboard personnel.

Shift administrative functions ashore especially for small ships.

Improve flow of communication and understanding between major staffs and ships.

Establish realistic working hours removing unnecessary meeting and bull sessions.

HOME AND FAMILY

Minimize family separation. (4 Responses)

Improve dependent medical care, commissaries and navy housing or do away with them.

Change maximum separation to four-month deployment for 12-month period.

Increase overseas homeporting accompanied by dependents.

More family orientation by the Surface Navy.

SUPERIORS AND BUPERS

Would like to get candid answers from detailers. (2 Responses)

More consistency among senior officers directives; more accurate and to-the-point goal definition.

To get straight honest answers from superiors, especially captain and above.

Increased personal consideration in issuance of BUPERS orders.

MISCELLANEOUS

Reverse the decision to move the fleet out of Newport.

Better living conditions for bachelors on board ship.

APPENDIX F

RESPONSES OF OFFICERS TO THE QUESTION, "WHAT IS THE MOST ATTRACTIVE ASPECT OF THE SURFACE NAVY?"

RESPONSIBILITY AND CHALLENGE

Early assumption of responsibility and leadership.
(10 Responses)

Professional challenge and responsibility of a difficult
job. (9 Responses)

Opportunity for increasing responsibility. (5 Responses)

Challenge of various positions available aboard ship.
(5 Responses)

Managerial responsibility for modern weapons and data
systems. (3 Responses)

Responsibility and feeling of accomplishment. (2 Responses)

Being a "jack-of-all-trades" as a surface officer.

The satisfaction of knowing you are an expert at your
highly complex job.

Afloat tours in responsible positions on good ships.

Challenge of being an effective leader in a more humanistic
Navy.

Simply doing a job I like.

GOING TO SEA AND OPERATIONS

Going to sea as part of the Surface Navy. (11 Responses)

Meaningful at sea operations. (2 Responses)

No monotony at sea due to varied surface operations.
(2 Responses)

Going to sea is a demanding environment that requires real
professionalism.

The ocean at 20 knots at 0200.

Hard work followed by hard play.

Fresh air and salt spray on the fo'c's'le.

The sea and ships.

The thrill of being on the open sea.

The opportunity to be at sea and visit many ports.

Mobility and versatility.

Sea duty and associated responsibilities.

Deployment with emphasis on multi-navy interaction.

Life on destroyers in formation.

Simplicity of life at sea.

Going to sea and breathing clean air.

As much as I dislike being away from my family, there's something about ships and the sea.

The introduction to the old "Victory at Sea" television show with music and waves crashing.

COMMAND AT SEA

Looking forward to some day having command at sea.
(20 Responses)

Command opportunity for young officers, lieutenant and above. (2 Responses)

Command in the Surface Navy, not above or below it.

Command at sea awarded on performance at sea in a variety of billets.

Command and interactive leadership opportunities.

Knowing that to receive command at sea is a considerable accomplishment.

Responsibility, challenges, and opportunity for command at sea of a ship.

SHIPS AND PEOPLE

Maneuvering ships at sea. (8 Responses)

Working with people on ships. (3 Responses)

Opportunity to manage a group of men into an effective fighting unit.

Working with other professionals on a demanding job.

Destroyers at sea.

The esprit de corps of a well run ship from the CO down.

The few good skippers I worked for and the many good men who worked for me.

Modern well-built ships (the few there are).

Variety, capabilities, and assignments of destroyers at sea.

TRAVEL

The opportunity to travel and visit new places.
(15 Responses)

Travel and adventure.

The "romance" of sailing a United States Man-of-War into a foreign port and showing the flag.

Seeing the world as a member of a small congenial group on a destroyer.

ASSIGNMENTS

The opportunity for a wide range of duty stations and assignments during your career. (4 Responses)

The change of jobs after about a two-year period.
(2 Responses)

Variety of experience in a changing environment.

Knowing that after spending a certain amount of time aboard ship, you can get shore duty.

MISCELLANEOUS

The opportunity for career development and continuous professional growth. (2 Responses)

Subspecialty aspect of OTMS.

Educational opportunities.

The challenge to bring the Surface Navy back to the position it once held.

No single attraction, it's just the Navy that appeals to me.

Job security.

Job enjoyment and retirement benefits.

You don't have to fly or serve in submarines.

More personal freedom in Surface Navy than other groups.

FACTOR ANALYSIS

[illegible]

| | VAR041 | VAR042 | VAR043 | VAR044 | VAR045 | VAR046 | VAR047 | VAR048 | VAR049 | VAR050 |
|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| VAR0021 | 0.05477 | 0.09516 | 0.05157 | 0.01338 | 0.07325 | 0.05874 | 0.12039 | 0.05833 | 0.05925 | 0.05174 |
| VAR0022 | 0.10791 | 0.18676 | 0.02177 | 0.02645 | 0.15227 | 0.07472 | 0.10397 | 0.03333 | 0.05995 | 0.08288 |
| VAR0023 | 0.08605 | 0.04504 | 0.05762 | 0.06567 | 0.22944 | 0.14917 | 0.10397 | 0.03333 | 0.04721 | 0.08288 |
| VAR0024 | 0.14569 | 0.11038 | 0.05762 | 0.05451 | 0.24355 | 0.05117 | 0.09886 | 0.03501 | 0.04931 | 0.09132 |
| VAR0025 | 0.04159 | 0.02571 | 0.00644 | 0.01745 | 0.06237 | 0.06435 | 0.27188 | 0.03182 | 0.00916 | 0.25835 |
| VAR0026 | 0.04159 | 0.00756 | 0.01254 | 0.09447 | 0.02159 | 0.01106 | 0.04058 | 0.01333 | 0.00916 | 0.25835 |
| VAR0027 | 0.04159 | 0.00366 | 0.01254 | 0.05347 | 0.02159 | 0.09293 | 0.04058 | 0.01333 | 0.00916 | 0.25835 |
| VAR0028 | 0.04159 | 0.01083 | 0.01254 | 0.05347 | 0.02159 | 0.09293 | 0.04058 | 0.01333 | 0.00916 | 0.25835 |
| VAR0029 | 0.04159 | 0.01083 | 0.01254 | 0.05347 | 0.02159 | 0.09293 | 0.04058 | 0.01333 | 0.00916 | 0.25835 |
| VAR0030 | 0.04159 | 0.01083 | 0.01254 | 0.05347 | 0.02159 | 0.09293 | 0.04058 | 0.01333 | 0.00916 | 0.25835 |
| VAR0031 | 0.04159 | 0.01083 | 0.01254 | 0.05347 | 0.02159 | 0.09293 | 0.04058 | 0.01333 | 0.00916 | 0.25835 |
| VAR0032 | 0.04159 | 0.01083 | 0.01254 | 0.05347 | 0.02159 | 0.09293 | 0.04058 | 0.01333 | 0.00916 | 0.25835 |
| VAR0033 | 0.04159 | 0.01083 | 0.01254 | 0.05347 | 0.02159 | 0.09293 | 0.04058 | 0.01333 | 0.00916 | 0.25835 |
| VAR0034 | 0.04159 | 0.01083 | 0.01254 | 0.05347 | 0.02159 | 0.09293 | 0.04058 | 0.01333 | 0.00916 | 0.25835 |
| VAR0035 | 0.04159 | 0.01083 | 0.01254 | 0.05347 | 0.02159 | 0.09293 | 0.04058 | 0.01333 | 0.00916 | 0.25835 |
| VAR0036 | 0.04159 | 0.01083 | 0.01254 | 0.05347 | 0.02159 | 0.09293 | 0.04058 | 0.01333 | 0.00916 | 0.25835 |
| VAR0037 | 0.04159 | 0.01083 | 0.01254 | 0.05347 | 0.02159 | 0.09293 | 0.04058 | 0.01333 | 0.00916 | 0.25835 |
| VAR0038 | 0.04159 | 0.01083 | 0.01254 | 0.05347 | 0.02159 | 0.09293 | 0.04058 | 0.01333 | 0.00916 | 0.25835 |
| VAR0039 | 0.04159 | 0.01083 | 0.01254 | 0.05347 | 0.02159 | 0.09293 | 0.04058 | 0.01333 | 0.00916 | 0.25835 |
| VAR0040 | 0.04159 | 0.01083 | 0.01254 | 0.05347 | 0.02159 | 0.09293 | 0.04058 | 0.01333 | 0.00916 | 0.25835 |
| VAR0041 | 0.04159 | 0.01083 | 0.01254 | 0.05347 | 0.02159 | 0.09293 | 0.04058 | 0.01333 | 0.00916 | 0.25835 |
| VAR0042 | 0.04159 | 0.01083 | 0.01254 | 0.05347 | 0.02159 | 0.09293 | 0.04058 | 0.01333 | 0.00916 | 0.25835 |
| VAR0043 | 0.04159 | 0.01083 | 0.01254 | 0.05347 | 0.02159 | 0.09293 | 0.04058 | 0.01333 | 0.00916 | 0.25835 |
| VAR0044 | 0.04159 | 0.01083 | 0.01254 | 0.05347 | 0.02159 | 0.09293 | 0.04058 | 0.01333 | 0.00916 | 0.25835 |
| VAR0045 | 0.04159 | 0.01083 | 0.01254 | 0.05347 | 0.02159 | 0.09293 | 0.04058 | 0.01333 | 0.00916 | 0.25835 |
| VAR0046 | 0.04159 | 0.01083 | 0.01254 | 0.05347 | 0.02159 | 0.09293 | 0.04058 | 0.01333 | 0.00916 | 0.25835 |
| VAR0047 | 0.04159 | 0.01083 | 0.01254 | 0.05347 | 0.02159 | 0.09293 | 0.04058 | 0.01333 | 0.00916 | 0.25835 |
| VAR0048 | 0.04159 | 0.01083 | 0.01254 | 0.05347 | 0.02159 | 0.09293 | 0.04058 | 0.01333 | 0.00916 | 0.25835 |
| VAR0049 | 0.04159 | 0.01083 | 0.01254 | 0.05347 | 0.02159 | 0.09293 | 0.04058 | 0.01333 | 0.00916 | 0.25835 |
| VAR0050 | 0.04159 | 0.01083 | 0.01254 | 0.05347 | 0.02159 | 0.09293 | 0.04058 | 0.01333 | 0.00916 | 0.25835 |

| | VAR071 | VAR072 | VAR073 |
|--------|----------|----------|----------|
| VAR021 | 0.C2278 | 0.00749 | 0.04358 |
| VAR022 | 0.00445 | 0.18152 | -0.10139 |
| VAR023 | -0.01005 | -0.11590 | 0.22385 |
| VAR024 | -0.17750 | -0.02330 | 0.11161 |
| VAR025 | -0.C6820 | -0.11235 | -0.02610 |
| VAR026 | C.C9059 | 0.05370 | 0.08234 |
| VAR027 | 0.CC194 | 0.C4540 | 0.04001 |
| VAR028 | -0.08483 | -0.07737 | -0.08844 |
| VAR029 | -0.C1894 | 0.05312 | 0.04713 |
| VAR030 | C.04631 | 0.17629 | 0.08050 |
| VAR031 | 0.04858 | 0.15562 | -0.03750 |
| VAR032 | -0.C2606 | C.07267 | -0.12336 |
| VAR033 | 0.15163 | -0.16061 | 0.02825 |
| VAR034 | -0.C2625 | 0.27783 | 0.02118 |
| VAR035 | 0.C3994 | -0.06843 | 0.05119 |
| VAR036 | -0.08207 | -0.13884 | 0.15206 |
| VAR037 | 0.C5918 | 0.17750 | -0.00741 |
| VAR038 | -0.C4981 | 0.08560 | -0.01535 |
| VAR039 | -0.05602 | -0.13996 | 0.09013 |
| VAR040 | C.C1694 | -0.11500 | -0.13777 |
| VAR041 | 0.C1320 | 0.00437 | 0.12500 |
| VAR042 | -0.00847 | -0.07683 | 0.07794 |
| VAR043 | 0.10392 | C.01686 | -0.10107 |
| VAR044 | 0.03575 | 0.02440 | 0.11066 |
| VAR045 | -0.C9395 | 0.21527 | 0.00981 |
| VAR046 | -0.C9398 | C.39756 | 0.11569 |
| VAR047 | 0.08971 | -0.01700 | -0.12301 |
| VAR048 | 0.15263 | 0.01873 | 0.03360 |
| VAR049 | 0.04601 | 0.07618 | 0.08495 |
| VAR050 | 0.C6889 | 0.01926 | 0.00759 |
| VAR051 | C.CC896 | -0.15502 | 0.03122 |
| VAR052 | -0.14089 | -0.11800 | 0.16714 |
| VAR053 | 0.C4307 | 0.01681 | 0.00942 |
| VAR054 | -0.C4101 | -0.10742 | 0.10956 |
| VAR055 | 0.C2140 | -0.15954 | 0.18085 |
| VAR056 | 0.C8754 | -0.02520 | -0.02242 |
| VAR057 | 0.15862 | 0.09741 | 0.01097 |
| VAR058 | 0.18625 | 0.14267 | -0.08576 |
| VAR059 | 0.18978 | 0.03746 | 0.00846 |
| VAR060 | 0.02685 | 0.07414 | 0.12232 |
| VAR061 | 0.C2833 | C.18092 | 0.00145 |
| VAR062 | 0.C4151 | 0.09530 | -0.01376 |
| VAR063 | -0.08762 | -0.34480 | -0.00194 |
| VAR064 | -0.C4628 | 0.11399 | 0.01693 |
| VAR065 | 0.06391 | 0.15057 | 0.08395 |
| VAR066 | 0.19550 | 0.02431 | -0.04628 |
| VAR067 | 0.22664 | -0.08791 | 0.20960 |
| VAR068 | 0.07067 | 0.04290 | -0.03147 |
| VAR069 | 0.C8020 | 0.21883 | 0.03088 |
| VAR070 | -0.C2121 | 0.03567 | 0.11128 |
| VAR071 | 1.00000 | 0.09270 | 0.09903 |
| VAR072 | 0.C9270 | 1.00000 | 0.03648 |
| VAR073 | 0.C9903 | 0.03648 | 1.00000 |

| VARIABLE | EST COMMUNALITY | FACTOR | EIGENVALUE | PCT GF VAR | CUM PCT |
|----------|-----------------|--------|------------|------------|---------|
| VAR021 | 0.44680 | 1 | 3.86764 | 7.3 | 7.3 |
| VAR022 | 0.45454 | 2 | 3.47377 | 6.6 | 13.9 |
| VAR023 | 0.44052 | 3 | 2.30013 | 5.3 | 19.1 |
| VAR024 | 0.45358 | 4 | 2.51251 | 4.4 | 23.5 |
| VAR025 | 0.45768 | 5 | 2.28032 | 4.3 | 27.8 |
| VAR026 | 0.51791 | 6 | 2.10544 | 4.0 | 31.8 |
| VAR027 | 0.41640 | 7 | 1.90716 | 3.6 | 35.4 |
| VAR028 | 0.62558 | 8 | 1.80373 | 3.3 | 38.7 |
| VAR029 | 0.43741 | 9 | 1.73395 | 3.3 | 42.0 |
| VAR030 | 0.45033 | 10 | 1.59153 | 3.0 | 45.4 |
| VAR031 | 0.54408 | 11 | 1.57384 | 3.0 | 48.4 |
| VAR032 | 0.52775 | 12 | 1.45513 | 2.8 | 51.2 |
| VAR033 | 0.40153 | 13 | 1.41455 | 2.7 | 53.8 |
| VAR034 | 0.31613 | 14 | 1.25707 | 2.4 | 56.2 |
| VAR035 | 0.46058 | 15 | 1.22262 | 2.3 | 58.5 |
| VAR036 | 0.52756 | 16 | 1.22262 | 2.3 | 60.8 |
| VAR037 | 0.40610 | 17 | 1.09040 | 2.0 | 62.9 |
| VAR038 | 0.52410 | 18 | 1.05985 | 2.0 | 64.9 |
| VAR039 | 0.40734 | 19 | 1.04320 | 2.0 | 66.9 |
| VAR040 | 0.40734 | 20 | 1.04320 | 2.0 | 68.9 |
| VAR041 | 0.47101 | 21 | 0.94497 | 1.9 | 70.5 |
| VAR042 | 0.41064 | 22 | 0.91252 | 1.7 | 72.3 |
| VAR043 | 0.44200 | 23 | 0.86909 | 1.6 | 73.9 |
| VAR044 | 0.44333 | 24 | 0.85171 | 1.5 | 75.5 |
| VAR045 | 0.50870 | 25 | 0.81831 | 1.5 | 77.1 |
| VAR046 | 0.51625 | 26 | 0.78774 | 1.5 | 78.5 |
| VAR047 | 0.51625 | 27 | 0.73404 | 1.4 | 79.9 |
| VAR048 | 0.50714 | 28 | 0.72131 | 1.4 | 81.3 |
| VAR049 | 0.36502 | 29 | 0.69335 | 1.3 | 82.6 |
| VAR050 | 0.44433 | 30 | 0.65875 | 1.2 | 83.8 |
| VAR051 | 0.46657 | 31 | 0.62534 | 1.1 | 85.0 |
| VAR052 | 0.46657 | 32 | 0.62534 | 1.1 | 86.2 |
| VAR053 | 0.50540 | 33 | 0.57885 | 1.1 | 87.3 |
| VAR054 | 0.52066 | 34 | 0.55191 | 1.0 | 88.3 |
| VAR055 | 0.55666 | 35 | 0.49854 | 0.9 | 89.2 |
| VAR056 | 0.45251 | 36 | 0.49081 | 0.9 | 90.2 |
| VAR057 | 0.43455 | 37 | 0.44681 | 0.8 | 91.0 |
| VAR058 | 0.39155 | 38 | 0.44086 | 0.8 | 91.8 |
| VAR059 | 0.42603 | 39 | 0.42509 | 0.8 | 92.6 |
| VAR060 | 0.43504 | 40 | 0.40316 | 0.8 | 93.4 |
| VAR061 | 0.39239 | 41 | 0.37025 | 0.7 | 94.1 |
| VAR062 | 0.34370 | 42 | 0.36767 | 0.7 | 94.8 |
| VAR063 | 0.49354 | 43 | 0.35814 | 0.7 | 95.5 |
| VAR064 | 0.40695 | 44 | 0.31568 | 0.6 | 96.1 |
| VAR065 | 0.47740 | 45 | 0.26211 | 0.5 | 96.6 |
| VAR066 | 0.35064 | 46 | 0.26028 | 0.5 | 97.1 |
| VAR067 | 0.45634 | 47 | 0.26633 | 0.5 | 97.6 |
| VAR068 | 0.33655 | 48 | 0.23641 | 0.4 | 98.1 |
| VAR069 | 0.44079 | 49 | 0.22287 | 0.4 | 98.5 |
| VAR070 | 0.42440 | 50 | 0.20922 | 0.4 | 98.9 |
| VAR071 | 0.38562 | 51 | 0.20650 | 0.4 | 99.3 |
| VAR072 | 0.45254 | 52 | 0.19282 | 0.4 | 99.7 |
| VAR073 | 0.37052 | 53 | 0.17480 | 0.3 | 100.0 |

| VARIABLE | COMMUNALITY | FACTOR | EIGENVALUE | PCT CF VAR | CUM PCT |
|----------|-------------|--------|------------|------------|---------|
| VAR021 | C.35317 | 1 | 3.28205 | 17.4 | 17.4 |
| VAR022 | C.37481 | 2 | 2.87667 | 15.2 | 32.6 |
| VAR023 | O.28180 | 3 | 2.23972 | 11.9 | 44.4 |
| VAR024 | C.27101 | 4 | 1.85635 | 9.8 | 54.3 |
| VAR025 | C.42634 | 5 | 1.69054 | 8.9 | 63.2 |
| VAR026 | O.45380 | 6 | 1.49631 | 7.9 | 71.1 |
| VAR027 | C.36249 | 7 | 1.26654 | 6.7 | 77.8 |
| VAR028 | O.65237 | 8 | 1.20105 | 6.4 | 84.2 |
| VAR029 | C.49776 | 9 | 1.11545 | 5.9 | 90.1 |
| VAR030 | C.40622 | 10 | 0.93970 | 5.0 | 95.1 |
| VAR031 | O.58829 | 11 | 0.93323 | 4.9 | 100.0 |
| VAR032 | C.55411 | | | | |
| VAR033 | O.23281 | | | | |
| VAR034 | O.19308 | | | | |
| VAR035 | C.32055 | | | | |
| VAR036 | C.50019 | | | | |
| VAR037 | C.28931 | | | | |
| VAR038 | C.41481 | | | | |
| VAR039 | C.45074 | | | | |
| VAR040 | C.57022 | | | | |
| VAR041 | C.41072 | | | | |
| VAR042 | C.49011 | | | | |
| VAR043 | C.22353 | | | | |
| VAR044 | C.36265 | | | | |
| VAR045 | C.30785 | | | | |
| VAR046 | C.42246 | | | | |
| VAR047 | O.56623 | | | | |
| VAR048 | O.52639 | | | | |
| VAR049 | C.15319 | | | | |
| VAR050 | O.19854 | | | | |
| VAR051 | O.35572 | | | | |
| VAR052 | C.39089 | | | | |
| VAR053 | O.09115 | | | | |
| VAR054 | O.44201 | | | | |
| VAR055 | C.58897 | | | | |
| VAR056 | O.42352 | | | | |
| VAR057 | C.33367 | | | | |
| VAR058 | O.30321 | | | | |
| VAR059 | O.29225 | | | | |
| VAR060 | C.43622 | | | | |
| VAR061 | C.38663 | | | | |
| VAR062 | O.34373 | | | | |
| VAR063 | C.43502 | | | | |
| VAR064 | O.31611 | | | | |
| VAR065 | O.30727 | | | | |
| VAR066 | C.11215 | | | | |
| VAR067 | C.34598 | | | | |
| VAR068 | C.24265 | | | | |
| VAR069 | C.30551 | | | | |
| VAR070 | O.28116 | | | | |
| VAR071 | C.20043 | | | | |
| VAR072 | C.45134 | | | | |
| VAR073 | O.14477 | | | | |

TRANSFORMATION MATRIX

| | FACTOR 1 | FACTOR 2 | FACTOR 3 | FACTOR 4 | FACTOR 5 | FACTOR 6 | FACTOR 7 | FACTOR 8 | FACTOR 9 | FACTOR 10 |
|-----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|
| FACTOR 1 | -0.3317 | 0.51944 | -0.16399 | 0.57167 | 0.22023 | 0.28401 | 0.15216 | 0.4628 | 0.18160 | 0.08052 |
| FACTOR 2 | -0.58914 | -0.09373 | -0.08354 | 0.25057 | -0.28923 | -0.32728 | -0.38516 | -0.33350 | -0.11207 | -0.06431 |
| FACTOR 3 | 0.01959 | -0.03522 | 0.09986 | 0.42685 | -0.20153 | 0.36354 | 0.05492 | -0.31695 | -0.01207 | -0.00472 |
| FACTOR 4 | 0.14876 | 0.01957 | 0.07765 | 0.54334 | -0.29276 | -0.13580 | 0.07211 | 0.03723 | -0.05537 | 0.35180 |
| FACTOR 5 | -0.55044 | -0.01989 | 0.31116 | -0.48725 | -0.14036 | 0.42262 | -0.04485 | 0.16422 | 0.02880 | 0.18211 |
| FACTOR 6 | 0.15222 | -0.08916 | -0.20066 | -0.02752 | 0.30432 | 0.02130 | 0.40703 | 0.54320 | -0.37559 | 0.45571 |
| FACTOR 7 | -0.35055 | -0.10303 | -0.08776 | -0.35620 | -0.14169 | -0.33511 | -0.23533 | 0.03230 | 0.09123 | 0.30071 |
| FACTOR 8 | -0.05160 | -0.09957 | 0.04199 | -0.35633 | 0.33559 | -0.54547 | 0.52968 | 0.44767 | -0.01167 | -0.04433 |
| FACTOR 9 | -0.01924 | -0.33635 | 0.10199 | -0.16833 | 0.34077 | 0.00422 | -0.34773 | 0.44767 | 0.11677 | -0.04433 |
| FACTOR 10 | 0.01359 | 0.10254 | 0.37529 | -0.09445 | 0.33347 | -0.11659 | -0.06820 | -0.33923 | -0.18958 | -0.32120 |

FACTOR 11

| | FACTOR 11 |
|-----------|-----------|
| FACTOR 1 | -0.17174 |
| FACTOR 2 | -0.15276 |
| FACTOR 3 | 0.21988 |
| FACTOR 4 | 0.01995 |
| FACTOR 5 | 0.02726 |
| FACTOR 6 | 0.01175 |
| FACTOR 7 | -0.33827 |
| FACTOR 8 | -0.12845 |
| FACTOR 9 | -0.28020 |
| FACTOR 10 | 0.25825 |
| FACTOR 11 | -0.25723 |

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Benade, Leo E., Lt., Gen., USA. "New Retirement Proposal Tied to Active Duty Pay." Commander's Digest, 7 December 1972, Vol. 13, No. 5.
- Blumenfeld, Warren S. What Reenlisted Electronics Personnel Value: A Comparison of Interviews of Submarine and Surface Personnel, Report No. 65-1. San Diego: U.S. Naval Personnel Research Activity, April 1965.
- Bureau of Naval Personnel. Bureau of Naval Personnel Manual (NAVPERS 15791B). Washington, D. C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1969.
- Bureau of Naval Personnel (Officer Distribution Division). Career Planning Information for Surface Junior Officers. March 1972.
- Bureau of Naval Personnel (Officer Distribution Division). The Officer Personnel Newsletter (NAVPERS 15892). February 1973.
- Criste, David M. and Marshall, Kneal T. A Survey of Selected Officer Retention and Grade-Structured Studies. Monterey: U.S. Naval Postgraduate School, June 1971.
- Department of Defense. "New Military Retirement System Proposed." Commander's Digest, 26 October 1972, Vol. 12, No. 25.
- Dingler, F. G., LtJG. "The Silent Vote." U.S. Naval Institute Proceedings, September 1971, pp. 59-63.
- England, George W., et al. Personal Value Systems of Naval Officers. Office of Naval Research (Code 458), Report No. 5002. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota, March 1971.
- Gates, Thomas S., et al. The Report of the President's Commission on an All-Volunteer Armed Force. London: Collier-Macmillan LTD., 1970.
- Githens, William H. and Rimland, Bernard. The Effects of the 1963 Military Pay Raise on the Career Intentions of Junior Naval Officers, Report No. 64-1. San Diego: U.S. Naval Personnel Research Activity, January 1964.

- Harris, Malcolm S., Lt. "Junior Officer Retention: A Lot of Little Things." U.S. Institute Proceedings, March 1971, pp. 26-31.
- Harsh, Charles M. and Kinney, Jack A. Manpower Considerations Applicable to the Navy in the 1970-1980 Time Period: (Annex B: Officer Survey). Institute of Naval Studies, Study 13. Washington, D. C.: Center for Naval Analyses, The Franklin Institute, 1965.
- Larson, D. R., Cdr. "The Surface Line Officer: Some Conn, Some Can't," U.S. Naval Institute Proceedings, July 1972, pp. 43-49.
- McIntosh, Charles, Cdr. "Retention: The Talk and the Deeds," U.S. Naval Institute Proceedings, September 1971, pp. 59-63.
- Nelson, Andrew G., Capt., USN. "The 1100: He Flies Not; Neither Does He Sink," U.S. Naval Institute Proceedings, March 1972, pp. 45-48.
- Neumann, Idell; Abrahams, Norman M.; and Githens, William H. The Values of Junior Officers, Part II. The Relationship between Career Values and Retention, Report No. SRR 72-23. San Diego: Naval Personnel and Training Research Laboratory, May 1972.
- Nie, Norman H; Bent, Dale H.; and Hull, C. Hadlai. Statistical Package for the Social Sciences. New York: McGraw-Hill Inc., 1970.
- Oakley, David C. An Approach to Changing Interpersonal Climate in the United States Navy. Ph.D. Thesis. United States International University, 1972.
- Office of the Secretary of the Navy. Report of the Secretary of the Navy's Task Force on Navy/Marine Corps Personnel Retention. Washington, D. C.: Department of the Navy, 1966.
- Schwartz, Stephan A. "Navy Must Change to Survive in Coming All-Volunteer Age," Navy Magazine, January 1971, pp. 15-20.
- U. S. Congress, House Committee on Appropriations. Military Personnel, Retired Pay, Defense Language Provisions (Part 6). 92nd Cong., 2nd Session, Washington, D. C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1972.

- U. S. Congress, House Committee on Armed Services. Report of the Special Subcommittee on Recruiting and Retention of Military Personnel. 92nd Cong., 2nd session, Washington, D. C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1972.
- U. S. Congress, Senate Subcommittee on Appropriations. Hearings on H.R. 16593, Department of Defense Appropriations for Fiscal Year 1973. 92nd Cong., 2nd session. Washington, D. C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1972.

INITIAL DISTRIBUTION LIST

| | No. Copies |
|--|------------|
| 1. Defense Documentation Center
Cameron Station
Alexandria, Virginia 22314 | 2 |
| 2. Library, Code 0212
Naval Postgraduate School
Monterey, California 93940 | 2 |
| 3. Associate Professor Richard S. Elster
Department of Operations Research and
Administrative Sciences
Naval Postgraduate School
Monterey, California 93940 | 1 |
| 4. Lieutenant Commander Thomas Joseph Lopez, USN
628 Mango Drive
Virginia Beach, Virginia 23452 | 1 |
| 5. Bureau of Naval Personnel (PERS B42)
Washington, D. C. 20370 | 1 |
| 6. Associate Professor William H. Githens
Department of Operations Research and
Administrative Sciences (Code 55Gh)
Naval Postgraduate School
Monterey, California 93940 | 1 |
| 7. Library (Code 55)
Naval Postgraduate School
Monterey, California 93940 | 1 |

DOCUMENT CONTROL DATA - R & D

(Security classification of title, body of abstract and indexing annotation must be entered when the overall report is classified)

ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author)

Naval Postgraduate School
Monterey, California 93940

2a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

Unclassified

2b. GROUP

REPORT TITLE

Retention of Junior Officers in the Surface Navy

DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and, inclusive dates)

Master's Thesis; June 1973

AUTHOR(S) (First name, middle initial, last name)

Thomas J. Lopez

REPORT DATE

June 1973

7a. TOTAL NO. OF PAGES

182

7b. NO. OF REFS

24

8a. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO.

8b. PROJECT NO.

9a. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)

9b. OTHER REPORT NO(S) (Any other numbers that may be assigned this report)

10. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES

12. SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY

Naval Postgraduate School
Monterey, California 93940

13. ABSTRACT

The Surface Navy has had and is facing a serious problem in the area of retention of Surface Junior Officers. Through interviews and questionnaires, this study identified and examined pertinent aspects of that issue. Subjects were 162 Surface Junior Officers who were students at the Naval Postgraduate School. The results of the study revealed retention factors which appeared uppermost in the minds of the officers surveyed. Recommendations for change and attractive aspects of the Surface Navy were indicated by the respondents. Recommendations to possibly effect improvement in the Surface retention rate were included by the author.

| KEY WORDS | LINK A | | LINK B | | LINK C | |
|-------------------------|--------|----|--------|----|--------|----|
| | ROLE | WT | ROLE | WT | ROLE | WT |
| Retention | | | | | | |
| Surface Junior Officers | | | | | | |
| Officer Retention | | | | | | |
| Retention Factors | | | | | | |
| Surface Navy Retention | | | | | | |

Thesis
L823
c.1

Lopez

Retention of junior
officers in the sur-
face Navy.

145302

thesL823

Retention of junior officers in the surf



3 2768 002 12649 2

DUDLEY KNOX LIBRARY